



Oral Probiotics Alter Healthy Feline Respiratory Microbiota

Aida I. Vientós-Plotts^{1, 2, 3}, Aaron C. Ericsson^{1, 4, 5*}, Hansjorg Rindt^{1, 3} and Carol R. Reinero^{1, 2, 3}

¹ College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, United States, ² Department of Veterinary Medicine and Surgery, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, United States, ³ Comparative Internal Medicine Laboratory, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, United States, ⁴ University of Missouri Metagenomics Center, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, United States, ⁵ Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, United States

Probiotics have been advocated as a novel therapeutic approach to respiratory disease, but knowledge of how oral administration of probiotics influences the respiratory microbiota is needed. Using 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing of bacterial DNA our objective was to determine whether oral probiotics changed the composition of the upper and lower airway, rectal, and blood microbiota. We hypothesized that oral probiotics would modulate the respiratory microbiota in healthy cats, demonstrated by the detection and/or increased relative abundance of the probiotic bacterial species and altered composition of the microbial population in the respiratory tract. Six healthy young research cats had oropharyngeal (OP), bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF), rectal, and blood samples collected at baseline and 4 weeks after receiving oral probiotics. 16S rRNA gene amplicon libraries were sequenced, and coverage, richness, and relative abundance of representative operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were determined. Hierarchical and principal component analyses (PCA) demonstrated relatedness of samples. Mean microbial richness significantly increased only in the upper and lower airways. The number of probiotic OTUs (out of 5 total) that significantly increased in relative abundance vs. baseline was 5 in OP, 3 in BAL and 2 in feces. Using hierarchical clustering, BALF and blood samples grouped together after probiotic administration, and PERMANOVA supported that these two sites underwent significant changes in microbial composition. PERMANOVA revealed that OP and rectal samples had microbial population compositions that did not significantly change. These findings were visualized via PCA, which revealed distinct microbiomes in each site; samples clustered more tightly at baseline and had more variation after probiotic administration. This is the first study describing the effect of oral probiotics on the respiratory microbiota via detection of probiotic species in the airways. Finding bacterial species present in the oral probiotics in the upper and lower airways provides pilot data suggesting that oral probiotics could serve as a tool to target dysbiosis occurring in inflammatory airway diseases such as feline asthma, a disease in which cats serve as an important comparative and translational model for humans.

Keywords: respiratory, operational taxonomic unit (OTU), 16S rRNA gene, probiotics, richness, abundance, diversity, translational research

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Francesca Turroni, University of Parma, Italy

Reviewed by:

Susan Thibeault, University of Wisconsin–Madison, United States Ciro Cesar Rossi, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

> *Correspondence: Aaron C. Ericsson ericssona@missouri.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbial Symbioses, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 03 May 2017 **Accepted:** 27 June 2017 **Published:** 11 July 2017

Citation:

Vientós-Plotts Al, Ericsson AC, Rindt H and Reinero CR (2017) Oral Probiotics Alter Healthy Feline Respiratory Microbiota. Front. Microbiol. 8:1287. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01287

1

INTRODUCTION

Prior to the advent of culture-independent microbiological techniques, the lower airways were considered sterile, and the primary role of bacteria in respiratory disorders was assumed to be as pathogens leading to development, persistence, exacerbation, and/or progression of respiratory diseases (Beigelman et al., 2014). However, recent studies using sequencing of microbial 16S rRNA amplicons (Sidiq et al., 2016) have demonstrated that healthy humans (Charlson et al., 2012), dogs (Ericsson et al., 2016), and cats (Vientos-Plotts et al., 2017) harbor rich, complex commensal microbial populations in the respiratory tract. There is increasing evidence that commensal microbes play a critical role in the maturation, education, and function of the immune system and, in particular, regulation of inflammatory responses. Additionally, dysbiosis, or deviations from the healthy microbiota, is associated with overgrowth of pathogens, decreased biodiversity, and stimulation of the host immune system that contributes to disease development (Gollwitzer and Marsland, 2014; Fujimura and Lynch, 2015). Dysbiosis has been documented in a variety of human respiratory diseases such as asthma (Huang, 2015; Ling et al., 2016), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (Gomez and Chanez, 2016; Huang et al., 2017), cystic fibrosis (Hogan et al., 2016; Madan, 2016), and respiratory tract infections (Pirrone et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2017).

Dietary supplementation in the form of probiotics, live microorganisms that provide a health benefit to the host when administered in adequate amounts (Guarner et al., 2012), beneficially alter the gut-lung axis (Forsythe, 2011; Kalyuzhin et al., 2016). Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, and Bifidobacterium species are some of the most common organisms considered probiotics. The specific mechanisms of action for health benefits of different probiotic strains are poorly understood. Probiotic strains may exert their effects on dysbiosis directly by changing the composition of the host microbiome or indirectly by interacting with the host through the common mucosal immune system (CMIS) (Neish, 2014; Thaiss et al., 2016). The CMIS is a unique branch of the immune system shared by mucosal sites; importantly, it enables cross-talk between the gastrointestinal (GI) and respiratory tracts (Budden et al., 2016; Ghaisas et al., 2016). In humans, orally administered probiotics modulate immune responses in the lung, promoting a tolerogenic environment of benefit in allergic disease (Rautava and Isolauri, 2002).

To our knowledge, no studies have evaluated the effect of oral probiotics on the airway microbiota of healthy cats, a species of importance for translational models of respiratory disease including allergic asthma (Norris Reinero et al., 2004; Reinero et al., 2009). The objective of the current study was to determine the effect of oral probiotics on the composition of the upper and lower airway, rectal, and blood microbiota. We hypothesized

that probiotics would modulate the respiratory microbiota in healthy cats, demonstrated by the detection and/or increased relative abundance of the probiotic bacterial species and altered composition of the commensal microbial population in the respiratory tract after treatment. Furthermore, we postulated that alterations in rectal and blood microbiota might provide clues for mucosal or hematogenous transport of probiotic species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

All studies were performed in accordance with the Guide for the Use and Care of Laboratory Animals, and were approved by the University of Missouri Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (MU IACUC protocol #7891).

Cats

Cats were bred from a colony (Comparative Internal Medicine Laboratory, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO), were all sexually intact and were aged <1 year (31–41 weeks by end of study). Cats were housed by sex with 2 males and 4 females housed in separate, large runs with elevated platforms for climbing and enrichment toys. Cats were transitioned to a commercial dry diet formulated for growth (Purina growth formula, St. Louis, MO) at 4 weeks of age, and remained on this diet for the duration of the study. Access to food and clean drinking water were provided *ad libitum*. In order to reduce risk of aspiration, cats were fasted for at least 12 h prior to anesthesia for sample collection.

Cats were determined to be healthy by absence of respiratory clinical signs, a normal physical examination by a board-certified veterinary internal medicine specialist and lack of cytologic evidence of infection or inflammation from bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) samples. Euthanasia was not an endpoint of the study; all cats were subsequently adopted into private homes.

Probiotic Administration Protocol

Cats were administered one capsule of VSL#3 probiotic mixed in their food twice daily (225 \times 10⁹ CFU/day), for 4 weeks. Cats were individually fed a portion of their meal with the probiotic to ensure complete consumption before receiving the remainder of the meal.

Sample Collection

Rectal swabs, oropharyngeal (OP) swabs, BALF and blood were collected at the beginning of the study (baseline), and immediately after 4 weeks of receiving probiotics. Samples were collected as previously described (Vientos-Plotts et al., 2017). Briefly, cats were anesthetized and a sterile cotton-tip swab was inserted rectally, while avoiding contact with the perianal area. After induction, but prior to intubation, a second moistened sterile swab was used to rub the caudodorsal aspect of the oropharynx, while avoiding contact with the rest of the oral cavity. The OP and rectal swabs were each added to 5 mL of sterile saline. Cats were carefully intubated using a sterile 3.5– 4 French endotracheal tube. To collect BALF, a 20 mL aliquot of sterile saline was instilled via a sterile 8 French red rubber

Abbreviations: BALF, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; CMIS, common mucosal immune system; GI, gastrointestinal; OP, oropharyngeal; OTU, operational taxonomic unit; UC, unclassified; PCA, principal component analysis; T_H , T helper.

catheter that was threaded through the endotracheal tube. Four milliliters of whole blood were obtained by jugular venipuncture (site shaved of fur and prepared with ethanol) into sterile tubes with the anticoagulant EDTA. Immediately after collection, all samples were placed on ice and transported to the laboratory.

Promptly after collection, rectal, OP, BALF, and blood samples were centrifuged to pellet bacterial cells. Red blood cells (RBCs) were lysed prior to centrifugation of the blood samples. To lyse RBCs, 3 mL of blood was mixed with 27 mL sterile water and incubated at room temperature for 1 min before adding 3.3 mL of 10 × PBS and centrifuging for 20 min at 2,000 × g. In all cases, supernatant was discarded and pellets were resuspended in 800 μ L lysis buffer adapted from Yu et al. (4% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 50 mM EDTA, 500 mM NaCl, and 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0) (Yu and Morrison, 2004). All samples were banked at -80° C until the end of the study, and DNA was extracted as a single batch.

DNA Extraction, 16S rRNA Library Preparation, Sequencing, and Informatics

DNA from feces, OP, and BALF, and blood was extracted using the column method as previously described (Ericsson et al., 2016; Vientos-Plotts et al., 2017). Library construction and sequencing was completed at the University of Missouri DNA Core facility as previously described (Ericsson et al., 2016). Briefly, libraries were generated using single-indexed universal primers (U515F/806R) flanked by Illumina adapter sequences and PCR was performed using the following parameters: $98^{\circ}C^{(3:00)}$ + $[98^{\circ}C^{(0:15)}$ + $50^{\circ}C^{(0:30)} + 72^{\circ}C^{(0:30)}$ × 25 cycles + $72^{\circ}C^{(7:00)}$. Amplicons were pooled for sequencing on the Illumina MiSeq platform using the V2 chemistry and 2 \times 250 paired-end reads. No samples returning less than 200 reads for BALF or blood, less than 1,350 for OP swabs, or less than 10,000 reads for rectal swabs were included. Assembly, filtering, binning, and annotation of DNA sequences was performed at the MU Informatics Research Core Facility as previously reported (Vientos-Plotts et al., 2017). Briefly, DNA contigs were assembled using FLASH software (Magoc and Salzberg, 2011) and culled if found to be short after trimming for base quality below 31. Qiime v1.8 (Kuczynski et al., 2011) was used to perform *de novo* and reference-based chimera detection and removal, and remaining contigs were assigned to operational taxonomic units (OTUs) via de novo OTU clustering using BLAST (Altschul et al., 1997) against the Greengenes database (DeSantis et al., 2006) of 16S rRNA sequences and taxonomy. Principal coordinate analysis of Bray-Curtis distances (1/4 root-transformed data) was performed using Past 3.13 (http://folk.uio.no/ohammer/past/).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Sigma Plot 12.3 (Systat Software Inc., Carlsbad, CA). Normality was first tested using the Shapiro-Wilk method and equal variance was tested using the Brown-Forsyth method. Depending on normality, *t*-test or non-parametric Mann-Whitney rank sum test was used to test for differences between baseline and post-administration in coverage, richness (number of distinct sequences and Chao1 index), α -diversity (Shannon and Simpson indices), and relative abundance of all operational taxonomic units (OTUs) detected

at greater than 0.5% of samples from any one sample site. Prior to testing of all metrics except coverage, data were rarefied via a reiterative random subsampling (10×) of data to the lowest read count obtained for any sample from that site (both timepoints included). Mean values from subsampling iterations were then used for testing. Fisher's exact test was used to compare the number of cats in which the probiotic strains were present at week 4 compared to baseline. Testing via PERMANOVA, using Past 3.13, was performed to identify differences in β -diversity between time points and samples sites. Results were considered statistically significant for *p*-values \leq 0.05.

RESULTS

Coverage (total number of sequences detected) varied by site. Pre-treatment, rectal and OP samples yielded the highest coverage (mean \pm SEM of 73,260 \pm 8,105 and 36,213 \pm 80,395 sequences/sample, respectively), with no significant difference in coverage after probiotic administration (p = 0.59; p = 0.54, respectively). BALF and blood had lower coverage compared to other sites, presumably due to lower starting microbial biomass. However, the primary comparisons of interest were between baseline and post-VSL3 administration values, rather than between sample sites. Thus, to avoid rarefaction of data from samples such as rectal swabs to the lower read counts obtained from samples such as blood while still accounting for differences in coverage within sample sites, sequence data were rarefied independently for each sample site to the lowest read count obtained for any sample from that sample site. Overall, there was a pattern of decreasing richness (represented by mean number of number of distinct sequences and Chao1 index) progressing from rectal swabs to OP swabs to BALF to blood (Figure 1). Testing for differences in richness within each site revealed significant increases following probiotic administration in samples from OP and BALF, but not blood or rectal swabs (Figure 1). With regard to α -diversity (a metric incorporating both richness and evenness of distribution), time-dependent differences were detected only in BALF samples, with VSL3 administration correlating with increased diversity. Collectively, these data suggest that oral probiotic administration is associated with increased richness and diversity of the airway microbiota, with no concurrent changes detected in the fecal or blood microbiota.

Sequencing of Taxa in the Probiotic VSL# 3

Resolved to the taxonomic level of phylum, the probiotic consisted of 59.27% *Actinobacteria* and 40.67% *Firmicutes*. The phylum *Actinobacteria* was predominantly represented by genus *Bifidobacterium* (35.93%) and *Bifidobacterium animalis* (23.29%) in the *Bifidobacteriaceae* family. The phylum *Firmicutes* was represented by genus *Streptococcus* (25.53%) in the *Streptococcaeeae* family, and *Lactobacillus zeae* (11.64%) and genus *Lactobacillus* (3.21%) in the *Lactobacillaceae* family.

Changes in Relative Abundance of Predominant Probiotic OTUs Varied by Site

In rectal samples, *B. animalis* and *L. zeae* increased in relative abundance after probiotic administration (p = 0.015



(t-test or Mann-Whitney rank sum test based on normality).

and p = 0.004, respectively) but other probiotic OTUs did not change significantly. In OP samples, all probiotic OTUs significantly increased in relative abundance compared to baseline (**Table 1**). In BALF samples, relative abundance of genus *Streptococcus* (p = 0.015), *L. zeae*, (p = 0.015), and *B. animalis* (p = 0.002) significantly increased. There was no significant difference in relative abundance of the predominant probiotic OTUs in blood samples. The number of cats in which the predominant probiotic OTUs were found in each site at baseline and after probiotic administration is listed in Table 2.

In addition to introducing some of the predominant probiotic OTUs, probiotic administration was associated with a change in the overall composition of the microbial communities at each site. All taxa that significantly changed after probiotic administration and were detected at greater than 0.50% mean relative abundance in at least one sample site are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**. The most significant changes in rectal

)				ž	5
			Baseline	Probiotics	Baseline	Probiotics	Baseline	Probiotics	Baseline	Probiotics
riaceae	Genus Bifidobacterium	35.93	0.02 ± 0.02	0.01 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.02	0.06 ± 0.06	0.26 ± 0.15	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
	Bifidobacterium animalis	23.29	0.00 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.35 ± 0.10	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
Iceae	Genus Lactobacillus	3.21	0.00 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.07	0.05 ± 0.03	0.00 ± 0.00	0.02 ± 0.02
	Lactobacillus zeae	11.64	0.00 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.15 ± 0.07	0.00 ± 0.00	0.51 ± 0.22	0.00 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.01
caceae	Genus Streptococcus	25.53	4.90 ± 2.19	2.47 ± 1.10	0.20 ± 0.05	0.80 ± 0.20	0.47 ± 0.25	3.31 ± 1.05	0.29 ± 0.19	0.06 ± 0.02
2 2 2	aceae eae	aceae Genus Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium animalis eae Genus Lactobacillus Lactobacillus zeae iceae Genus Streptococcus	aceae Genus Brifidobacterium 35.93 Brifidobacterium animalis 23.29 eae Genus Lactobacillus 23.21 Lactobacillus zeae 11.64 iceae Genus Streptococcus 25.53	Baseline Baseline aceae Genus Blifidobacterium 35.93 0.02 ± 0.02 Blifidobacterium animalis 23.29 0.00 ± 0.00 eae Genus Lactobactilus 3.21 0.00 ± 0.00 Lactobacillus zeae 11.64 0.00 ± 0.00 Iceae Genus Streptococcus 25.53 4.90 ± 2.19	Baseline Probiotics aceae Genus Blifidobacterium 35.93 0.02 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.00 Blifidobacterium animalis 23.29 0.00 ± 0.00 0.04 ± 0.01 eae Genus Lactobaciilus 3.21 0.00 ± 0.00 0.01 ± 0.00 Lactobacillus zeae 11.64 0.00 ± 0.00 0.01 ± 0.00 10.00 ceae Genus Streptococcus 25.53 4.90 ± 2.19 2.47 ± 1.10	Baseline Probiotics Baseline acceae Genus Blifidobacterium 35.93 0.02 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 Blifidobacterium animalis 23.29 0.00 ± 0.00 0.01 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 eae Genus Lactobaciilus 3.21 0.00 ± 0.00 0.01 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 Lactobacillus zeae 11.64 0.00 ± 0.00 0.01 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 ceae Genus Lactobacillus 25.53 4.90 ± 2.19 2.47 ± 1.10 0.20 ± 0.05	Baseline Probiotics Baseline Probiotics acceae Genus Blifidobacterium 35.93 0.02 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.00 0.05 ± 0.02 Blifidobacterium animalis 23.29 0.00 ± 0.00 0.04 ± 0.01 0.00 ± 0.00 0.05 ± 0.01 eae Genus Lactobacillus 3.21 0.00 ± 0.00 0.01 ± 0.00 0.05 ± 0.02 Lactobacillus zeae 11.64 0.00 ± 0.00 0.01 ± 0.00 0.05 ± 0.02 caae Genus Streptococcus 25.53 4.90 ± 2.19 2.47 ± 1.10 0.20 ± 0.05 0.80 ± 0.20	Baseline Probiotics Baseline Baseline Probiotics Baseline Probiotics Baseline Probiotics Baseline Baseline Baseline Baseline Baseline Probiotics Baseline Baseline Baseline Baseline Probiotics Baseline Baseline Baseline Baseline Baseline Baseline acrobacillus 35.29 0.00 ± 0.00 0.01 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 0.07 ± 0.07 0.07 ± 0.07 0.07 ± 0.07 0.00 ± 0.00 0.07 ± 0.07 0.00 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.00 0.00 ± 0.0	Image: Section state in the image:	Image: Section and the section of the secting of the secti

TABLE 1 | Relative abundance (mean \pm SEM) of the predominant probiotic operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in each site

samples included a significant decrease in *Bacteroides ovatus* (mean \pm SEM relative abundance of 8.96 \pm 3.50% to 0%; p = 0.004), and concurrent increase in *Bacteroides fragilis* (from 2.37 \pm 0.86% to 14.31 \pm 7.82%; p = 0.009), among others. In the upper airways, the following OTUs in the phylum *Proteobacteria* were no longer detected after probiotic administration: *Lautropia* sp. (from 0.95 \pm 0.19 to 0%; p = 0.004), *Acinetobacter johnsonii* (from 0.02 \pm 0.00%; p = 0.004) and unclassified (UC) *Moraxellaceae* family (from 1.59 \pm 0.31 to 0%; p = 0.004). Conversely, there was a significant increase in relative abundance in *Porphyromonas endodontalis* (from 2.47 \pm 0.39 to 4.67 \pm 0.47%; p = 0.004).

Of all samples, the lower airway microbiota underwent the most drastic changes after administration of probiotics. There was a significant decrease in UC *Sphingobacteriaceae* family (from 47.15 ± 5.48 to $13.09 \pm 5.69\%$; p = 0.026) and *A. johnsonii* (from 0.85 ± 0.24 to $0 \pm 0\%$; p = 0.002). The relative abundance of UC *Sphingobacteriaceae* also significantly decreased in blood samples (from 64.25 ± 2.38 to $33.02 \pm 5.20\%$; p = 0.002).

The relative abundance of *Acinetobacter* sp. significantly increased in OP (from 0 ± 0 to $0.17 \pm 0.08\%$; p = 0.004), BALF (from 0.07 ± 0.07 to $0.66 \pm 0.22\%$; p = 0.015), and blood (from 0 ± 0 to $17.67 \pm 8.92\%$; p = 0.015), but not in rectal swabs. This was the only OTU that changed significantly in more than two sites. Larger percentage changes in relative abundance observed in blood samples, and to a lesser extent BALF, are likely a reflection of the lower biomasses in these sites.

Oral Probiotics Significantly Changed Microbial Community Composition in the Lower Airways

Neighbor joining, hierarchical clustering dendograms were used to visualize the compositional dissimilarity between populations. Regardless of the individual, after treatment, BALF and blood samples were more similar to each other than they were at their corresponding baseline, and were therefore grouped together in the dendogram. In contrast, rectal and OP samples had varied composition among samples independent of treatment (**Figure 2**). PERMANOVA was used to test for differences in community structure at baseline and after probiotic administration. There were significant differences in microbial community composition in lower airway (p = 0.0028; F = 4.655) and blood samples (p = 0.0022; F = 8.233). Interestingly, there was no significant difference in community composition in rectal (p = 0.4431; F = 0.9843) or OP swabs (p = 0.0765; F = 1.25) after probiotic administration.

In addition, principal coordinate analysis (PCA) was used to assess the β -diversity of the microbial communities found at all sample sites collectively and at each site independently. When samples from all sites at baseline and after probiotic administration were included in the analysis, principal coordinates 1 and 2 (PC1 and PC2), accounting for 34.38 and 19.52% of the variation respectively, showed complete separation of the rectal, OP, and blood bacterial populations while BALF communities overlapped all of the other sites (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Of note, there was one rectal TABLE 2 | Number of cats (out of 6) in which the predominant probiotic operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were sequenced at baseline and after probiotic administration.

οτυ	Rectal		OP		BALF		Blood	
	Baseline	Probiotics	Baseline	Probiotics	Baseline	Probiotics	Baseline	Probiotics
Genus Bifidobacterium	1	5	0	6	1	4	0	0
Bifidobacterium animalis	0	5	0	6	0	6	0	0
Genus Lactobacillus	4	4	3	6	1	2	0	1
Lactobacillus zeae	0	5	0	6	0	5	0	1
Genus Streptococcus	6	5	6	6	3	6	2	4

Shaded cells indicate significant (p < 0.05) increase in detected prevalence compared to baseline as determined via Fisher's exact test. OP, oropharyngeal swab; BALF, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid.



swab sample and one OP swab sample, both collected post probiotic administration that demonstrated a markedly different composition than the others in the group. These samples were not from the same cat and the reason for this divergence is unknown. Testing for main effects via two-way PERMANOVA found significant main effects of both sample site (p = 0.0001; F = 22.05) and time-point (p = 0.0041; F = 4.31), as well as a significant interaction (p = 0.01; F = 2.25). Recognizing that the inherent and well-known compositional differences between microbial communities present in the sites tested could obscure time-dependent differences within each sample site, we also performed PCA of samples from each site independently (Figure 3). Confirming what was seen in the hierarchical clustering analysis, there was complete separation of baseline and post-probiotic BALF and blood samples along PC1. The discordant post-administration samples within the rectal swab and OP swab sites skewed the PCA plots and any apparent separation of pre- and post-probiotic samples within those sample sites occurred along PC2 (accounting for a modest 10.6 and 11.1% variation respectively) and did not achieve significance. Collectively, these analyses suggest that while there were negligible, if any, probiotic-dependent effects on the composition of the rectal or OP microbial communities, oral VSL3 administration was associated with significant compositional changes in the microbial DNA detected in the BALF and blood. Taking these data in the context of the richness and α -diversity data reported above, we believe these findings to support the hypothesis that oral administration of certain probiotic species can significantly alter the resident airway microbiota, both in overall composition and richness and diversity.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to document that in the healthy cat, orally administered probiotics have the potential to change microbial communities at sites distant from the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. Traditionally, probiotics have been employed as a way to modulate the GI microbiota to promote local health (Lievin-Le Moal and Servin, 2014). In conjunction with the resident microbiota, probiotics can help inhibit colonization and/or proliferation of pathogens by interfering with adhesion to the intestinal mucosa and outcompeting pathogens for shared nutrients (Guarner et al., 2012). There is growing evidence that oral probiotics not only influence the GI tract directly, but also have immunomodulatory effects at distant sites, including the urogenital and respiratory tracts, oral cavity/dentition, mammary gland and skin. Although oral probiotics can prevent and/or decrease frequency or severity of diseases outside of the gastrointestinal tract (i.e., an indirect effect) (Alberda et al., 2007; Lappin et al., 2009; Di Nardo et al., 2014; Esposito et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2015; Zuccotti et al., 2015; Fuchs-Tarlovsky et al., 2016; Gruner et al., 2016; Vieira et al., 2016; Zamani et al., 2016), few studies have directly evaluated the appearance of orally administered probiotic species or changes in host microbial communities at distant sites (De Alberti et al., 2015; Mastromarino et al., 2015; Treven et al., 2015). A recent study in pre-menopausal women demonstrated an increase in orally administered probiotic species in vaginal swabs using qPCR analysis, setting the stage for use of oral probiotics



to prevent or treat urogenital infections (Mezzasalma et al., 2017). A combination of bovine lactoferrin and two probiotics administered to healthy women led to detection of the probiotic species in vaginal swabs, important pilot data for future studies of bacterial vaginosis (De Alberti et al., 2015). Oral probiotics given to mice during pregnancy and lactation modulated the composition of bacterial communities in the mesenteric lymph nodes, mammary gland and milk with implications for neonatal health (Treven et al., 2015). Results were similar in women administered oral probiotics from late pregnancy through lactation showing significant increases in probiotic species in colostrum and milk (Mastromarino et al., 2015). A study relevant to prevention of dental caries employed 16S rRNA pyrosequencing to demonstrate changes in the composition of the microbiome in the oral cavity, specifically tooth biofilms, after 12 weeks of supplementation (Romani Vestman et al., 2015).

Probiotics have appeal, as there is growing evidence that they can improve health in patients with dysbiosis. The existence of a gut-lung axis allowing cross-talk through the CMIS has been proposed as a potential explanation for how microbes in the GI tract might influence immune functions in the lungs relevant in health and disease (Marsland et al., 2015; He et al., 2017). One potential mechanism is through interactions between host cell pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and gut-derived pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) leading to innate immune responses as well as systemic and CMIS immunoregulation (Neish, 2014; Davies and Abreu, 2015). Another mechanism is through production of bacterial metabolites such as short-chain fatty acids, which provide broad local and systemic immune effects (Meijer et al., 2010; Marsland and Salami, 2015; Budden et al., 2016). Additionally, dendritic cells that reside in the GI lamina propria or gut associated lymphoid tissue can sample members of the gut microbiota; interactions allow for maturation and migration of DCs to draining lymph nodes key for homeostatic adaptive immune responses (Samuelson et al., 2015). DCs activate various T cells subsets, which in turn are imprinted with chemokine receptors allowing homing to distal mucosal sites such as the respiratory tract (Samuelson et al., 2015). In addition to the gut contributing to immune homeostasis and immune responses in the lung, there is bi-directional exposure of microbiota at each site: inhaled microbes (as demonstrated by nasal cavity deposition in mice) consistently appear in the GI tract, and microaspiration of GI microbes is an important route by which the respiratory microbiota is established (Southam et al., 2002; Beigelman et al., 2014; Dickson and Huffnagle, 2015; Adar et al., 2016).

The mechanisms of action by which oral probiotics modify differing populations of microbial communities at various body sites and/or influence the host immune system are multifactorial and not fully understood. Our study supports the appearance of probiotic bacterial species in the upper and lower airways that were absent or in decreased abundance prior to the probiotic trial. We speculate that clinically silent microaspiration may have contributed to seeding of probiotic species in the respiratory tract. Compositional similarities between the blood and lower airway microbiome bring into question the possibility of GI tract bacterial translocation from the gut through the bloodstream as a route of entry; however, the bacterial communities in the GI tract were significantly different from those in blood and BAL. Perhaps as has been suggested in other studies, a systemic effect of oral probiotics (e.g., alteration of cytokine concentrations) may have modulated the respiratory microbiota (Vitali et al., 2012; Dai et al., 2013; Hepburn et al., 2013; Mastromarino et al., 2015).

In line with multiple other studies (Madan et al., 2012; Morris et al., 2013; Budden et al., 2016; Dickson et al., 2016) and as mentioned above, our results support microaspiration as a primary route of microbial colonization of the lower airways. In the current study, all of the predominant probiotic OTUs significantly increased in relative abundance in the upper airways, genus Streptococcus increased in the lower airways and L. zeae, and B. animalis increased in the lower airways and GI tract post-treatment. In healthy individuals, the respiratory microbiota is postulated to be established by a balance between entry (inhalation, microaspiration) and removal (e.g., via the mucociliary apparatus) of microbes and unlike the gut, there is little evidence for long-term colonization from local microbial reproduction (Dickson et al., 2016). This, along with the lower biomass, may explain why the probiotic OTUs more significantly altered the lower airways compared with the GI tract.

Several studies have reported benefits of probiotics to lung health (Forsythe, 2011, 2014; Yoda et al., 2012). Understanding the influence of oral probiotics on distant respiratory tract microbiota is crucial for future studies evaluating therapeutic applications in respiratory diseases like allergic asthma that affect humans and cats. Spontaneous and experimentally induced feline asthma shares the hallmark features of human allergic asthma, such as airway eosinophilia, airway hyper-responsiveness, and airway remodeling, making cats a valuable translational model for this disease (Reinero et al., 2009; Williams and Roman, 2016). Allergic asthma results from stimulation and amplification of a local inflammatory response mediated by allergen-specific T helper 2 (T_H2) cells that occurs in genetically susceptible individuals exposed to environmental triggers. Recent studies in humans have provided evidence that dysbiosis occurs in asthma (Hilty et al., 2010; Goleva et al., 2013; Huang, 2013; Gollwitzer and Marsland, 2014).

The original hygiene hypothesis stated that improved hygiene and reduced exposure of the immune system to microbial stimulus during infancy and early childhood predisposes to impaired immunoregulation and an increase in prevalence of allergic diseases (Beigelman et al., 2014). Allergic diseases are driven by T_H2 cells and many childhood infections trigger a T_H1 immune response, therefore by having fewer childhood infections the immune system is thought to be polarized toward T_H2 immune responses, promoting allergies. However, allergic diseases are not the only type of inflammatory diseases that have been on the rise; other T_H1-driven chronic inflammatory responses, such as inflammatory bowel disease, have been increasing in developed countries (Haahtela et al., 2013; McCoy and Koller, 2015). This has led to the evolution of the hygiene hypothesis to the biodiversity or the microbiota hypothesis. The microbiota hypothesis proposes that dysbiosis or disruption of the host commensal microbiota disrupts the relationship between the microbes and the immune system, leading to immune dysfunction (Haahtela et al., 2013).

Dysbiosis leads to multiple important consequences: overgrowth of pathogens that compete with commensal microbes for host binding sites, loss of commensal microbial diversity, and a host inflammatory response that contributes to disease development (Fujimura et al., 2010). Chronic inflammation further disrupts the microbiota leading to a self-perpetuating inflammatory state. Return of the microbiota to a normal/healthy state has appeal in treatment of various disorders and studies have shown, for instance, that therapeutic alteration of the GI microbiota can promote remission of disease. Fecal microbiota transplantation is a classic example in which microbiota from healthy individuals are transplanted into patients with Clostridium difficile overgrowth, thereby inducing disease remission (Borody et al., 2004). Several studies in murine models of allergic airway disease indicate that probiotics can promote a tolerogenic environment in the lungs via induction of CD4⁺CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ T regulatory cells (Feleszko et al., 2007; Karimi et al., 2009), altering T_H2 cytokine profiles (Forsythe et al., 2007; Fujimura et al., 2014) and modulating allergenspecific antibody production (Alvarez et al., 2001). In addition, multiple studies in experimental asthma models using oral probiotics have reported reduction of the asthmatic phenotype including airway inflammation and airflow limitation (Forsythe et al., 2007; Inoue et al., 2009; Karimi et al., 2009; Hougee et al., 2010; Li et al., 2010).

This is the first study to evaluate the effect of orally administered probiotics on the microbial communities in the airways and blood by determining changes in relative abundance of predominant probiotic OTUs. These data support microaspiration being one of the routes for lower airway microbial colonization and seeding of probiotic species. After oral administration, not only were the predominant probiotic OTUs present in distant sites, but they were also associated with changes in richness and the overall composition of colonizing microbial populations of the respiratory tract and blood.

We acknowledge there was a significant difference in coverage and richness at baseline compared to after treatment. Aside from this being secondary to probiotic administration, it could be argued that maturation of the cats may play a role in both richness and coverage, and this differential coverage could lead to changes in richness. However, we would argue that the changes in coverage and richness do not influence whether or not a particular OTU would be detected in a sample, and would speculate that this change is secondary to probiotic administration. These data support the idea that orally administered probiotics could be suited for modulation of upper and lower airway microbiota diseases relevant to both human and veterinary medicine.

AVAILABILITY OF SUPPORTING DATA

All sequence data have been deposited in the NCBI Short Read Archive (SRA) under the accession number PRJNA376735.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AV participated in the conception and design of the study, sample collection, DNA extraction, data interpretation and drafted manuscript. AE participated in the conception and design of the study, interpreted sequence data and helped to draft the manuscript. CR participated in sample collection, the conception and design of the study and data interpretation, and helped to draft the manuscript. HR assisted with DNA extraction, sample collection, and study coordination. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

AUTHORS INFORMATION

AVP, resident in the MU College of Veterinary Medicine (CVM) Department of Veterinary Medicine and Surgery, is a veterinarian currently completing specialty training in small animal internal medicine. AE, Research Assistant Professor in the MU CVM Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, is a veterinarian with specialty training in laboratory animal and comparative medicine, and Director of the University of Missouri Metagenomics Center. CR, Associate Professor in the MU CVM Department of Veterinary Medicine and Surgery, is a veterinarian with specialty training in small animal internal medicine, and Director of the MU Comparative Internal Medicine Laboratory. HR is a Senior Research Associate in the Comparative Internal Medicine Laboratory.

REFERENCES

- Adar, S. D., Huffnagle, G. B., and Curtis, J. L. (2016). The respiratory microbiome. an underappreciated player in the human response to inhaled pollutants? *Ann. Epidemiol.*, 26, 355–359. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2016.03.010
- Alberda, C., Gramlich, L., Meddings, J., Field, C., McCargar, L., Kutsogiannis, D., et al. (2007). Effects of probiotic therapy in critically ill patients: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 85, 816–823.
- Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schaffer, A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Lipman, D. J., et al. (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 3389–3402. doi: 10.1093/nar/25.17.3389
- Alvarez, S., Herrero, C., Bru, E., and Perdigon, G. (2001). Effect of Lactobacillus casei and yogurt administration on prevention of Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in young mice. J. Food Prot., 64, 1768–1774. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X-64.11.1768
- Beigelman, A., Weinstock, G. M., and Bacharier, L. B. (2014). The relationships between environmental bacterial exposure, airway bacterial colonization, and asthma. *Curr. Opin. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 14, 137–142. doi: 10.1097/ACI.00000000000036
- Borody, T. J., Warren, E. F., Leis, S. M., Surace, R., Ashman, O., and Siarakas, S. (2004). Bacteriotherapy using fecal flora: toying with human motions. J. Clin. Gastroenterol., 38, 475–483. doi: 10.1097/01.mcg.0000128988. 13808.dc
- Budden, K. F., Gellatly, S. L., Wood, D. L., Cooper, M. A., Morrison, M., Hansbro, P. M., et al. (2016). Emerging pathogenic links between microbiota and the gut-lung axis. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 15, 55–63. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro. 2016.142
- Charlson, E. S., Bittinger, K., Chen, J., Diamond, J. M., Li, H., Collman, R. G., et al. (2012). Assessing bacterial populations in the lung by replicate analysis of samples from the upper and lower respiratory tracts. *PLoS ONE* 7:e42786. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0042786

FUNDING

This research was partially funded by the Phi Zeta Veterinary Research Society – Pi Chapter.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge Megan E. Grobman, Amber Graham, Kaitlin Bishop and Leah A. Cohn, for their assistance in data collection and Karen Clifford for assistance formatting figures.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb. 2017.01287/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure 1 | β -diversity as shown via principal coordinate analysis of Bray-Curtis distances between samples from all four sample sites (rectal swab, OP swab, BALF, and blood); legend at right.

Supplementary Table 1 | Relative abundance of all taxa detected at greater than 0.50% mean relative abundance in at least one sample site (feces, oropharyngeal swabs (OP), bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) or blood), that changed significantly after probiotic administration, annotated to the level of phylum, family, and operational taxonomic unit (OTU). Data presented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). *Shaded cells indicate p = <0.05 compared to baseline.

- Dai, C., Zheng, C. Q., Meng, F. J., Zhou, Z., Sang, L. X., and Jiang, M. (2013). VSL#3 probiotics exerts the anti-inflammatory activity via PI3k/Akt and NF-κB pathway in rat model of DSS-induced colitis. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.*, 374, 1–11. doi: 10.1007/s11010-012-1488-3
- Davies, J. M., and Abreu, M. T. (2015). Host-microbe interactions in the small bowel. *Curr. Opin. Gastroenterol.*, 31, 118–123. doi: 10.1097/MOG.0000000000143
- De Alberti, D., Russo, R., Terruzzi, F., Nobile, V., and Ouwehand, A. C. (2015). Lactobacilli vaginal colonisation after oral consumption of Respecta([®]) complex: a randomised controlled pilot study. *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.* 292, 861–867. doi: 10.1007/s00404-015-3711-4
- DeSantis, T. Z., Hugenholtz, P., Larsen, N., Rojas, M., Brodie, E. L., Andersen, G. L., et al. (2006). Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 5069–5072. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03006-05
- Dickson, R. P., Erb-Downward, J. R., Martinez, F. J., and Huffnagle, G. B. (2016). The microbiome and the respiratory tract. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.*,78, 481–504. doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-021115-105238
- Dickson, R. P., and Huffnagle, G. B. (2015). The lung microbiome. new principles for respiratory bacteriology in health and disease. *PLoS Pathog.* 11:e1004923. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004923
- Di Nardo, G., Oliva, S., Menichella, A., Pistelli, R., De Biase, R. V., Stronati, L., et al. (2014). *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC55730 in cystic fibrosis. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* 58, 81–86. doi: 10.1097/MPG.00000000000187
- Ericsson, A. C., Personett, A. R., Grobman, M. E., Rindt, H., and Reinero, C. R. (2016). Composition and predicted metabolic capacity of upper and lower airway microbiota of healthy dogs in relation to the fecal microbiota. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0154646. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0154646
- Esposito, S., Rigante, D., and Principi, N. (2014). Do children's upper respiratory tract infections benefit from probiotics? *BMC Infect. Dis.* 14:194. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-14-194
- Feleszko, W., Jaworska, J., Rha, R. D., Steinhausen, S., Avagyan, A., Jaudszus, A., et al. (2007). Probiotic-induced suppression of allergic sensitization and

airway inflammation is associated with an increase of T regulatory-dependent mechanisms in a murine model of asthma. *Clin. Exp. Aller.* 37, 498–505. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2006.02629.x

- Forsythe, P. (2011). Probiotics and lung diseases. Chest 139, 901–908. doi: 10.1378/chest.10-1861
- Forsythe, P. (2014). Probiotics and lung immune responses. Ann. Am. Thorac. Soc. 11(Suppl. 1), S33-7. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201306-156MG
- Forsythe, P., Inman, M. D., and Bienenstock, J. (2007). Oral treatment with live Lactobacillus reuteri inhibits the allergic airway response in mice. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 175, 561–569. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200606-821OC
- Fuchs-Tarlovsky, V., Marquez-Barba, M. F., and Sriram, K. (2016). Probiotics in dermatologic practice. *Nutrition* 32, 289–295. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2015.09.001
- Fujimura, K. E., Demoor, T., Rauch, M., Faruqi, A. A., Jang, S., Lynch, S. V. et al. (2014). House dust exposure mediates gut microbiome Lactobacillus enrichment and airway immune defense against allergens and virus infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 805–810. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1310750111
- Fujimura, K. E., and Lynch, S. V. (2015). Microbiota in allergy and asthma and the emerging relationship with the gut microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe* 17, 592–602. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.04.007
- Fujimura, K. E., Slusher, N. A., Cabana, M. D., and Lynch, S. V. (2010). Role of the gut microbiota in defining human health. *Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* 8, 435–454. doi: 10.1586/eri.10.14
- Ghaisas, S., Maher, J., and Kanthasamy, A. (2016). Gut microbiome in health and disease. Linking the microbiome-gut-brain axis and environmental factors in the pathogenesis of systemic and neurodegenerative diseases. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 158, 52–62. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2015.11.012
- Goleva, E., Jackson, L. P., Harris, J. K., Robertson, C. E., Sutherland, E. R., Hall, C. F., et al. (2013). The effects of airway microbiome on corticosteroid responsiveness in asthma. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 188, 1193–1201. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201304-0775OC
- Gollwitzer, E. S., and Marsland, B. J. (2014). Microbiota abnormalities in inflammatory airway diseases - potential for therapy. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 141, 32–39. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2013.08.002
- Gomez, C., and Chanez, P. (2016). The lung microbiome. the perfect culprit for COPD exacerbations? *Eur. Respir. J.* 47, 1034–1036. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00270-2016
- Gruner, D., Paris, S., and Schwendicke, F. (2016). Probiotics for managing caries and periodontitis. Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Dent.* 48, 16–25. doi: 10.1016/j.jdent.2016.03.002
- Guarner, F., Khan, A. G., Garisch, J., Eliakim, R., Gangl, A., Thomson, A., et al. (2012). World gastroenterology organisation global guidelines. probiotics and prebiotics. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 46, 468–481. doi: 10.1097/mcg.0b013e3182549092
- Haahtela, T., Holgate, S., Pawankar, R., Akdis, C. A., and Benjaponpitak, S., Caraballo, L., et al. (2013). The biodiversity hypothesis and allergic disease: world allergy organization position statement. *World Aller. Organ. J.* 6:3. doi: 10.1186/1939-4551-6-3
- He, Y., Wen, Q., Yao, F., Xu, D., Huang, Y., and Wang, J. (2017). Gut-lung axis: the microbial contributions and clinical implications. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 43, 81–95. doi: 10.1080/1040841X.2016.1176988
- Hepburn, N. J., Garaiova, I., Williams, E. A., Michael, D. R., and Plummer, S. (2013). Probiotic supplement consumption alters cytokine production from peripheral blood mononuclear cells: a preliminary study using healthy individuals. *Benef. Microb.* 4, 313–317. doi: 10.3920/BM2013.0012
- Hilty, M., Burke, C., Pedro, H., Cardenas, P., Bush, A., Bossley, C., et al. (2010). Disordered microbial communities in asthmatic airways. *PLoS ONE* 5:0008578. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0008578
- Hogan, D. A., Willger, S. D., Dolben, E. L., Hampton, T. H., Stanton, B. A., Ashare, A., et al. (2016). Analysis of lung microbiota in bronchoalveolar lavage, protected brush and sputum samples from subjects with mildto-moderate cystic fibrosis lung disease. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0149998. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0149998
- Hougee, S., Vriesema, A. J., Wijering, S. C., Knippels, L. M., Folkerts, G., Nijkamp, F. P., et al. (2010). Oral treatment with probiotics reduces allergic symptoms in ovalbumin-sensitized mice: a bacterial strain comparative study. *Int. Arch. Aller. Immunol.* 151, 107–117. doi: 10.1159/000236000

- Huang, Y. J. (2013). Asthma microbiome studies and the potential for new therapeutic strategies. *Curr. Aller. Asthma Rep.* 13, 453–461. doi: 10.1007/s11882-013-0355-y
- Huang, Y. J. (2015). The respiratory microbiome and innate immunity in asthma. *Curr. Opin. Pulm. Med.* 21, 27–32. doi: 10.1097/MCP.00000000000124
- Huang, Y. J., Erb-Downward, J. R., Dickson, R. P., Curtis, J. L., Huffnagle, G. B., and Han, M. K. (2017). Understanding the role of the microbiome in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. principles challenges and future directions. *Transl. Res.* 179, 71–83. doi: 10.1016/j.trsl.2016.06.007
- Inoue, Y., Iwabuchi, N., Xiao, J. Z., Yaeshima, T., and Iwatsuki, K. (2009). Suppressive effects of bifidobacterium breve strain M-16V on T-helper type 2 immune responses in a murine model. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.*, 32, 760–763. doi: 10.1248/bpb.32.760
- Kalyuzhin, O. V., Afanasyev, S. S., and Bykov, A. S. (2016). [Probiotics as stimulators of immune response against pathogens in the respiratory tract]. *Ter. Arkh.* 88, 118–124. doi: 10.17116/terarkh2016885118-124
- Karimi, K., Inman, M. D., Bienenstock, J., and Forsythe, P. (2009). Lactobacillus reuteri-induced regulatory T cells protect against an allergic airway response in mice. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med., 179, 186–193. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200806-951OC
- Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Walters, W. A., Gonzalez, A., Caporaso, J. G., and Knight, R. (2011). Using QIIME to analyze 16S rRNA gene sequences from microbial communities. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinformat.* Chapter 10:Unit 10 7. doi: 10.1002/0471250953.bi1007s36
- Lappin, M. R., Veir, J. K., Satyaraj, E., and Czarnecki-Maulden, G. (2009). Pilot study to evaluate the effect of oral supplementation of *Enterococcus faecium* SF68 on cats with latent feline herpesvirus 1. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 11, 650–654. doi: 10.1016/j.jfms.2008.12.006
- Lee, D. E., Huh, C. S., Ra, J., Choi, I. D., Jeong, J. W., Kim, S. H., et al. (2015). Ahn. clinical evidence of effects of *Lactobacillus plantarum* HY7714 on skin aging. A randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled study. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 25, 2160–2168. doi: 10.4014/jmb.1509.09021
- Li, C. Y., Lin, H. C., Hsueh, K. C., Wu, S. F., and Fang, S. H. (2010). Oral administration of Lactobacillus salivarius inhibits the allergic airway response in mice. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 56, 373–379. doi: 10.1139/W10-024
- Lievin-Le Moal, V., and Servin, A. L. (2014). Anti-infective activities of lactobacillus strains in the human intestinal microbiota. from probiotics to gastrointestinal anti-infectious biotherapeutic agents. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 27, 167–199. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00080-13
- Ling, Z., Liu, X., Cheng, Y., and Li, L. (2016). Potential roles of disordered airway microbiota in patients with severe asthma. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 137:648. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.09.043
- Madan, J. C. (2016). neonatal gastrointestinal and respiratory microbiome in cystic fibrosis. potential interactions and implications for systemic *Health Clin Ther*. 38, 740–746. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2016.02.008
- Madan, J. C., Koestler, D. C., Stanton, B. A., Davidson, L., Moulton, L. A., Housman, M. L., et al. (2012). Serial analysis of the gut and respiratory microbiome in cystic fibrosis in infancy: interaction between intestinal and respiratory tracts and impact of nutritional exposures. *MBio* 3: pii: e00251-12. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00251-12
- Magoc, T., and Salzberg, S. L. (2011). FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2957–2963. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507
- Marsland, B. J., and Salami, O. (2015). Microbiome influences on allergy in mice and humans. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 36, 94–100. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2015.07.005
- Marsland, B. J., Trompette, A., and Gollwitzer, E. S. (2015). The gut-lung axis in respiratory disease. Ann. Am. Thorac. Soc. 12(Suppl. 2), S150–S156. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201503-133AW
- Mastromarino, P., Capobianco, D., Miccheli, A., Pratic,ò, G., Campagna, G., Laforgia, N., et al. (2015). Administration of a multistrain probiotic product (VSL#3) to women in the perinatal period differentially affects breast milk beneficial microbiota in relation to mode of delivery. *Pharmacol. Res.* 95–96, 63–70. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2015.03.013
- McCoy, K. D., and Koller, Y. (2015). New developments providing mechanistic insight into the impact of the microbiota on allergic disease. *Clin. Immunol.* 159, 170–176. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2015.05.007

- Meijer, K., de Vos, P., and Priebe, M. G. (2010). Butyrate and other short-chain fatty acids as modulators of immunity: what relevance for health? *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care* 13, 715–721. doi: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e32833eebe5
- Mezzasalma, V., Manfrini, E., Ferri, E., Boccarusso, M., Di Gennaro, P., Schiano, I., et al. (2017). Orally administered multispecies probiotic formulations to prevent uro-genital infections. a randomized placebo-controlled pilot study. *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.* 295, 163–172. doi: 10.1007/s00404-016-4235-2
- Morris, A., Beck, J. M., Schloss, P. D., Campbell, T. B., Crothers, K., Curtis, J. L., et al. (2013). Comparison of the respiratory microbiome in healthy nonsmokers and smokers. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 187, 1067–1075. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201210-1913OC
- Neish, S. (2014). Mucosal immunity and the microbiome. Ann. Am. Thorac. Soc. 11(Suppl. 1), S28–S32. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201306-161MG
- Norris Reinero, C. R., Decile, K. C., Berghaus, R. D., Williams, K. J., Leutenegger, C. M., Gershwin, L. J., et al. (2004). An experimental model of allergic asthma in cats sensitized to house dust mite or bermuda grass allergen. *Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol.* 135, 117–131. doi: 10.1159/000080654
- Pirrone, M., Pinciroli, R., and Berra, L. (2016). Microbiome, biofilms, and pneumonia in the ICU. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* 29, 160–166. doi: 10.1097/QCO.00000000000255
- Rautava, S., and Isolauri, E. (2002). The development of gut immune responses and gut microbiota. Effects of probiotics in prevention and treatment of allergic disease. *Curr. Issues Intest. Microbiol.* 3, 15–22. doi: 10.1016/j.gtc.2012.08.007
- Reinero, C. R., DeClue, A. E., and Rabinowitz, P. (2009). Asthma in humans and cats: is there a common sensitivity to aeroallegens in shared environments? *Environ. Res.* 109, 634–640. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2009.02.001
- Romani Vestman, N., Chen, T., Lif Holgerson, P., Öhman, C., and Johansson, I. (2015). Oral Microbiota Shift after 12-Week Supplementation with Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17938 and PTA 5289; A Randomized Control Trial. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0125812. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125812
- Samuelson, D. R., Welsh, D. A., and Shellito, J. E. (2015). Regulation of lung immunity and host defense by the intestinal microbiota. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:1085. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01085
- Sidiq, F., Hoostal, M., and Rogers, S. O. (2016). Rapid identification of fungi in culture-negative clinical blood and respiratory samples by DNA sequence analyses. *BMC Res. Notes* 9:293. doi: 10.1186/s13104-016-2097-0
- Southam, D. S., Dolovich, M., O'Byrne, P. M., and Inman, M. D. (2002). Distribution of intranasal instillations in mice: effects of volume, time, body position, and anesthesia. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol. 282, L833– L839. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00173.2001
- Thaiss, C. A., Zmora, N., Levy, M., and Elinav, E. (2016). The microbiome and innate immunity. *Nature* 535, 65–74. doi: 10.1038/nature18847
- Treven, P., Mrak, V., Bogovič Matijašić, B., Horvat, S., and Rogelj, I. (2015). Administration of probiotics *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG and *Lactobacillus gasseri* K7 during pregnancy and lactation changes mouse mesenteric lymph nodes and mammary gland microbiota. *J. Dairy Sci.* 98, 2114–2128. doi: 10.3168/jds.2014-8519

- Vieira, A. T., Rocha, V. M., Tavares, L., Garcia, C. C., Teixeira, M. M., Nicoli, J. R., et al. (2016). Control of Klebsiella pneumoniae pulmonary infection and immunomodulation by oral treatment with the commensal probiotic Bifidobacterium longum 5(1A). *Microb. Infect.* 18, 180–189. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2015.10.008
- Vientos-Plotts, A. I., Ericsson, A. C., Rindt, H., Grobman, M. E., Graham, A., Bishop, K., et al. (2017). Dynamic changes of the respiratory microbiota and its relationship to fecal and blood microbiota in healthy young cats. *PLoS ONE* 12:e0173818. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0173818
- Vitali, B., Cruciani, F., Baldassarre, M. E., Capursi, T., Spisni, E., Valerii, M. C., et al. (2012). Dietary supplementation with probiotics during late pregnancy: outcome on vaginal microbiota and cytokine secretion. *BMC Microbiol*. 12:236. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-12-236
- Williams, K., and Roman, J. (2016). Studying human respiratory disease in animals-role of induced and naturally occurring models. J. Pathol. 238, 220–232. doi: 10.1002/path.4658
- Yin, Y., Hountras, P., and Wunderink, R. G. (2017). The microbiome in mechanically ventilated patients. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* 30, 208–213. doi: 10.1097/QCO.00000000000352
- Yoda, K., He, F., Miyazawa, K., Kawase, M., Kubota, A., and Hiramatsu, M. (2012). Orally administered heat-killed Lactobacillus gasseri TMC0356 alters respiratory immune responses and intestinal microbiota of diet-induced obese mice. J. Appl. Microbiol., 113, 155–162. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012. 05316.x
- Yu, Z., and Morrison, M. (2004). Improved extraction of PCR-quality community DNA from digesta and fecal samples. *BioTechniques* 36, 808–812. doi: 10.2144/3605A0808
- Zamani, B., Golkar, H. R., Farshbaf, S., Emadi-Baygi, M., Tajabadi-Ebrahimi, M., Jafari, P., et al. (2016). Clinical and metabolic response to probiotic supplementation in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Int. J. Rheum. Dis.* 19, 869–879. doi: 10.1111/1756-185X.12888
- Zuccotti, G., Meneghin, F., Aceti, A., Barone, G., Callegari, M. L., Di Mauro, A., et al. (2015). Probiotics for prevention of atopic diseases in infants. systematic review and meta-analysis. *Allergy* 70, 1356–1371. doi: 10.1111/all. 12700

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Vientós-Plotts, Ericsson, Rindt and Reinero. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.