



Comprehensive Transcriptome Profiling Reveals Long Noncoding RNA Expression and Alternative Splicing Regulation during Fruit Development and Ripening in Kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis*)

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Tiegang Lu, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

Reviewed by:

Julia Irene Questa, John Innes Centre, UK Caiguo Zhang, University of Colorado, Denver, USA

*Correspondence:

Jia Liu jialiu1983@163.com; Yongsheng Liu liuyongsheng1122@hfut.edu.cn

[†]Present Address:

These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Genetics and Genomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 01 January 2016 Accepted: 04 March 2016 Published: 29 March 2016

Citation:

Tang W, Zheng Y, Dong J, Yu J, Yue J, Liu F, Guo X, Huang S, Wisniewski M, Sun J, Niu X, Ding J, Liu J, Fei Z and Liu Y (2016) Comprehensive Transcriptome Profiling Reveals Long Noncoding RNA Expression and Alternative Splicing Regulation during Fruit Development and Ripening in Kiwifruit (Actinidia chinensis). Front. Plant Sci. 7:335. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00335 Wei Tang^{1,2†}, Yi Zheng^{3†}, Jing Dong², Jia Yu², Junyang Yue¹, Fangfang Liu², Xiuhong Guo², Shengxiong Huang¹, Michael Wisniewski⁴, Jiaqi Sun², Xiangli Niu¹, Jian Ding⁵, Jia Liu^{1*}, Zhangjun Fei³ and Yongsheng Liu^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Biological Sciences, School of Biotechnology and Food Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei, China, ² Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Bio-Resource and Eco-Environment, College of Life Science, State Key Laboratory of Hydraulics and Mountain River Engineering, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ³ Section of Plant Biology, Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA, ⁴ U.S. Department of Agriculture – Agricultural Research Service, Kearneysville, WV, USA, ⁵ Sichuan Technical Exchange Center, Chengdu, China

Genomic and transcriptomic data on kiwifruit (Actinidia chinensis) in public databases are very limited despite its nutritional and economic value. Previously, we have constructed and sequenced nine fruit RNA-Seq libraries of A. chinensis "Hongyang" at immature, mature, and postharvest ripening stages of fruit development, and generated over 66.2 million paired-end and 24.4 million single-end reads. From this dataset, here we have identified 7051 long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs), 29,327 alternative splicing (AS) events and 2980 novel protein-coding genes that were not annotated in the draft genome of "Hongyang." AS events were demonstrated in genes involved in the synthesis of nutritional metabolites in fruit, such as ascorbic acids, carotenoids, anthocyanins, and chlorophylls, and also in genes in the ethylene signaling pathway, which plays an indispensable role in fruit ripening. Additionally, transcriptome profiles and the contents of sugars, organic and main amino acids were compared between immature, mature, and postharvest ripening stages in kiwifruits. A total of 5931 differentially expressed genes were identified, including those associated with the metabolism of sugar, organic acid, and main amino acids. The data generated in this study provide a foundation for further studies of fruit development and ripening in kiwifruit, and identify candidate genes and regulatory elements that could serve as targets for improving important agronomic traits through marker assisted breeding and biotechnology.

Keywords: fruit development and ripening, long noncoding RNAs, alternative splicing, novel genes, transcriptome profiling, gene expression

1

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Actinidia*, commonly known as kiwifruit, is widely distributed throughout most of East Asia, and consists of 57 species of climbing plants (Ferguson and Huang, 2007). This genus is considered native to China, since most of the species occur in the southwest of the country. Over the past three decades, kiwifruit has become an economically important fruit crop due to its nutritional quality and unique flavor, and its cultivation worldwide has substantially increased (Ferguson and Huang, 2007; Zhang L. et al., 2010). The annual production of kiwifruit was 3.26 million metric tons in 2013 (http://faostat3.fao.org/). Despite its importance and increasing production, the international kiwifruit industry relies mainly on a few naturally selected cultivars derived from two intraspecific taxa, *A. chinensis* and *A. deliciosa* (Chat et al., 2004; Zhang L. et al., 2010).

The flesh of the majority of kiwifruit cultivars is either green or yellow at harvest (Huang and Ferguson, 2003; Wang et al., 2003; Montefiori et al., 2005; Crowhurst et al., 2008). The first commercial red-flesh cultivar is A. chinensis "Hongyang." It is characterized by a medium fruit size (average weight per fruit is 77.6 g), a total acid content of 0.49%, and a soluble solid concentration of 19.6%. Importantly, "Hongyang" also has a high content of vitamin C (136 mg 100 g^{-1} FW) and anthocyanin (2.99 mg 100 g⁻¹ FW; Wang et al., 2003; Montefiori et al., 2005). Kiwifruit contains sugars such as glucose, fructose, and sucrose, and organic acids such as citric, quinic, malic, and ascorbic acids, and main amino acids such as glutamine, arginine, and aspartate (Redgwell and MacRae, 1992; Capitani et al., 2010). The combination of sugars, organic acids and free amino acids represents the major factor contributing to kiwifruit flavor (Sorrequieta et al., 2010). In general, the total sugar content in "Hongyang" is higher than that of other A. chinensis cultivars; while the concentration of the three main organic acids (citric acid, quinic acid and malic acid) is similar (Wang et al., 2003; Nishiyama et al., 2008). This sugar/acid ratio is believed to contribute to the excellent flavor characteristics for "Hongyang" fruit. Carotenoids, chlorophylls, and anthocyanins in kiwifruit represent forms of dietary antioxidants. Anthocyanins are the main pigments in "Hongyang" fruit inner pericarp, chlorophylls and lutein are the main pigments in outer pericarp, all of them contributing to its overall appearance and attractiveness (Montefiori et al., 2005; Nishiyama et al., 2008).

The critical genes in the flavonoid (Montefiori et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2013; Jaakola, 2013) or monoterpene (Nieuwenhuizen et al., 2015) synthesis pathway have been characterized in fruits within a range of plant species. The major anthocyanin in *A. chinensis* "Hongyang," is cyanidin 3-O-xylo-(1-2)-galactoside, and smaller amounts of cyanidin 3-O-galactoside are present (Montefiori et al., 2005). In contrast, cyanidin 3-O-xylo(1-2)-galactoside has not been detected in *A. deliciosa* genotypes, and the major reported anthocyanins are cyanidin 3-O-galactoside and cyanidin 3-O-glucoside (Montefiori et al., 2005, 2011; Fraser et al., 2013). Anthocyanin biosynthesis and accumulation are regulated by many transcription factors, as well as environmental factors. The precise control of anthocyanin

accumulation in inner pericarp tissues of kiwifruit, however, has not been elucidated. "Hongyang" with its unique flavor, excellent nutritional quality and high market value (Jaeger and Harker, 2005) represents an excellent system to study kiwifruit development and ripening.

Sweetness is one of the most important quality traits for kiwifruit cultivation and breeding. Sugars (sucrose, monosaccharides, and polyols) are important molecules in plants and function as a source of energy, building blocks for cell walls, and as osmotic and regulatory molecules (Smeekens et al., 2010). Enzymes associated with sugar metabolism include ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (AGPase), sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS), invertase (INV), amylase, sucrose synthase (SUS), fructokinase (FK), and hexokinase (HK; Deluc et al., 2007). Sugar transporters are essential proteins for the transport and allocation of sugars from source to sink cells (Kühn and Grof, 2010). Many sucrose transporters have been characterized in Arabidopsis, grape, and rice (Sauer and Stolz, 1994; Davies et al., 1999). Despite the progress made in identifying genes encoding sugar transporters, little is known about the transcriptional regulation of these genes. While some of the genes involved in sugar metabolism in kiwifruit have been identified (Nardozza et al., 2013), further studies on the regulation of sugar metabolism, especially in fruit tissue, are needed to develop approaches to regulate and improve fruit quality.

Genetic studies and variety breeding in kiwifruit are complex and time-consuming due to its high level of heterozygosity, and long juvenility period. High-throughput sequencing has now become a powerful tool for studying the transcriptome of species with and without sequenced genomes (Wang et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2014). Crowhurst et al. (2008) generated a collection of 132,577 expressed sequence tags (ESTs) in four Actinidia species (A. chinensis, A. deliciosa, A. arguta, and A. eriantha). Recent efforts using next-generation sequencing data have produced a draft genome sequence of a heterozygous kiwifruit "Hongyang." In addition, an Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencing platform has been used to generate transcriptomic data from three stages of fruit development in order to facilitate gene prediction and annotation (Huang et al., 2013). Recently, the transcript profiles of kiwifruit were constructed and analyzed by Li et al. (2015), with a focus on the secondary metabolism including phytohormones, sugars, starch, anthocyanin, and Lascorbic acid.

At the RNA level, alternative splicing (AS) of pre-mRNAs represents a major mechanism by which the complexity of the transcriptome and proteome is increased (Modrek and Lee, 2002; McGuire et al., 2008; Bartlett et al., 2009; Tang et al., 2013), while long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) constitute a crucial regulatory module in diverse gene-silencing pathways (Bardou et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015). Li et al. (2015) analyzed exon number, transcripts sizes, start sites of the novel transcripts and listed the extend 5' or 3' sites of alternative splicing events in different developmental stages of kiwifruit. However, the sequence and annotation of novel transcripts and other splicing events (exon skipping and intro retention) were not listed. In the present study, by employing sequence data derived from the nine different RNA-Seq libraries, we identified 7051 lncRNAs,

29,327 alternative splicing events and 2980 novel genes that were not annotated in the draft genome of "Hongyang" (Huang et al., 2013). Differential gene expression was also characterized between fruits at 20, 120, and 127 (7 days postharvest) days after pollination (DAP) to gain further insight into the genetic regulation of fruit development. Both up- and down-regulated genes were identified at each stage of fruit development. Since sugars are such an essential aspect of fruit flavor in kiwifruit, qRT-PCR was used to specifically study the expression level of genes putatively associated with sugar metabolism.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

A. chinensis "Hongyang" was grown in the experimental station of Sichuan Academy of Natural Resources, Sichuan Province, China. Fruit samples were collected from five 5-year-old plant, at 20 days after pollination (DAP; beginning of cell division), 120 DAP (onset of fruit mature, Brix 6.5–7.5), and 127 DAP (onset of postharvest ripening, Brix 9-10). The sampled tissues were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C for RNA-seq analysis. Two to three biological replicates were collected at each sampling. The physical or physiological parameters of tissues/organs of kiwifruit "Hongyang" were described in Huang et al. (2013).

RNA Extraction, Transcriptome, and Gene Expression Profile Sequencing

Total fruit RNA was isolated using Trizol reagent, treated with DNase I and further purified with RNA clean kit (Promega, USA). RNA quality and quantity were checked with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer RNA Nanochip (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) and NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop, Wilmington, DE), respectively. RNA samples were pooled from equal amounts of RNA from five independent individuals. The samples were sent to Beijing Genomics Institute-Shenzhen (BGI, Shenzhen, China) for RNA-Seq library construction and sequencing using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencing platform following manufacture's protocols (Illumina Inc, USA). Raw RNA-Seq reads have been deposited in the NCBI sequence read archive (SRA) under the accession number SRA065642 (Huang et al., 2013). In addition, the templates of RT-PCR or qRT-PCR were synthesized by using HiFiScript Reverse Transcription kit CW2582 (CWBIO, China), with oligo dT and random primers.

RNA-Seq Read Processing, Assembly, and Transcript Construction

The adaptor and low quality bases were trimmed from raw sequencing reads using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014), and trimmed reads shorter than 40 bp were discarded. The resulting high quality reads were aligned to a ribosome RNA database (Quast et al., 2013) using Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009) allowing up to three mismatches, and mapped reads were discarded in the subsequent analyses. The remaining cleaned reads were aligned to kiwifruit genome sequences using Tophat2 (Trapnell et al., 2009) allowing two mismatches for paired-end reads, and one mismatch for single-end reads. Only reads with perfect matches

to the genome were used for reference-guided *de novo* assemblies using Cufflinks (Trapnell et al., 2010). The assembled transcripts from three different fruit developmental stages were merged together with a kiwifruit gene model using Cuffmerge, which was provided in the Cufflinks package.

Identification of IncRNAs, Novel Genes, and as Events

The assembled transcripts were translated into proteins using ESTScan (Iseli et al., 1999), and the longest protein for each transcript was kept and compared against the *Arabidopsis thaliana* protein and UniProt (TrEMBL and SwissProt) databases using the BLAST program with an *E*-value cutoff 1e-4. The blast results were used to assess the coding potential of each assembled transcript using Coding Potential Calculator (CPC; Kong et al., 2007).

To identify lncRNAs, transcripts originally obtained from the kiwifruit predicted gene models or those shorter than 200 bp were first discarded. The remaining transcripts with a CPC score < 0 and an ORF length < 300 bp were identified as lncRNAs. Novel protein-coding genes were identified using the following criteria: (1) they had to be located in intergenic regions and their distance to the closest predicted gene models should be >500 bp, (2) their ORF length should be longer than 300 bp, and (3) their CPC scores should be >0.

AS events were identified from the assembled transcripts using ASTALAVISTA (Foissac and Sammeth, 2007). Different categories of AS events were identified and counted using an in house Perl script (Sammeth et al., 2008).

Differential Gene Expression

The number of clean reads that mapped to each kiwifruit gene model was calculated, and then normalized into fragments per kb exon model per million mapped fragments (FPKM). To identify differentially expressed genes during the fruit development, raw counts of RNA-seq expression data were first transformed using the get Variance Stabilized Data function in the DESeq package (Anders and Huber, 2010). The variance-stabilizing transformed expression data were then fed to the LIMMA package (Smyth, 2004), and *F*-tests were performed. Raw *P*-values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). Genes with a ratio between the maximum and minimum expression levels ≥ 2 and an adjusted P < 0.01 were identified as differentially expressed genes during fruit development.

RT-PCR and qRT-PCR

Primers were designed to amplify lncRNAs, AS events and new genes. PrimeSTART HS DNA polymerase (Takara, China) was used for lncRNAs and the amplification of new genes. The realtime reverse transcription, quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) was carried out in a total volume of 20 μ l, containing 10 μ l of SoFast EvaGreen (Bio-Rad, USA), 0.4 μ M of each primer, 6 μ l of 1:50 diluted cDNA and 3.2 μ l ddH₂O. Thermal cycling consisted of a hold at 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, and 60°C for 20 s. After amplification, samples were kept at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. The temperature was then gradually raised, by 0.5° C every 10 s, to perform a melt-curve analysis. Each sample was amplified in triplicate, and all PCR reactions were performed on the StepOne Real-time PCR System (AB applied Biosystem, USA). The $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method was employed with *Actin* (*Achn107181*) and *18S* rRNA (NCBI Accession: AB253775) as endogenous controls (Li et al., 2010). Primers used for RT-PCR and qRT-PCR were listed (**Table S1**).

Amino Acids, Organic Acids, and Sugars Analysis

Kiwifruit samples at three development stages (20, 120, and 127 DAP) were used for the analysis of amino acids, organic acids, and sugars. Each stage contains three biological replicates. The detection of amino acids was according to Javelle et al. (2003). Organic acids were prepared from three stages samples each containing five fruits. Fruits were put into a homogenizer for homogenizing, and then 40 mL ddH₂O be added to 10 g of pulp at 4°C for 4h. Twenty microliter filtering supernatant was used for liquid chromatograph (Model LC-6AD; Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) analysis. HPLC separations were performed using a Diamonsil C18 reverse-phase column (250 mm \times 4.6 mm \times 5 μ m) purchased from USA. The mobile phases were used 10 mm H_2SO_4 (pH = 2.6), at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. The column temperature was set at 30°C. Sugars were detected by HPLC-ELSD, using an carbohydrate analysis column (3.9 \times 300 mm, 10 μ m) at 25°C. The mobile phase was composed of water and acetonitrile (23:77) with a flow rate of 1 mL/min (Nishiyama et al., 2008). Standard of Glucose, fructose, sucrose, citric acid, malic acid were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sequencing and Overview of the RNA-Seq Dataset

RNA-Seq libraries were constructed from three independent biological replicates of whole fruit tissues collected at 20, 120, and 127 DAP. A total of 81.1 million and 25.5 million reads were generated from paired-end (PE) and single-end (SE) libraries, respectively, using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencing platform. Removal of low quality, adaptor sequence, and rRNA contaminated reads resulted in a final number of 66.2 million PE and 24.4 million SE reads, respectively, among which ~87.5% PE reads and ~90.6% SE reads were mapped to the kiwifruit genome (**Table S2**). Among the mapped reads, ~95% of PE and 89% of SE reads were uniquely aligned.

Approximately 79.1% PE, and 73.7% SE reads were mapped to the kiwifruit genome with perfect matches. These mapped reads were used for reference-guided *de novo* assembly and assembled into 56,313, 64,892, and 57,656 transcript isoforms in fruits at 20, 120, and 127 DAP, respectively. This corresponded to 49,766, 56,456, and 48,176 gene models, respectively. All of the assembled isoforms, together with the full length transcripts from kiwifruit draft genome (Huang et al., 2013), were merged to remove redundancies. This resulted in 54,425 gene models and 105,632 isoforms, among which 39,040 gene models were from kiwifruit predicted. There were 12,185 isoforms that were not expressed (FPKM \approx 0) at any of the three fruit developmental stages. The majority of them (11,255; 92.4%) were from kiwifruit predicted gene models. The remaining 930 trace-expressed isoforms were not included in any further analyses. In summary, a comprehensive set of 104,702 transcripts, corresponding to 54,422 gene models, was obtained from the three stages of kiwifruit development.

Kiwifruit IncRNAs

A total of 7051 potential lncRNAs were identified in the comprehensive set of kiwifruit transcripts, among which 1511 had CPC scores between 0 and -1 and thus scored as "weak noncoding" while the other 5540 had CPC scores < -1 and thus scored as "strong noncoding" (Table S3A). The lncRNAs were placed into different groups based on the anatomical properties of their gene loci (Rinn and Chang, 2012). A subset of 6009 lncRNAs located in intergenic regions, with a distance >500 bp to the closest kiwifruit genes, were classified as intergenic large intervening noncoding RNAs (lincRNAs). Another 597 lncRNAs were categorized as overlapping lncRNAs since they were located in protein-coding gene regions. A total of 169 lncRNAs were categorized as antisense lncRNAs since they had more than a 50 bp overlap with their corresponding sense transcript. Finally, 881 were classified as intronic lncRNAs as they resided completely within an intron in protein-coding genes (Table 1). Importantly, this category system was not applicable to all the identified lncRNAs. A total of 347 lncRNAs were put into an "other group" since they did not confirm to any of the above categories. Additionally, in some cases, the same lncRNAs could be placed in different categories. As shown in the Venn diagram in Figure 1A, most of the antisense lncRNAs (117 out of 169) were also categorized as overlapping lncRNAs since they overlapped with kiwifruit protein-coding genes. Approximately 92% of the intronic lncRNA were also located within intergenic regions. Detailed information on each of the categorized lncRNAs including their genome location, transcript length, and expression profile during fruit development, are provided (Tables S3B-F).

A total of 6454 lncRNAs (~92%), were located in intergenic regions, including all 6009 lincRNAs (~85%) and other lncRNAs that partially resided in intergenic regions (Figure S1A). A similar proportion of intergenic lncRNAs (~93%) were identified in maize (Li et al., 2014), and 73% of lncRNAs were identified as lincRNAs in rice (Liu et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014). A total of 2910 (41.3%) kiwifruit lncRNAs overlapped with repeat sequences (Figure S1B; Table S3A). This proportion is close to that in rice (40%), but is lower than that in Arabidopsis (49%) and maize (68%; Liu et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014). The median length of kiwifruit lncRNAs is 364 nucleotides, which is between that of Arabidopsis and rice lncRNAs (Liu et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014). Antisense lncRNAs in kiwifruit tended to be longer than the lncRNAs in other categories, while intronic lncRNAs tended to have the shortest transcript length (Figure 1B). Consistent with maize, the majority of kiwifruit lncRNAs (83.4%) were single-exon transcripts. The exon numbers of lncRNAs in different categories exhibited



significant variation. Approximately 80% of the antisense and overlapping lncRNA transcripts were multi-exon transcripts, while the intergenic and "other group" lncRNAs contained more than 80% single-exon transcripts; especially intronic lncRNAs, ~98.8% of which had one single exon (Figure 1C; Table 2).

The intronic and antisense lncRNAs appeared as pairs with their corresponding coding or noncoding transcripts. A total of 1736 and 319 of the intronic and antisense lncRNAs existed as transcript pairs, respectively (**Tables S3G–I**). A majority (94.7%) of the sense-antisense pairs consisted of one noncoding and one coding transcript, indicating a potential predominant cisregulating role for these antisense lncRNAs (**Table S3H**). The

TABLE 1 | Summary of IncRNAs and novel genes identified in the kiwifruit genome.

Features	No. of features
LncRNAs	
IntergenicIncRNA (lincRNA)	6009
Overlapping IncRNA	597
IntronicIncRNA	881
IntronicIncRNA (pairs)	1736
Antisense IncRNA (IncNAT)	169
Antisense IncRNA (pair)	319
Noncoding-coding	302
Noncoding-noncoding	17
Other IncRNA	347
NOVEL GENES	
Transcript	4813
Gene	2980

TABLE 2 | Number of exons in different categories of lincRNAs in kiwifruit.

Exon No. of number IncRNA		No. of lincRNAs	No. of intronicIncRNAs	No. of intronicIncRNAs	
1	5581	5100	870	32	
2	1134	709	11	106	
3	279	169	0	20	
4	47	25	0	11	
5	7	5	0	0	
6	2	1	0	0	
7	1	0	0	0	

other 17 were noncoding-noncoding pairs and further studies are needed to discern their function (**Table S3I**). Seven lncRNAs were randomly selected to verification by RT-PCR and Sanger sequencing, and six of them were found to be clearly expressed in the three fruit stages (**Figure S2A**). LncRNAs are thought to have a wide range of functions in the regulation of gene expression in higher plants (Bardou et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015). Interestingly, three intronic lncRNAs were identified that overlapped with one neutral invertase (*Achn178991*) and two sugar transporter (*Achn017471* and *Achn319221*) genes involved in sugar and organic acid metabolism (**Table S3G**).

Novel Protein-Coding Genes

A total of 2980 novel potential protein-coding genes, containing a total of 4813 transcript isoforms, were identified in this study of kiwifruit (**Table S4A**). These protein-coding genes tended to have more exons and longer sequences than the identified lncRNAs. Nearly 84% (2498) of these novel genes were transcribed with multi-exons and more than 67% had primary transcript sequences longer than 1000 bp, and CDS sequences of \sim 30% of these novel genes were longer than 1000 bp (**Figure 2A**). Approximately 89% of the transcripts could be functionally annotated, indicating that the sequences obtained for these novel protein-coding genes were of high quality.



Four of the new genes, *MYB domain protein* (*Myb17*), *Flavanone 3-hydroxylase* (*F3H*), *F-box family protein* (*F-box*), and *SQUAMOSA promoter-binding-like* (*SPL*), which were randomly selected, were expressed in all three of the examined fruit stages. Sanger sequencing of the expressed genes were the same as the predicted sequences (**Figure S2B**). Interestingly, genes coding for ascorbic acid biosynthetic enzymes, including L-ascorbate oxidase (AO) and pectinesterase (PME), as well as glutamyltRNA reductase (GluTR) genes related to chlorophyll synthesis, and genes coding for anthocyanin biosynthesis, including chalcone isomerase (CHI), flavanone 3-hydroxylase (F3H) were identified among the novel genes (**Table S4B**).

Alternative Splicing (AS) Events

By removing 11,255 non-expressed isoforms from the kiwifruit predicted genes, a total of 29,327 AS events were identified from transcript isoforms that were all expressed during kiwifruit fruit development (**Table 3**). These AS events occurred in 11,868 intron-containing genes, and represented 40,109 different transcripts (**Table S5A**). These data indicate that 26% of the expressed gene loci (36.7% of intron-containing gene loci), or 42.9% of expressed transcripts, were subject to alternative splicing. These results are comparable to the level of alternative splicing reported in other plant species (Filichkin et al., 2010; Zhang G. et al., 2010; Marquez et al., 2012; Shen et al., 2014;

TABLE 3 | Number and percentage of different types of AS events and splicing sites.

AS events and slicing site	Number	Percentage (%)
AS EVENTS		
Alternative 3' Acceptor	6930	23.6
Alternative 5' Donor	3118	10.6
Intron retention	5414	18.5
Exon skipping	5960	20.3
Others	7905	27.0
Total	29,327	100.0
SPLICING SITE		
GT-AG	203,721	98.3
GC-AG	2322	1.1
AT-AC	403	0.2
Others	740	0.4
Total	207,186	100.0

Thatcher et al., 2014). Among the AS events, 6930 belonged to the category of alternative 3' acceptor site, 3118 to the alternative 5' donor site category, 5414 to intron retention category (Table 3). The result is consistent with the previous study (Li et al., 2015). While, the total number of exon skipping category (5960) is more than Li et al. reported (2015). Interestingly, in contrast to the AS categories reported in other plant species (Reddy et al., 2013), the intron retention category was not the predominant AS event type in kiwifruit. Identification of AS events was confirmed using individual RNA-Seq data at various stages in different biological replicates. These data confirmed that intron retention was still not the predominant category of AS (data not shown). The percentage of different AS types, especially intron retention events, is likely highly correlated with intron length (Reddy, 2007). Most introns in kiwifruit were \sim 100 bp in length (**Figure 2B**). However, the average intron lengths in predicted kiwifruit genes and transcript isoforms were 1188 and 1082 bp, respectively, which were much larger than the average intron length in Arabidopsis (\sim 170 bp) and rice (~430 bp; Reddy, 2007). Therefore, the lower percentage of intron retention events in kiwifruit may be due to the longer intron length. Interestingly, dramatic changes in the number of AS events in different fruit developmental stages were also observed. In general, the number of AS events during fruit development increased from 20 to 127 DAP. At 20 DAP and 120 DAP, intron retention was the second most abundant type of AS event, representing 17.5 and 21% of the total AS events, respectively. Although the percentage of intron retention events was decreased slightly from 21% at 120 DAP to 17.4% at 127 DAP, the percentage of exon skipping events increased greatly from 11.8 to 24.5%, which was accompanied by a concomitant decrease in the percentage of alternative 3' acceptor and alternative 5' donor AS events (Table 4). Changes in the percentage of AS types may be related to the physiological and biochemical changes that occur during fruit ripening. GT-AG represented \sim 98.3% of the splicing sites in the 207,186 introns of the expressed isoforms. The number of GC-AG, AT-AC, and others splicing sites was 2322 (1.1%), 403 (0.2%), and 740

Events	20 DAP		120 DAP		127 DAP	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Alternative 3' Acceptor	1667	41.9	1962	41.3	1922	31.4
Alternative 5' Donor	640	16.1	692	14.6	849	13.9
Intron retention	698	17.5	996	21.0	1063	17.4
Exon skipping	495	12.4	558	11.8	1498	24.5
Others	480	12.1	537	11.3	789	12.9
Total	3980	100.00	4745	100.00	6121	100.00

TABLE 4 | Number and percentage of different types of AS events at different fruit developmental stages defined as the number of days after pollination (DAP).

(0.4%), respectively (**Table 3**). These numbers and percentages are consistent with those found in other plant species (Reddy et al., 2013). Five of the AS events, Auxin-response factor gene *Achn271111* (*TCONS_00051294*, *TCONS_00051295*, *TCONS_000512947*); b:UDP-glycosyltransferase gene *Achn017071* (*TCONS_00020411*, *TCONS_00020412*), were randomly selected to verification by RT-PCR and Sanger sequencing (**Figure S3**).

AS Events Involved in Vitamin C, Carotenoid, Chlorophyll, and Flavonoid Metabolic Pathways and Ethylene Signaling

Kiwifruit is well-known for its high nutritional value due to its high content of ascorbic acid (vitamin C). A number of AS events were identified in various genes involved in ascorbic acid biosynthesis, including aldonolactonase (Alase), L-ascorbate peroxidase (APX), D-galacturonic acid reductase (GalUR), GDP-D-mannose-3,5-epimerase (GME), Lgalactose-1-phosphate phosphatase (GPP), inositol-3-phosphate synthase (IPS), polygalacturonase (PG), glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (PGI), PME, mannose-6-phosphate isomerase (PMI), phosphomannomutase (PMM), and myo-inositol oxygenase (MIOX). AS events were also identified in genes responsible for ascorbic acid regeneration from its oxidized forms, including dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR) and monohydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR; Table S5B). Interestingly, Laing et al. (2015) demonstrated that ascorbate concentration in Arabidopsis is determined via an alternatively spliced, upstream, open reading frame that represses the translation of a downstream GGP (GDP-l-galactose phosphorylase) gene under high ascorbate concentration.

AS events in genes involved in the biosynthesis and metabolism of carotenoids, chorophylls, and flavonoids were also identified. Genes associated with carotenoid biosynthesis, including non-heme hydroxylases (*CHY*), 7,9,7',9'-tetracis-lycopene isomerase (*CrtISO*), P450 hydroxylases (*CYP*), phytoene desaturase (*PDS*), phytoene synthase (*PSY*), zetacarotene desaturase (*ZDS*), and zeaxanthin epoxidase (*ZEP*) exhibited spliced variants in kiwifruit (**Table S5C**). In wild barley (*Hordeum chilense*), *HcPsy1* has large number of transcripts originated by alternative splicing of, and the coexistence of

functional and non-functional forms, to regulated *PSY* activity and carotenoid biosynthesis (Rodríguez-Suárez et al., 2011).

AS events were also found in genes in the chorophyll metabolic pathway, including chlorophyll a oxygenase (CAO), GluTR, chlorophyll b reductase (CBR), chlorophyll synthase (CLS), pheophorbide a oxygenase (PAO), pheophytin pheophorbide hydrolase (PPH), and chloroplast stay-green protein (SGR). Additionally, genes in the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway, including chalcone synthase (CHS), F3H, dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR), anthocyanidin synthase (ANS), and anthocyanidin 3-O-glucosyltransferase (UDP-glucose) also exhibited AS events (Table S5C).

Ethylene plays a pivotal role in fruit ripening in climacteric fruits, including kiwifruit (MacDiarmid and Gardner, 1993). Therefore, AS events in genes involved in the ethylene signaling pathway were investigated. AS events were identified in kiwifruit genes homologous to 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase (ACO), 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase (ACS), ethylene responsive protein kinase (CTR), ethylene signaling protein (EIN2), green ripe (GR), green ripe-like (GRL), and tetratricopeptide repeat protein (TPR; **Table S5D**). In pea (*Pisum sativum*), *PsACS1* has two transcripts originated by alternative splicing to response indole-3-acetic acid conditions that induce ethylene synthesis (Peck and Kende, 1998).

Differential Gene Expression during Fruit Development

To analyze genes related to fruit development, gene expression levels were estimated by counting the number of aligned reads to each kiwifruit gene region and converting them to FPKM values (**Table S6A**). While high correlation coefficients among biological replicates (>0.85) were observed in general, one of the 20 DAP biological replicates was not included in the differential expression analysis due to a low correlation coefficient with the other two biological replicates (**Table S7**). Significant differences in both up- and down-regulated genes were identified in each stage of fruit development by comparing expression levels with the previous time point (**Figure S4**; **Table S6B**).

To verify the results obtained from the RNA-Seq data, qRT-PCR was performed on a subset of 10 genes using gene-specific primers (**Table S1**). The 10 transcripts were selected due to their significantly different levels of expression at 20, 120, and 127 DAP as determined by their FPKM values. The results of the qRT-PCR indicated that eight of the ten selected genes exhibited expression levels similar to the levels indicated by their FPKM values (**Figure 3**; **Table S6C**).

A total of 5931 differentially expressed genes were identified during fruit development in kiwifruit. More specifically, 4395 genes were differentially expressed in fruits at 20 DAP and 120 DAP; 1739 of which were up-regulated and 2657 of which were down-regulated in fruits at 120 DAP compared to fruits at 20 DAP (Table S6A). Only two, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase (ACC, Achn213921) and kiwellin (Achn022471), of the 10 most highly up-regulated genes have been previously characterized. ACC plays an important role in the biosynthesis of the plant hormone ethylene, which in turn plays a major role in regulating fruit ripening (MacDiarmid and Gardner, 1993). Kiwellin, an allergenic protein formerly isolated from green kiwifruit, exhibits IgE binding capacity (Tuppo et al., 2008). Eighteen of the combined top 10 up- and top 10 down- regulated genes had unclear functions. A seed maturation protein gene (Achn286381) was highly expressed in mature fruit (120 DAP), while two aspartic proteinase nepenthesin genes (Achn141951 and Achn146881) exhibited lower expression levels in mature fruit compared to immature fruit (Table S6D).

A comparison of fruits at 127 and 120 DAP also revealed significant variations in expression. A total of 4091 genes, comprised of 1670 up-regulated and 2421 down-regulated genes, were identified in fruits at 127 DAP. The 10 most up-regulated and 10 most down-regulated genes are listed (**Table S6D**). Three amylase genes (*Achn001191*, *Achn322221*, and *Achn141771*) were among the top 10 most highly up-regulated genes at the postharvest stage (127 DAP) of fruit development. The up-regulation of amylase genes is consistent with the results reported by Richardson et al. (2011). Limited information is available for 17 of the 20 most highly differentially expressed genes (**Table S6D**).

Candidate Genes Related to Kiwifruit Sugar and Organic Acid Metabolism

The flavor of kiwifruit is highly dependent on the balance between soluble sugars and non-volatile organic acids (Nishiyama et al., 2008). Sweetness is the most important quality trait for kiwifruit as it influences overall fruit flavor (sugar/acid balance, perception of volatiles), and determines consumer acceptability (Nardozza et al., 2013). Most breeding programmes, however, have a negative impact on this trait. "Hongyang," in comparison to other A. chinensis varieties, can accumulate more sugar levels (Wang et al., 2003; Nishiyama et al., 2008). Therefore, the expression of genes putatively related to kiwifruit sugar synthesis and accumulation and organic acid metabolism were analyzed (Figure 4; Table S8). Ten AGPase (Glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase) genes, which play a key role in regulating starch biosynthesis, were identified in kiwifruit, and four of them were differentially expressed in different stages of fruit development. Four starch synthase (SS) genes were expressed in all three stages of fruit development, and two of them were more highly expressed in mature (120 DAP) fruit. The expression of two starch branching enzyme genes (*SBE*) was also detected in all three stages of fruit development, but no significant differences were observed in their expression in any of the stages of fruit maturity. Nineteen β -amylase transcripts were detected, 10 of which exhibited significant differences in expression. Seven β -amylase genes had the highest level of expression in the postharvest stage (127 DAP) of fruit maturity. The qRT-PCR data also indicated that *BAM9* (*Achn387071*) exhibited the highest level in mature (127 DAP) fruit (**Figure 5**). Starch content is relatively higher in mature fruit and then begins to decrease during postharvest ripening. The content of glucose increased from 0.40 to 6.42 g/100 g fresh weight in three development stages (**Table S9**). Because of starch degradation, the amount of glucose, fructose and sucrose was higher in the postharvest stage.

Invertase (INV) is an enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis (breakdown) of sucrose. Twenty expressed invertase genes were identified in fruit tissues of kiwifruit, five of which exhibited differential expression. In rice, the gene vacuolar invertase 3 (OsINV3) related to endospermal starch accumulation by regulated the ratio of hexose/sucrose. The coordinated expression of OsINV3 is considered to play an important role in acquiring sink strength for the start of elongation in all types of caryopses (Ishimaru et al., 2005). In kiwifruit, the INV3 homolog (Achn353961) is highly expressed in immature fruit (20 DAP) and may be related to fruit starch accumulation (Figure 5; Table S8). LIN5 is an invertase that plays a key role in regulating sugar content, fruit development, fertility, and hormonal levels in tomato (Fridman et al., 2004). In kiwifruit, the Lin5-like homolog (Achn120291) may also play a key role in regulating soluble sugar levels (Table S8). Sucrose synthase (SUS) catalyzes the reversible conversion of sucrose and a nucleoside diphosphate into nucleoside diphosphateglucose and fructose. SUS is involved in the synthesis of UDP-glucose and ADP-glucose in Arabidopsis, which are compounds that are linked to cellulose and starch biosynthesis, respectively (Barratt et al., 2009). A total of nine SUS genes were found to be expressed in fruit tissues at all stages of development, two of which, SUS2 (Achn240251) and SUSA (Achn024141), exhibited differential expression. SUS2 was highly expressed in immature fruit tissues and gradually decreased in subsequent stages of fruit development. In contrast, the expression of SUSA gradually increased as fruit developed from immature to the postharvest ripening stage (Figure 5; Table 5).

Fructose, one of the most important sugars in kiwifruit, can be phosphorylated to fructose-6-phosphate by fructokinases (FK; Granot, 2007). A total of nine FK genes were found to be expressed in kiwifruit, five of which exhibited different levels of expression during fruit development. qRT-PCR data indicated that *FK4* (*Achn336721*) was most highly expressed in immature (20 DAP) fruit (**Figure 5**). Hexokinase (HK) phosphorylates glucose, producing glucose-6-phosphate in most organisms. Expression of 14 different HK genes was detected in fruit tissues, but only *HK3* (*Achn094531*) exhibited differential expression. *HK3* was highly expressed in immature (20 DAP) and mature (120 DAP) fruits (**Figure 5**).



FIGURE 3 | qRT-PCR validation of genes randomly selected from gene expression profiles. ZFP, Zinc finger protein; GST, glutathione s-transferase; B3D, B3 domain containing transcription repressor; AEC, auxin efflux carrier; NAC, NAC domain-containing protein; WRKY, WRKY transcription factor; FKF1, circadian clock-associated FKF1; MYB, MYB transcription factor. Error bars are standard error (SE) of three replicates.





Annol3081 Alpha amylase 2.58 14.48 28.3 0.000661 10.19 Annol3081 Alpha amylase (MMB) 2.84 4.936 98.61 0.000575 315.44 Annol32761 ADPaas 06.88 379.55 110.6 0.000591 55.1 Annol22761 ADPaas 06.82 61.07 4.72 0.001501 22.02 Annol227161 ADPaas (PM14) 178.97 985.98 219.84 0.000591 316.4 Annol22011 ADPaas (PM14) 178.97 985.98 0.000502 174.55 Annol32021 Beta amylase 3(BM03) 0.16 0.34 279.29 0.000502 174.55 Annol32021 Beta amylase 3(BM03) 0.16 0.34 279.29 0.000502 188.22 Annol31011 Beta amylase (BM11) 56.64 38.77 232.06 0.001682 5.69 Annol31011 Beta amylase (BM11) 56.64 38.77 232.06 0.001682 581.64 Annol377211 Beta amylase 1.24	Gene	Annotation	20 DAP	120 DAP	127 DAP	FDR	Max/Min
Aphr Appe emylese (VM1) 2.64 44.66 66.61 0.000077 36.53 Achb22741 Apbe emylese (VM1) 68.88 373.56 110.66 0.0000491 5.7.51 Achb22741 AcPase 68.08 373.58 110.6 0.000322 2.022 Achb22741 AcPase (APC1) 173.91 67.51 113.91 27.98 0.0000322 174.55 Achb22021 Bets-smylese (SAK2) 0.16 0.24 0.0000322 174.55 Achb22021 Bets-smylese (SAK3) 0.16 0.25 0.000032 178.52 Achb22021 Bets-smylese (SAK3) 0.16 0.25 0.000032 178.52 Achb22031 Bets-smylese (SAK3) 0.14 1.18 1.25 0.000032 5.81.73 Achb127511 Bets-smylese (MAM1) 6.64 3.67.7 2.32 0.000040 3.53 Achb127511 Bets-smylese (MAM1) 36.83 3.66 0.00198 5.81.74 Achb12751 Betsmylese (MAM1) 36.83 3.66	Achn343081	Alpha-amylase	2.58	14.48	26.3	0.006664	10.19
Aphnograms Aphnomysee (MM1) B.73 31.44 67.62 0.000278 7.75 Achrobart 51 AGRase 68.89 378.55 110.65 0.0002491 55.51 Achrobart 51 AGRase (APL4) 178.97 565.99 210.81 0.000320 3.16 Achrid 5211 AGRase (APL4) 178.97 565.99 210.81 0.000320 7.145.56 Achrob32051 Beta-smylace (FAM) 0.16 0.24 270.20 0.000032 7.145.56 Achr050051 Beta-smylace (FAM) 1.18 11.49 62.98 0.000305 5.83 Achr0507651 Beta-smylace (FAM) 58.64 38.77 2.82.05 0.000302 7.95 Achr057761 Beta-smylace (FAM) 58.64 38.77 2.82.05 0.000138 58.18 Achr057051 Beta-smylace (FAM) 58.64 38.77 2.82.05 0.000139 58.18 Achr057051 Beta-smylace (FAM) 58.18 3.017 2.92.05 0.000139 6.61 Achr057051	Achn183691	Alpha-amylase (AYM3)	2.64	44.96	96.61	0.000097	36.59
Ahrong Bass 379-60 110.61 Condent 5.51 Achin's7251 A GFbass B6.22 64.07 1.01.61 C.000303 3.16 Achin's7251 A GFbass (APL-4) 178.37 C65.59 210.81 C.000302 7.165 Achin's2021 Belas-arrylase (SMC) 0.61 0.34 27.09 C.000002 178.55 Achin's2021 Belas-arrylase (SMC) 0.13 1.13 11.49 C.00 6.03 Achin's2021 S.26 C.000026 6.03 Achin's2021 Belas-arrylase (SMC) 1.23 0.25 456.54 0.000166 7.95 Achin's211 Belas-arrylase (BML) 56.64 38.07 22.26 0.000166 7.95 Achin's211 Belas-arrylase (BML) 56.64 38.07 22.26 0.000166 56.64 Achin's2714 Belas-arrylase (BML) 52.64 3.33 86.9 0.00176 56.16 Achin's2721 Belas arrylase (BML) 30.33 86.9 0.001471 2.00	Achn227481	Alpha-amylase (AYM1)	8.73	31.64	67.62	0.000378	7.75
Ahming251 AGPmain (APL4) 178.377 566.50 219.81 0.000303 22.02 Admin32011 AGPmain (APL4) 178.377 566.50 219.81 0.000302 4.107 Admin32021 Bella-amylase 3(RAA3) 0.16 0.34 279.29 0.000042 174.55 Admin20061 Bella-amylase 3(RAA3) 0.16 0.34 279.29 0.000042 178.52 Admin20161 Bella-amylase 3(RAA3) 1.23 0.25 486.84 0.000306 188.27 Admin20171 Bella-amylase 1.13 11.40 62.00 0.001982 6.58 Admin201711 Bella-amylase 2.15 2.27 8.02 0.001982 6.68 Admin20171 Bella-amylase 2.80 2.2.51 8.02 0.000518 6.68 Admin20171 Bella-amylase 9(RAM6) 22.22 135.27 80.22 0.000505 6.61 Admin20171 Bella-amylase 9(RAM6) 22.22 135.27 80.02 0.000105 6.16 Admin20171	Achn061751	AGPase	68.88	379.56	110.6	0.000491	5.51
Achm202381 AGPase (APL4) 178.97 565.90 219.81 0.003030 3.16 Adm161011 AGPase (APS1) 67.51 113.91 27.98 0.006022 4.07 Ann02221 Beta-mysses (RAM3) 0.16 0.34 27.99 0.00002 17.855 Ann025021 Beta-mysses (RAM3) 0.16 0.34 22.93 0.00002 17.855 Ann027211 Beta-mysses 1.23 0.25 466.84 0.001985 5.68 Ann171711 Beta-mysses 2.26 7.74 3.32 0.001985 5.69 Ann171711 Beta-mysses 2.96 2.92 0.001985 5.61 Ann17251 Beta-mysses 2.96 2.99 6.69 0.004716 3.64 Ann06721 Chrate synthes 1.74 1.01 8.98 0.005878 6.818 Ann06721 Chrate synthes 1.574 1.5 10.4 0.00189 2.82 Ann06721 Chrate synthes 1.574 1.5 10.4	Achn197251	AGPase	98.62	54.07	4.72	0.001301	22.02
Achnel (Sh11) AFBae (APS1) 67.51 11.3.91 27.9.8 0.00332 4.07 Achne20061 Beta-amylese 3(BAM3) 0.16 0.34 279.29 0.000002 1148.22 Achne20061 Beta-amylese 3(BAM3) 0.16 0.34 629.69 0.000076 148.22 Achne20061 Beta-amylese (BAM1) 66.64 38.77 232.06 0.001980 7.98 Achne20171 Beta-amylese (BAM1) 66.64 38.77 232.06 0.001980 5.81.64 Achne20171 Beta-amylese (BAM0) 322.22 135.27 382.32 0.000240 3.84 Achne3771 Beta-amylese 9 (BAM0) 322.22 135.27 382.32 0.000575 56.81 Achne37071 Beta-amylese 9 (BAM0) 322.22 135.27 382.32 0.000575 56.81 Achne37071 Beta-amylese 9 (BAM0) 322.22 135.27 30.20 0.000575 56.81 Achne37071 Beta-amylese 9 (BAM0) 322.22 135.27 30.20 0.000576 56.818	Achn372361	AGPase (APL4)	178.97	565.99	219.81	0.003030	3.16
Achro2221 Bela-amylase 3(BAM3) 0.16 0.24 270.26 0.000072 174.56 Achrl90601 Bela-amylase 3.74 2.66 500.67 0.000072 188.22 Achrl90701 Bela-amylase 1.23 0.25 456.84 0.000806 1827.75 Achrl01711 Bela-amylase (BAM1) 56.64 38.77 232.06 0.00182 5.89 Achrl017131 Bela-amylase (BAM1) 56.64 38.77 232.06 0.00182 5.81 Achrl017131 Bela-amylase (BAM1) 26.64 38.77 232.06 0.001716 3.84 Achrl30714 Bela-amylase (BAM3) 2.22 3.62 0.002183 5.81.8 Achrl30721 Bela-amylase (BAM3) 2.82 3.85.7 0.001716 3.84.8 Achrl30721 Detra synthase 1.6.7 1.5 1.0.4 0.00183 1.6.6 Achrl30721 Untata synthase 1.6.7 1.6.8 3.8 0.0017 6.8.12 Achrl30721 Untata synthase 1.7.4	Achn161011	AGPase (APS1)	67.51	113.91	27.98	0.008322	4.07
Achr08001 Beta-amylase 3.74 2.66 500.67 0.000072 119.22 Achr030061 Beta-amylase 11.18 11.49 62.96 0.000065 5.63 Achr030761 Beta-amylase 12.3 0.25 466.94 0.000060 7.95 Achr030711 Beta-amylase 22.62 7.74 3.32 0.001982 5.99 Achr030711 Beta-amylase 2.96 2.91 8.92 0.002978 6.64 Achr030701 Beta-amylase (FAM0) 322.22 135.27 802.92 0.002978 6.61 Achr030701 Citrate synthase 16.74 1.5 10.4 0.00169 12.92 Achr030701 Citrate synthase 49.82 33.3 86.90 0.004114 2.66 Achr030701 Citrate synthase 40.92 66.90 20.27 0.000100 16.19 Achr030721 Hockkinase af (FK4) 30.93 18.52 4.28 0.00414 6.60 Achr030721 Hockkinase (FK4) 30.	Achn322221	Beta-amylase 3 (BAM3)	0.16	0.34	279.29	0.000042	1745.56
Achn090681 Bata-amylase 11.18 11.49 42.89 0.00268 5.82 Achn14171 Beta-amylase 1.23 0.25 456.94 0.00068 1827.76 Achn05785 Beta-amylase (PAM1) 56.64 38.77 232.06 0.001985 5.99 Achn0117121 Beta-amylase (PAM1) 56.64 38.77 232.06 0.001985 581.84 Achn177251 Beta-amylase (PAM1) 26.08 2.33 8.99 0.004516 3.52 Achn36721 Beta-amylase of (PAM9) 22.22 135.27 892.22 0.00555 58.18 Achn267241 Clarate synthase 15.74 1.5 1.4 0.001555 58.18 Achn26721 Clarate synthase 15.74 1.5 1.4 0.001555 58.18 Achn26721 Clarate synthase 15.74 1.5 1.4 0.00157 7.43 Achn26721 Fuctorinase of FK4) 308.35 48.98 17.11 0.000268 7.43 Achn26781 Hockonsase (FK4)<	Achn269061	Beta-amylase	3.74	2.66	500.67	0.000072	188.22
Achn14771 Bets-amylase 123 0.25 456.44 0.000800 1827.76 Achn36781 Bats-amylase 22.62 7.74 3.22 0.001080 7.345 Achn017211 Bats-amylase 0.14 0.11 63.88 0.0011982 5.69 Achn017611 Bats-amylase 28.06 22.51 8.82 0.002340 3.52 Achn177631 Bats-amylase 9(EM9) 322.22 135.27 B82.82 0.003578 6.65 Achn378711 Bats-amylase 9(EM9) 322.22 135.27 B82.812 0.00355 56.818 Achn376711 Chrate synthase 15.74 1.5 10.4 0.001555 56.818 Achn356711 Chrate synthase 40.92 33.3 88.69 0.004141 2.66 Achn356721 futc/stase ac/fix4) 30.31 85.2 4.28 0.0004447 6.68 Achn356721 futc/stase apporter 12.04 1.82 0.000268 7.74 Achn35681 Natural invertase 1.84	Achn090661	Beta-amylase	11.18	11.49	62.96	0.000365	5.63
Achro38781 Beta-anylase 22.82 7.74 3.22 0.001900 7.95 Anh217211 Beta-anylase (BAM1) 56.64 38.77 23.06 0.001982 5.99 Achn217211 Beta-anylase (BAM1) 56.64 38.77 23.06 0.001982 55.19 Achn37071 Beta-anylase (BAM9) 23.22 21.35.27 89.9.92 0.005976 6.6 Achn387071 Beta-anylase (PLAM9) 32.22.22 33.57 89.9.92 0.005976 6.6 Achn387071 Chitale synthase 1.5.74 1.5 1.0 0.001859 12.52 Achn36721 Chitale synthase 15.74 1.5 1.0 0.000808 20.67 Achn36721 Chitale synthase 4 (FK4) 30.83 18.52 4.28 0.004447 6.08 Achn16121 Hexosk masporter 0.23 0.17 2.93 0.00037 7.43 Achn172281 Neutral invertase 7.31 7.78 61.78 0.000087 7.43 Achn242831 Neutral	Achn141771	Beta-amylase	1.23	0.25	456.94	0.000806	1827.76
Achn217211 Bata-amylasa (BAM1) 56.64 38.77 232.06 0.001982 5.99 Achn017151 Beta-amylasa 0.14 0.11 65.88 0.002940 3.52 Achn177581 Beta-amylasa 9 (BAM5) 22.22 13.527 99.92 0.005978 6.68 Achn37071 Beta-amylasa 9 (BAM5) 22.22 13.527 99.92 0.005978 6.68 Achn37671 Citrate synthase 15.74 1.5 10.4 0.001859 12.52 Achn336701 Citrate synthase 49.82 33.3 86.9 0.00414 2.66 Achn316421 Glucose transporter 4.02 65.09 20.27 0.00100 16.19 Achn316421 Glucose transporter 0.23 0.17 2.92 0.0006878 7.43 Achn317421 Hexose transporter 12.04 1.82 6.01 0.000197 84.54 Achn111201 Hexose transporter 12.04 1.82 6.01 0.000197 84.55 Achn238381 Neutral	Achn367861	Beta-amylase	22.62	7.74	3.32	0.001060	7.95
Achm001191 Beta-amylase 0.14 0.11 63.98 0.001965 581.64 Achm177251 Beta-amylase 2.05 2.95 2.98 9.00 0.0057/8 3.62 Achm37071 Beta-amylase 9 (BAM0) 322.22 135.27 802.02 0.0055/8 56.8 Achm367241 Chrate synthase 14.75 70.07 66.12 0.001555 56.8 Achm36721 Intrate synthase 48.82 33.3 86.59 0.004414 2.66 Achm36871 Inctolkinase 4 (FK4) 308.35 48.98 17.11 0.000608 20.67 Achm36871 Hexokinase3 (HK3) 30.33 18.52 4.28 0.000447 6.08 Achm186121 Hexokinase3 (HK3) 30.33 18.52 4.28 0.000067 7.43 Achm186121 Hexokinase3 (HK3) 30.33 18.52 6.01 0.000937 6.43 Achm28281 Neutral invertase 7.31 7.78 61.78 0.000203 6.93 Achm28281 <td< td=""><td>Achn217211</td><td>Beta-amylase (BAM1)</td><td>56.64</td><td>38.77</td><td>232.06</td><td>0.001982</td><td>5.99</td></td<>	Achn217211	Beta-amylase (BAM1)	56.64	38.77	232.06	0.001982	5.99
Achn177251 Beta-anylase 28.08 22.51 8.92 0.002340 3.52 Achn177681 Beta-anylase (MAM) 322.22 155.27 829.20 0.005768 6.6 Achn367211 Citrate synthase 14.75 70.07 858.12 0.001555 58.18 Achn36721 Citrate synthase 15.74 1.5 10.4 0.00058 22.66 Achn33671 Citrate synthase 49.82 33.3 88.59 0.00155 58.18 Achn33671 fuctokinase 4 (FK4) 308.35 48.98 17.11 0.000608 20.67 Achn186121 Hexose transporter 0.23 0.17 29.92 0.000266 17.68 Achn12811 Hexose transporter 1.24 1.82 60.01 0.000768 7.43 Achn28381 Neutral invertase 7.31 7.73 0.000300 5.93 Achn28381 Neutral invertase (INS) 28.23 9.11 0.61 0.000284 4.28 Achn28381 Neutral invertase (INS) <	Achn001191	Beta-amylase	0.14	0.11	63.98	0.001985	581.64
Achn177681 Beta-arrylase (BAM6) 2.95 2.39 8.69 0.004716 3.64 Achn387071 Beta-arrylase (BAM6) 322.22 135.27 885.12 0.001575 55.18 Achn326781 Citrate synthase 14.75 70.07 858.12 0.001555 55.18 Achn326711 Citrate synthase 48.82 33.3 88.59 0.004314 2.66 Achn36721 fuctokinase (FK4) 308.35 48.98 17.11 0.000060 20.67 Achn36431 Hexokinase3(HK3) 30.93 18.52 4.28 0.000267 7.43 Achn278211 Hexokinase3(HK3) 30.93 18.52 4.28 0.000267 7.43 Achn27821 Neutral invertase 7.31 7.78 61.78 0.000167 8.45 Achn227821 Neutral invertase 4.5 13.48 9.83 0.000465 3 Achn235861 Neutral invertase 4.5 13.48 9.83 0.000468 3.42 Achn235861 Neutral inverta	Achn177251	Beta-amylase	28.08	22.51	8.92	0.002340	3.52
Achn387071 Beta-amylase 9 (BAM9) 322.22 135.27 892.92 0.005978 6.8 Achn387214 Citrate synthase 14.75 70.07 685.12 0.001585 55.18 Achn36701 Citrate synthase 49.82 33.3 88.59 0.004314 2.66 Achn36721 fructokinase 4 (FA) 30.835 48.98 17.11 0.000608 2.66 Achn36721 fructokinase 4 (FA) 30.93 18.52 4.28 0.00447 6.08 Achn316421 Haxos transporter 0.23 0.17 29.92 0.000268 17.6 Achn16121 Hexos transporter 0.23 0.17 29.92 0.00078 7.8 Achn22831 Nutral invertase 7.31 7.78 6.178 0.000798 4.28 Achn238361 Nutral invertase 1.64 2.37 9.73 0.000393 4.405 Achn235361 Nutral invertase 1.64 2.37 9.73 0.000384 4.25 Achn2633061 Nutral invertase<	Achn177681	Beta-amylase	2.95	2.39	8.69	0.004716	3.64
Achm287241 Citrate synthase 14.75 70.07 858.12 0.001555 58.18 Achm287211 Citrate synthase 15.74 1.5 10.4 0.001855 12.52 Achm267211 Citrate synthase 49.82 33.3 88.59 0.004314 2.66 Achm367241 Glucose transporter 4.02 65.09 20.27 0.000100 16.19 Achm367241 Hexokinase3 (HK3) 30.93 18.52 4.28 0.004447 6.08 Achm367241 Hexokinase3 (HK3) 30.93 18.52 4.28 0.004447 6.08 Achm23831 Hexokinase3 (HK3) 30.93 18.52 6.01 0.00078 7.43 Achm23831 Neutral invertase 7.31 7.78 6.178 0.000708 4.28 Achm23831 Neutral invertase 2.03 2.33 8.69 0.000708 4.28 Achm23581 Neutral invertase 2.03 2.33 8.69 0.000768 3.23 Achm235961 Neutral invertase	Achn387071	Beta-amylase 9 (BAM9)	322.22	135.27	892.92	0.005978	6.6
Achn236781 Citrate synthase 15.74 1.6 10.4 0.001859 12.52 Achn0369701 Citrate synthase 49.82 33.3 88.59 0.004314 2.66 Achn36421 fluctokinase 4 (FK4) 308.35 48.98 17.11 0.00688 20.67 Achn36421 Glucose transporter 4.02 66.09 2.27 0.001406 16.19 Achn094531 Hexose transporter 0.23 0.17 29.92 0.000266 176 Achn12101 Hexose transporter 0.23 0.17 29.92 0.000766 7.43 Achn23821 Neutral invertase 7.31 7.78 61.78 0.000708 4.28 Achn23821 Neutral invertase 4.5 13.48 9.83 0.005495 3 Achn238301 Neutral invertase 4.57 13.48 9.43 0.005495 3 Achn24531 Malic enzyme 1.71 1.84 10.51 0.006824 7.23 Achn353961 Neutral invertase <t< td=""><td>Achn367241</td><td>Citrate synthase</td><td>14.75</td><td>70.07</td><td>858.12</td><td>0.001555</td><td>58.18</td></t<>	Achn367241	Citrate synthase	14.75	70.07	858.12	0.001555	58.18
Achno59701 Citrate synthase 49.82 33.3 88.59 0.004314 2.66 Achno56721 fructokinase 4 (FK4) 308.35 48.98 17.11 0.000608 20.67 Achno16421 Glucose transporter 4.02 66.09 20.27 0.001100 16.19 Achn04611 Hexokinase3 (HK3) 30.93 18.52 4.28 0.00447 6.08 Achn196121 Hexose transporter 0.23 0.17 29.92 0.000266 176 Achn12281 Neutral invertase 7.31 7.78 6.01 0.000878 7.43 Achn228211 Neutral invertase 2.03 2.33 8.69 0.000708 42.02 Achn258211 Neutral invertase 2.03 2.33 8.69 0.000708 42.05 Achn258211 Neutral invertase 2.03 2.33 8.69 0.000708 42.05 Achn21631 Malic enzyme 2.71 6.6 11.53 0.00284 7.23 Achn123361 Malic enzyme	Achn236781	Citrate synthase	15.74	1.5	10.4	0.001859	12.52
Achn336721 fructovinase 4 (FK4) 308.35 48.98 17.11 0.000608 20.67 Achn36421 Glucose transporter 4.02 66.09 20.27 0.000100 16.19 Achn36421 Hexokinase3 (HK3) 30.93 18.52 4.28 0.000447 608 Achn16121 Hexose transporter 0.23 0.17 29.92 0.000266 176 Achn111201 Hexose transporter 12.04 1.82 6.01 0.000878 7.43 Achn23831 Neutral invertase 7.31 7.78 61.78 0.000303 593 Achn235821 Neutral invertase 1.64 2.37 9.73 0.000392 44.05 Achn235831 Neutral invertase 4.5 13.48 9.83 0.000284 42.5 Achn235841 Neutral invertase 157.08 525.98 151.56 0.000608 47.23 Achn33261 Malic enzyme 11.21 1.84 10.51 0.000228 2.88 Achn32610 Malic enzyme	Achn059701	Citrate synthase	49.82	33.3	88.59	0.004314	2.66
Achn316421 Glucose transporter 4.02 65.09 20.27 0.000100 16.19 Achn084331 Hexokinase3 (HK3) 30.93 18.52 4.28 0.004447 6.08 Achn084531 Hexose transporter 0.23 0.17 29.92 0.000266 176 Achn11201 Hexose transporter 12.04 1.82 6.01 0.000878 7.43 Achn22831 Neutral invertase 7.31 7.78 61.79 0.000300 5.93 Achn228521 Neutral invertase 2.03 2.33 8.69 0.000708 4.28 Achn024911 Neutral invertase 4.5 13.48 9.83 0.005495 3 Achn32651 Neutral invertase 4.5 13.48 9.89 0.000708 44.05 Achn3261 Malic enzyme 2.71 6.6 11.53 0.00284 4.25 Achn3361 Malic enzyme 11.21 1.84 10.51 0.006924 7.23 Achn13361 Malic enzyme 14.74	Achn336721	fructokinase 4 (FK4)	308.35	48.98	17.11	0.000608	20.67
Achn094531 Hexkinase3 (HK3) 30.93 18.52 4.28 0.004447 6.08 Achn186121 Hexkinase3 (HK3) 0.23 0.17 29.92 0.00266 176 Achn186121 Hexkinaseporter 12.04 1.82 6.01 0.00678 7.43 Achn22821 Neutral invertase 7.31 7.78 61.78 0.00030 5.93 Achn228381 Neutral invertase 2.03 2.33 8.69 0.000708 4.28 Achn235821 Neutral invertase 4.5 13.48 9.83 0.005495 3 Achn216531 Mailc enzyme 2.71 6.6 11.53 0.002624 4.25 Achn312431 Mailc enzyme 157.08 525.98 151.56 0.004608 3.47 Achn13361 Mailc enzyme 11.21 1.84 10.51 0.006924 7.23 Achn136361 Mailc enzyme 12.21 1.84 10.51 0.006924 7.23 Achn136361 Mailc enzyme 12.21	Achn316421	Glucose transporter	4.02	65.09	20.27	0.000100	16.19
Achn186121 Hexose transporter 0.23 0.17 29.92 0.000266 176 Achn111201 Hexose transporter 12.04 1.82 6.01 0.000878 7.43 Achn272821 Neutral invertase 7.31 7.78 61.78 0.000300 5.93 Achn25821 Neutral invertase 1.64 2.37 9.73 0.000300 5.93 Achn25321 Neutral invertase 4.5 13.48 9.83 0.005495 3 Achn253261 Neutral invertase (INV3) 28.23 9.11 0.61 0.000389 44.05 Achn353961 Neutral invertase (INV3) 28.23 9.11 0.61 0.000389 44.05 Achn353961 Malic enzyme 2.71 6.6 11.53 0.002662 4.25 Achn105961 Malic enzyme 11.21 1.84 10.51 0.006924 7.23 Achn21661 Malic enzyme 34.74 98.25 69.49 0.007288 2.83 Achn22051 Sucrose phosphate synthase (SP	Achn094531	Hexokinase3 (HK3)	30.93	18.52	4.28	0.004447	6.08
Achn11201 Hexose transporter 12.04 1.82 6.01 0.000878 7.43 Achn272821 Neutral invertase 7.31 7.78 61.78 0.000197 8.45 Achn272821 Neutral invertase 1.64 2.37 9.73 0.00030 5.39 Achn235821 Neutral invertase 2.03 2.33 8.69 0.000708 4.28 Achn04941 Neutral invertase 4.5 13.48 9.83 0.005495 3 Achn353961 Neutral invertase (INV3) 28.23 9.11 0.61 0.000389 44.05 Achn216531 Malic enzyme 157.08 52.98 151.56 0.004608 3.47 Achn13381 Malic enzyme 11.21 1.84 10.51 0.006924 7.23 Achn105661 Malic enzyme 23.66 16.3 39.53 0.00767 2.43 Achn23801 Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA) 10.8 51.56 172.74 0.000849 16.59 Achn240251 Sucrose synthase (SUSA) 25.29 90.83 424.19 0.002634 16.77	Achn186121	Hexose transporter	0.23	0.17	29.92	0.000266	176
Achn272821Neutral invertase7.317.7861.780.0001978.45Achn272821Neutral invertase1.642.379.730.0003305.93Achn235821Neutral invertase2.032.338.690.0007084.28Achn235821Neutral invertase4.51.3489.830.0054953Achn353961Neutral invertase (INV3)28.239.110.610.00038944.05Achn353961Malic enzyme2.716.611.530.0028244.25Achn312431Malic enzyme157.08525.98151.560.0046083.47Achn039921Malic enzyme11.211.8410.510.0069247.23Achn105661Malic enzyme34.7498.2569.490.0072582.83Achn26611Malic enzyme34.7498.2569.490.0072682.83Achn218701Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA)10.851.56172.740.00094615.99Achn218701Sucrose synthase (SUS2)955.58136.5107.140.00253416.77Achn24111Sucrose stransporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn2411Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0063311.67Achn24111Sugar transporter15.974.408.033.0006632.625Achn24114Sugar transporter5.674.0960.130.00063311.68Achn2411741Sugar transpo	Achn111201	Hexose transporter	12.04	1.82	6.01	0.000878	7.43
Achn228381Neutral invertase1.642.379.730.0003005.93Achn235821Neutral invertase2.032.338.690.0007084.28Achn004941Neutral invertase4.513.489.830.0054953Achn330961Neutral invertase (INV3)28.239.110.610.00038944.05Achn312431Malic enzyme2.716.611.530.0028244.25Achn312431Malic enzyme157.08525.98151.560.0046083.47Achn133361Malic enzyme11.211.8410.510.0069247.23Achn13361Malic enzyme34.7498.2569.490.0072582.83Achn221601Malate dehydrogenase23.6616.339.530.0079672.43Achn283801Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA)10.851.56172.740.00094615.99Achn2821601Malate dehydrogenase25.2990.83424.190.00263416.77Achn24251Sucrose synthase (SUSA)25.2990.83424.190.00263416.77Achn242141Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn313061Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.006323.56Achn142141Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.000331148Achn32611Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00063526.25Achn310511 <td< td=""><td>Achn272821</td><td>Neutral invertase</td><td>7.31</td><td>7.78</td><td>61.78</td><td>0.000197</td><td>8.45</td></td<>	Achn272821	Neutral invertase	7.31	7.78	61.78	0.000197	8.45
Achn23821 Neutral invertase 2.03 2.43 8.69 0.000708 4.28 Achn235821 Neutral invertase 4.5 13.48 9.83 0.005495 3 Achn2353961 Neutral invertase 4.5 13.48 9.83 0.000708 4.28 Achn2353961 Neutral invertase 28.23 9.11 0.61 0.000389 44.05 Achn21651 Malic enzyme 2.71 6.6 11.53 0.002824 4.25 Achn312431 Malic enzyme 11.21 1.84 10.51 0.006924 7.23 Achn13361 Malic enzyme 34.74 98.25 69.49 0.00728 2.83 Achn221601 Malate dehydrogenase 23.66 16.3 39.53 0.007967 2.43 Achn221601 Malate dehydrogenase 23.66 16.3 39.53 0.007969 8.86 Achn20251 Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA) 10.8 51.56 172.74 0.000509 9.61 Achn204251 Sucrose synthase (SU	Achn228381	Neutral invertase	1.64	2.37	9.73	0.000330	5.93
Achn004911 Neutral invertase 4.5 13.48 9.83 0.005495 3 Achn0353961 Neutral invertase (INV3) 28.23 9.11 0.61 0.005495 3 Achn0312431 Malic enzyme 2.71 6.6 11.53 0.002824 4.25 Achn039921 Malic enzyme 157.08 525.98 151.56 0.004608 3.47 Achn039921 Malic enzyme 11.21 1.84 10.51 0.006924 7.23 Achn13361 Malic enzyme 34.74 98.25 69.49 0.007258 2.83 Achn21601 Malic enzyme 34.74 98.25 69.49 0.007967 2.43 Achn21601 Malate dehydrogenase 23.66 16.3 39.53 0.007967 2.43 Achn218701 Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA) 10.8 51.56 172.74 0.000468 15.99 Achn240251 Sucrose synthase (SUSA) 25.29 90.83 424.19 0.002634 16.77 Achn041261 Sucrose t	Achn235821	Neutral invertase	2.03	2.33	8.69	0.000708	4.28
Achn353961Neutral invertase (INV3)28.239.110.610.00038944.05Achn353961Malic enzyme2.716.611.530.0028244.25Achn312431Malic enzyme157.08525.98151.560.0046083.47Achn039921Malic enzyme11.211.8410.510.0069247.23Achn13361Malic enzyme11.211.8410.510.0069247.23Achn105661Malic enzyme34.7498.2569.490.0072582.83Achn21601Malate dehydrogenase23.6616.339.530.0079672.43Achn218701Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA)10.851.56172.740.00046015.99Achn221701Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA)0.6234.5458.670.0019998.86Achn240251Sucrose synthase (SUS2)955.58136.5107.140.0006309.61Achn143061Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn14261Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0006331148Achn141741Sugar transporter16.33.225.540.00016016.78Achn1416141Sugar transporter4.633.225.540.00016016.78Achn146141Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00083526.25Achn06691Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00063526.25Achn	Achn004941	Neutral invertase	4.5	13.48	9.83	0.005495	3
Achn216531Malic enzyme2.716.611.530.0028244.25Achn312431Malic enzyme157.08525.98151.560.0046083.47Achn339921Malic enzyme11.211.8410.510.0069247.23Achn105661Malic enzyme34.7498.2569.490.0072582.83Achn21661Malic enzyme34.7498.2569.490.0079672.43Achn21601Malate dehydrogenase23.6616.339.530.0079672.43Achn218701Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA)10.851.56172.740.00094615.99Achn218701Sucrose synthase (SUS2)955.58136.5107.140.0005099.61Achn21411Sucrose synthase (SUSA)25.2990.83424.190.00263416.77Achn3061Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0083283.56Achn14261Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0083283.56Achn14141Sugar transporter10.291.3300.0000331148Achn367141Sugar transporter15.92.6110.180.00069516.78Achn310541Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.0005910.6Achn310541Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.00066526.25Achn30691Sugar transporter2.0523.61.260.00083816.32Achn15151Sugar tran	Achn353961	Neutral invertase (INV3)	28.23	9.11	0.61	0.000389	44.05
Achn312431Malic enzyme157.08525.98151.560.0046083.47Achn312431Malic enzyme11.211.8410.510.0069247.23Achn133361Malic enzyme11.211.8410.510.0069247.23Achn105661Malic enzyme34.7498.2569.490.0072582.83Achn383801Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA)10.851.56172.740.0094615.99Achn218701Sucrose phosphate synthase 1 (SPS1)6.6234.5458.670.0019998.86Achn240251Sucrose synthase (SUS2)955.58136.5107.140.00263416.77Achn140141Sucrose synthase (SUSA)25.2990.83424.190.00263416.77Achn14261Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn14261Sucrose transporter10.291.3300.0000331148Achn387141Sugar transporter15.992.6110.180.004676.91Achn310541Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00063526.25Achn107471Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.0063526.25Achn06691Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.0066617.75Achn10541Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.0068617.75Achn151531Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.0068617.75A	Achn216531	Malic enzyme	2.71	6.6	11.53	0.002824	4.25
Achn03921Malic enzyme11.211.8410.610.0069247.23Achn133361Malic enzyme11.211.8410.510.0069247.23Achn105661Malic enzyme34.7498.2569.490.0072582.83Achn221601Malate dehydrogenase23.6616.339.530.0079672.43Achn218701Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA)10.851.56172.740.0094615.99Achn240251Sucrose phosphate synthase 1 (SPS1)6.6234.5458.670.0019998.86Achn240251Sucrose synthase (SUS2)955.58136.5107.140.00263416.77Achn183061Sucrose synthase (SUSA)25.2990.83424.190.00263416.77Achn14261Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn14261Sucrose transporter10.291.3300.0000331148Achn387141Sugar transporter15.92.6110.180.004876.91Achn146141Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.0005910.6Achn06691Sugar transporter14.3198.59375.630.00063526.25Achn06691Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.00083816.32Achn151531Sugar transporter24.350.33.470.00069617.75Achn15521Sugar transporter24.350.33.470.00063816.32 <td>Achn312431</td> <td>Malic enzyme</td> <td>157.08</td> <td>525.98</td> <td>151.56</td> <td>0.004608</td> <td>3.47</td>	Achn312431	Malic enzyme	157.08	525.98	151.56	0.004608	3.47
Achn133361Malic enzyme11.211.8410.510.0069247.23Achn133361Malic enzyme34.7498.2569.490.0072582.83Achn133361Malate dehydrogenase23.6616.339.530.0079672.43Achn383801Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA)10.851.56172.740.00094615.99Achn218701Sucrose phosphate synthase 1 (SPS1)6.6234.5458.670.0019998.86Achn240251Sucrose synthase (SUS2)955.58136.5107.140.0005099.61Achn24011Sucrose synthase (SUSA)25.2990.83424.190.00263416.77Achn183061Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn1261Sucrose transporter10.291.3300.0000331148Achn387141Sugar transporter15.992.6110.180.0006326.91Achn17471Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00063526.25Achn06691Sugar transporter14.3198.59375.630.00063526.25Achn06691Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.00083816.32Achn2621Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.00083816.32Achn2641Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.00066617.75Achn16141Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.00083816.32 <tr< td=""><td>Achn039921</td><td>Malic enzyme</td><td>11.21</td><td>1.84</td><td>10.51</td><td>0.006924</td><td>7.23</td></tr<>	Achn039921	Malic enzyme	11.21	1.84	10.51	0.006924	7.23
Achn105051Malic enzyme34.7498.2569.490.0072582.83Achn105661Malate dehydrogenase23.6616.339.530.0079672.43Achn383801Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA)10.851.56172.740.00094615.99Achn218701Sucrose phosphate synthase 1 (SPS1)6.6234.5458.670.0019998.86Achn240251Sucrose synthase (SUS2)955.58136.5107.140.000263416.77Achn183061Sucrose synthase (SUSA)25.2990.83424.190.00263416.77Achn183061Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn1261Sucrose transporter10.291.3300.0000331148Achn17481sugar transporter15.92.6110.180.0004876.91Achn37141Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00059910.6Achn30541Sugar transporter14.3198.59375.630.00063526.25Achn06691Sugar transporter14.3198.59375.630.00063526.25Achn06691Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.00083816.32Achn265241Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.00083816.32Achn30541Sugar transporter24.350.33.470.00125117.75Achn265241Sugar transporter24.350.33.470.00125117.75 <td>Achn133361</td> <td>Malic enzyme</td> <td>11 21</td> <td>1.84</td> <td>10.51</td> <td>0.006924</td> <td>7 23</td>	Achn133361	Malic enzyme	11 21	1.84	10.51	0.006924	7 23
Achn221601Malate dehydrogenase23.6616.339.530.0079672.43Achn383801Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA)10.851.56172.740.00094615.99Achn218701Sucrose phosphate synthase 1(SPS1)6.6234.5458.670.0019998.86Achn240251Sucrose synthase (SUS2)955.58136.5107.140.0005099.61Achn24141Sucrose synthase (SUSA)25.2990.83424.190.00263416.77Achn183061Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn21261Sucrose transporter10.291.3300.0000331148Achn387141Sugar transporter46.33.225.540.00016016.78Achn146141Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.0005910.6Achn310541Sugar transporter14.3198.59375.630.00063526.25Achn06691Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.00069617.75Achn263241Sugar transporter4.42,350.33.470.001251157.9	Achn105661	Malic enzyme	34.74	98.25	69.49	0.007258	2.83
AchnalsterSucrose phosphate synthase (SPSA)10.851.56172.740.00094615.99Achn218701Sucrose-phosphate synthase 1 (SPS1)6.6234.5458.670.0019998.86Achn240251Sucrose synthase (SUS2)955.58136.5107.140.0005099.61Achn024141Sucrose synthase (SUSA)25.2990.83424.190.00263416.77Achn183061Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn041261Sucrose transporter (SUC4)34.919.1610.360.0083283.56Achn17481sugar transporter10.291.3300.0000331148Achn387141Sugar transporter46.33.225.540.00016016.78Achn146141Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00059910.6Achn310541Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.00069617.75Achn006691Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.0083816.32Achn215531Sugar transporter4.42,350.33.470.001251157.9	Achn221601	Malate dehydrogenase	23.66	16.3	39.53	0.007967	2.43
Achn218701Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS1)6.6234.5458.670.0019998.86Achn240251Sucrose synthase (SUS2)955.58136.5107.140.0005099.61Achn024141Sucrose synthase (SUSA)25.2990.83424.190.00263416.77Achn183061Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn041261Sucrose transporter (SUC4)34.919.1610.360.0083283.56Achn17481sugar transporter10.291.3300.0000331148Achn387141Sugar transporter15.92.6110.180.0004876.91Achn017471Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00059910.6Achn310541Sugar transporter14.3198.59375.630.00063526.25Achn006691Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.00083816.32Achn21531Sugar transporter4.2350.33.470.001251157.9	Achn383801	Sucrose phosphate synthese (SPSA)	10.8	51 56	172 74	0.000946	15.99
Achn240251Sucrose synthase (SUS2)955.58136.5107.140.0005099.61Achn024141Sucrose synthase (SUSA)25.2990.83424.190.00263416.77Achn183061Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn041261Sucrose transporter (SUC4)34.919.1610.360.0083283.56Achn117481sugar transporter10.291.3300.0000331148Achn387141Sugar transporter46.33.225.540.00016016.78Achn146141Sugar transporter15.92.6110.180.0004876.91Achn310541Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00063526.25Achn006691Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.00069617.75Achn151531Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.00083816.32Achn263241Sugar transporter42.350.33.470.001251157.9	Achn218701	Sucrose-phosphate synthase 1 (SPS1)	6.62	34 54	58 67	0.001999	8 86
Achn024141Sucrose synthase (SUSA)25.2990.83424.190.00263416.77Achn03061Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn041261Sucrose transporter (SUC4)34.919.1610.360.0083283.56Achn117481sugar transporter10.291.3300.0000331148Achn387141Sugar transporter46.33.225.540.00016016.78Achn146141Sugar transporter15.92.6110.180.0004876.91Achn017471Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00059910.6Achn310541Sugar transporter14.3198.59375.630.00063526.25Achn006691Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.00083816.32Achn263241Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.00083816.32	Achn240251	Sucrose synthese (SLIS2)	955.58	136.5	107 14	0.000509	9.61
Achn183061Sucrose transporter5.23.499.620.0067222.76Achn183061Sucrose transporter (SUC4)34.919.1610.360.0083283.56Achn117481sugar transporter (SUC4)34.919.1610.360.0000331148Achn387141Sugar transporter10.291.3300.00003316.78Achn146141Sugar transporter46.33.225.540.00016016.78Achn146141Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00059910.6Achn310541Sugar transporter14.3198.59375.630.00063526.25Achn006691Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.00069617.75Achn151531Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.00083816.32Achn263241Sugar transporter42.350.33.470.001251157.9	Achn024141	Sucrose synthese (SUSA)	25.29	90.83	424 19	0.002634	16.77
Achn041261Sucrose transporter (SUC4)34.919.1610.360.0083283.56Achn117481sugar transporter10.291.3300.0000331148Achn387141Sugar transporter46.33.225.540.00016016.78Achn146141Sugar transporter15.92.6110.180.0004876.91Achn017471Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00059910.6Achn310541Sugar transporter14.3198.59375.630.00063526.25Achn006691Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.00069617.75Achn151531Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.00083816.32Achn263241Sugar transporter42.350.33.470.001251157.9	Achn183061	Sucrose transporter	52	3 49	9.62	0.006722	2 76
Achn117481sugar transporter10.291.3300.0000331148Achn387141Sugar transporter46.33.225.540.00016016.78Achn146141Sugar transporter15.92.6110.180.0004876.91Achn017471Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00059910.6Achn310541Sugar transporter14.3198.59375.630.00063526.25Achn006691Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.00083616.32Achn151531Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.00083816.32Achn263241Sugar transporter42.350.33.470.001251157.9	Achn041261	Sucrose transporter (SLIC4)	34.9	19.16	10.36	0.008328	3.56
Achmit Hol Sugar transporter 46.3 3.22 5.54 0.000160 16.78 Achn387141 Sugar transporter 15.9 2.61 10.18 0.000487 6.91 Achn017471 Sugar transporter 5.67 44.09 60.13 0.000599 10.6 Achn310541 Sugar transporter 14.31 98.59 375.63 0.000635 26.25 Achn006691 Sugar transporter 4.44 2.83 50.24 0.000696 17.75 Achn151531 Sugar transporter 20.52 3.6 1.26 0.000838 16.32 Achn263241 Sugar transporter 42.35 0.3 3.47 0.001251 157.9	Achn117481	sugar transporter	10.29	1.33	0	0.000033	1148
Achn146141Sugar transporter15.92.6110.180.0004876.91Achn017471Sugar transporter5.6744.0960.130.00059910.6Achn310541Sugar transporter14.3198.59375.630.00063526.25Achn006691Sugar transporter4.442.8350.240.00069617.75Achn151531Sugar transporter20.523.61.260.00083816.32Achn263241Sugar transporter42.350.33.470.001251157.9	Achn387141	Sugar transporter	46.3	3.22	5 54	0.000160	16.78
Achn017471 Sugar transporter 5.67 44.09 60.13 0.000599 10.6 Achn310541 Sugar transporter 14.31 98.59 375.63 0.000635 26.25 Achn006691 Sugar transporter 4.44 2.83 50.24 0.000696 17.75 Achn151531 Sugar transporter 20.52 3.6 1.26 0.000838 16.32 Achn263241 Sugar transporter 42.35 0.3 3.47 0.001251 157.9	Achn146141	Sugar transporter	15.9	2.61	10.18	0.000487	6.91
Achn310541 Sugar transporter 14.31 98.59 375.63 0.000695 26.25 Achn006691 Sugar transporter 4.44 2.83 50.24 0.000696 17.75 Achn151531 Sugar transporter 20.52 3.6 1.26 0.000838 16.32 Achn263241 Sugar transporter 42.35 0.3 3.47 0.001251 157.9	Achn017471	Sugar transporter	5.67	44 09	60.13	0.000407	10.6
Achnolo6691 Sugar transporter 4.44 2.83 50.24 0.000696 17.75 Achnolo6691 Sugar transporter 20.52 3.6 1.26 0.000838 16.32 Achnolo6691 Sugar transporter 20.52 3.6 1.26 0.000838 16.32 Achnolo6691 Sugar transporter 42.35 0.3 3.47 0.001251 157.9	Achn3105/1	Sugar transporter	14 21	98.50	375.63	0.000033	26.25
Achn151531 Sugar transporter 20.52 3.6 1.26 0.000990 17.75 Achn263241 Sugar transporter 20.52 3.6 1.26 0.000838 16.32	Achn006601	Sugar transporter	14.01 A AA	20.09 2 82	50.24	0.000000	17 75
Achnology Sugar transporter 42.35 0.3 3.47 0.001251 157.9	Achn151531	Sugar transporter	20.52	3.6	1 26	0.000838	16.32
	Achn263241	Sugar transporter	42.35	0.3	3.47	0.001251	157 9

TABLE 5 Levels of expression of genes related to sugar and main organic acid metabolism at three different states of fruit development defined as days
after pollination (DAP).

(Continued)

Transcriptome	Profiling	of Kiwifruit	Development	and Ripening
Indiscriptorne	I I UIIIII U	UT NIVITUL	Developinent	

Gene	Annotation	20 DAP	120 DAP	127 DAP	FDR	Max/Min
Achn013271	Sugar transporter	6.1	20.51	51.75	0.002113	8.48
Achn197531	Sugar transporter	5.52	23.96	10.59	0.002284	4.34
Achn174641	Sugar transporter	6.72	18	2.1	0.002573	8.57
Achn006061	Sugar transporter	2.42	2.6	32.41	0.003297	13.39
Achn338711	Sugar transporter	34.16	26.19	6.27	0.003724	6.54
Achn008891	Sugar transporter	17.58	6.21	10.99	0.003885	3.01
Achn093731	Sugar transporter	43.76	66.83	12.11	0.003932	5.52
Achn182691	Sugar transporter	21.79	1.6	0.53	0.004010	38
Achn254481	Sugar transporter	0.04	0.26	8.22	0.004338	205.5
Achn266661	Sugar transporter	11.51	12.95	3.66	0.006027	3.54
Achn014401	Sugar transporter	19.98	13.53	6.41	0.006067	3.73
Achn069411	Sugar transporter	5.09	21	26.06	0.006227	5.12
Achn146071	Sugar transporter	13.45	30.87	50.38	0.008718	3.75
Achn192731	Sugar transporter	27.71	74.93	41.55	0.009134	2.7
Achn129481	Sugar transporter	56.82	9.78	5.07	0.009175	9.1

TABLE 5 | Continued

Listed genes were those that were considered to be differentially expressed.

SPS is an important component of the plant sucrose biosynthesis pathway. Plant growth and productivity have been correlated with SPS activity in crop plants like maize and rice (Sharma et al., 2010; Okamura et al., 2011). SPS activity has also been correlated with sucrose accumulation in sugarcane stems (Grof et al., 2006). A total of six SPS genes were found to be expressed in kiwifruit, two of which exhibited higher levels of expression relative to the other SPS genes. qRT-PCR data indicated that SPSA (Achn218701) and SPS1 (Achn383801) exhibit a low expression level in immature fruit, and a higher expression level in mature (SPSA) and postharvest ripening (SPS1) stages (Figure 5). The RNA-Seq data, however, differ somewhat from the qRT-PCR data for the SPSA gene (Table 5). The content of sucrose increased from 0.23 to 3.50 g/100 g fresh weight in three development stages (Table S9), which may be attributed to SPSA and SPS1 expression.

Sucrose transporters play a central role, as they orchestrate sucrose allocation both intracellularly and at the whole plant level. Sugars are translocated in plants via sugar transporters, which are involved not only in long-distance sugar transport via the loading and unloading of phloem cells, but also in sugar allocation into source and sink cells (Anders and Huber, 2010; Kühn and Grof, 2010). A total of 13 sucrose transporter (SUC) genes were found to be expressed in fruit tissues of kiwifruit, two of which were expressed at a higher level relative to the other sugar transporter genes. A total of 61 sugar transporter, 12 hexose transporter (HT), and five glucose transporter (GT) genes were found to be expressed in the examined fruit tissues, 23, two, and one of which had higher levels of expression, respectively, relative to the other genes in the same families. The qRT-PCR data indicated that sugar transporter (Achn310541) exhibited its highest expression level in mature fruit and therefore may potentially play a role in starch accumulation. Sucrose metabolism, including the activity of sucrose synthases, SPSs, fructokinases, hexokinases, starch synthases, sucrose transporters, glucose transporters, hexose transporters, and UDP-galactose transporters, however, play a more essential role in sugar accumulation in kiwifruit.

Citrate and malate, products of the TCA cycle, are the main organic acids that, combined with sugars, play a key role in fruit flavor. The content of malic acid was 0.36, 2.31, and 2.38 g/100 g fresh weight, and the content of citric acid was 4.02, 9.33, and 9.74 g/100 g fresh weight, respectively, in three development stages (Table S9). Citrate synthase (CS) is the key enzyme in citrate biosynthesis. A total of 17 CS genes were found to be expressed in fruit, however only Achn367241 showed a distinct pattern of expression (Figure 4). It was highly expressed in postharvest ripened fruit relative to the other CS genes. Genes encoding malic enzymes (ME), which synthesize pyruvate by decarboxylating malate, were also analyzed. A total of 15 ME genes were found to be expressed in fruit, and five of them were more highly expressed relative to the other ME genes. A total of 16 malate dehydrogenase genes were also found to be expressed in fruit, however only Achn221601 showed a distinct pattern of expression (Figure 4).

Candidate Genes Related to Kiwifruit Glutamine, Aspartate, and Arginine Metabolism

The combination of sugars, organic acids and free amino acids results taste of fruit (Sorrequieta et al., 2010). We detected the content of 17 amino acids and γ -aminobutyric acid at three development stages (20, 120, and 127 DAP; **Table S9**). Glutamine, arginine, and aspartate are the major amino acids in kiwifruits, which is similar to the results reported by Redgwell and MacRae (1992). The content of arginine form 1.25 to 1.42 (mg/g Dry weight) is not significant difference in three development stages. Comparably, the content of aspartate (1.50, 0.86, 0.83 mg/g Dry weight) and glutamine (4.52, 1.20, 1.03 mg/g Dry weight)



are decreased from 20 DAP to 127 DAP (**Table S9**). The key genes putatively related to kiwifruit arginine, aspartate, and glutamine metabolism were analyzed. Six glutamate synthase (GOGAT) genes, six glutamate dehydrogenase genes (GDH), and four glutamate decarboxylase (GAD) genes were expressed in all three stages of fruit development (**Table S10**). But one of GDH (Achn063781) was slightly higher expressed in immature (20 DAP) fruit. In *A. thaliana*, AtGOGAT T-DNA insertion line showed a reduction of glutamate and biomass under normal CO₂ condition. According to the results, AtGOGAT played importance of ammonium assimilation in roots (Kojima et al., 2014).

GAD and GDH catalyze glutamate to γ -aminobutyric acid and α -ketoglutarate respectively, as metabolic nexuses to regulate carbon and nitrogen metabolism (Fait et al., 2011). In *Panax ginseng* C. A. Meyer, the expression of *PgGAD* gene was enhanced under various abiotic stresses (Lee et al., 2010). Three argininosuccinate synthase (*ASS*) genes and two argininosuccinate lyase (*ASL*) genes were expressed in among three stages of fruit development, but the *ASS* gene (*Achn280681*) was decreased in 127 DAP. In addition, the *ASL* gene (*Achn041381*) was highly expressed in mature fruit and postharvest stage (**Table S10**). In rice, normal root elongation requires arginine produced by *OsASS* and *OsASL* (Xia et al., 2014). Aspartate transaminase (AspAT) is an important enzyme in amino acid metabolism which catalyzes the interconversion of aspartate and α -ketoglutarate to oxaloacetate and glutamate (Brauc et al., 2011). Eleven *AspAT* genes were found and expressed in all three stages of fruit development (**Table S10**). In *A. thaliana*, overexpression the cytosolic *AtAspAT* influences amino acid metabolism and defense responses against *Botrytis cinerea* infection (Brauc et al., 2011). Those genes (*GAD*, *GDH*, *GOGAT*, *ASS*, *ASL*, *AspAT*) involved in glutamine, arginine, and aspartate metabolism may take part in stress response or development in kiwifruit.

CONCLUSIONS

Kiwifruit is a highly heterozygous vine plant with limited genomic resources. "Hongyang," the cultivar chosen for the current transcriptome study, is widely planted in China and represents an excellent reference for genetic studies in kiwifruit. The major achievement of the current study was, through sequence assembly, annotation, expression analysis, and identification and characterization of lncRNAs, AS events, and novel protein-coding genes, to provide a list of potential candidate genes that could serve as targets for genetic improvement of kiwifruit. The study also serves as a resource for the expression patterns of the candidate genes. Collectively, the data in this study represents a valuable resource for further studies of fruit development in kiwifruit.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JL and YL conceived and planned the study; WT, YZ, MW, ZF, JL, and YL contributed to drafting the manuscript; WT, YZ, J. Dong, J. Yu, J. Yue, FL, XG, and SH performed the experiment and analyzed the data; JS, XN, and J. Ding collected the samples. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31471157 and 31461143008), a National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (2011CB100401), a Key Project from Government of Sichuan Province (2013NZ0014), a Provincial Natural Science Research Project of Anhui Colleges (KJ2014ZD25), a Project between HFUT and USDA (58-1931-4-008FN), and a National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (308250).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpls.2016. 00335

Table S1 | Primers used for RT-PCR and qRT-PCR analysis.

Table S2 | Summary statistics of RNA-Seq data.

Table S3 | (A) LncRNAs of *A. chinensis* "Hongyang"; (B) Overlapping IncRNAs; (C) Intergenic large intervening noncoding RNAs (lincRNAs); (D) Intronic IncRNAs; (E) Antisense IncRNAs; (F) Other IncRNAs; (G) Paired intronic IncRNAs; (H) Noncoding-coding pairs of antisense IncRNAs; (I) Noncoding-noncoding pairs of antisense IncRNAs.

Table S4 | (A) Newly identified, potential protein-coding genes in the genome of A. chinensis cv. "Hongyang"; (B) Newly identified, novel genes involved in vitamin

REFERENCES

- Anders, S., and Huber, W. (2010). Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biol.* 11:R106. doi: 10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106
- Bardou, F., Ariel, F., Simpson, C. G., Romero-Barrios, N., Laporte, P., Balzergue, S., et al. (2014). Long noncoding RNA modulates alternative splicing regulators in *Arabidopsis. Dev. Cell* 30, 166–176. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2014. 06.017
- Barratt, D. H., Derbyshire, P., Findlay, K., Pike, M., Wellner, N., Lunn, J., et al. (2009). Normal growth of *Arabidopsis* requires cytosolic invertase but not sucrose synthase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 13124–13129. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0900689106
- Bartlett, J. G., Snape, J. W., and Harwood, W. A. (2009). Intron-mediated enhancement as a method for increasing transgene expression levels in barley. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 7, 856–866. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7652.2009.00448.x
- Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. 57, 289–300.
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114–2120. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Brauc, S., De Vooght, E., Claeys, M., Höfte, M., and Angenon, G. (2011). Influence of over-expression of cytosolic aspartate aminotransferase on amino acid metabolism and defence responses against *Botrytis cinerea* infection in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Plant Physiol. 168, 1813–1819. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2011.05.012

C, carotenoid, chlorophyll, and flavonoid metabolic pathways and ethylene signaling pathways.

Table S5 | (A) Splice variants in *A. chinensis* cv. "Hongyang"; (B) Splice variants ofvitamin C metabolic pathway genes; (C) Splice variants of chlorophyll, andflavonoid metabolic pathway genes; (D) Splice variants of ethylene signalingpathway genes.

 Table S6 | (A) Gene expression profiles in A. chinensis cv. "Hongyang" at three stages of fruit development and ripening; (B) Significantly differentially expressed genes of A. chinensis cv. "Hongyang" in three stages of fruit development and ripening; (C) FPKM values of genes randomly selected for realtime-PCR validation; (D) Top 10 differentially expressed genes in three stages of fruit development and ripening.

Table S7 | Correlation analyses of RNA-Seq replicates.

Table S8 | Candidate genes involved in sugar and main organic acids biosynthesis in *A. chinensis* "Hongyang."

Table S9 | Physiological parameters of A. chinensis "Hongyang."

Table S10 | Candidate genes involved in amino acids biosynthesis.

Figure S1 | (A) Distribution of IncRNAs in gene region (orange) and intergenic region (blue) along the kiwifruit genome; (B) Distribution of IncRNAs overlapped with repeat region (orange) and non-repeat (blue) along the kiwifruit genome.

Figure S2 | Validation of InCRNAs (A) and newly identified genes (B) by RT-PCR and Sanger sequencing analysis. *IncRNAs:* TCONS_00088122, TCONS_00040823, TCONS_00084056, TCONS_0001512, TCONS_00077754, TCONS_00077829. *Myb17:* MYB domain protein 17; F3H: Flavanone 3-hydroxylase; F-box: F-box family protein; SPL: SQUAMOSA

promoter-binding-like.

Figure S3 | Validation of AS events by RT-PCR and Sanger sequencing analysis. (A) Auxin-response factor gene *Achn271111* (*TCONS_00051294*, *TCONS_00051295*, *TCONS_000512947*); (B) UDP-glycosyltransferase gene *Achn017071* (*TCONS_00020411*, *TCONS_00020412*).

Figure S4 | Gene expression profiles during fruit development and

ripening in *A. chinensis* **"Hongyang."** Two replicates of fruit samples at 20 DAP stage, and three replicates of fruit samples at 120 and 127 DAP stages were used to calculate expression levels.

- Capitani, D., Mannina, L., Proietti, N., Sobolev, A. P., Tomassini, A., Miccheli, A., et al. (2010). Monitoring of metabolic profiling and water status of Hayward kiwifruits by nuclear magnetic resonance. *Talanta* 82, 1826–1838. doi: 10.1016/j.talanta.2010.07.080
- Chat, J., Jauregui, B., Petit, R., and Nadot, S. (2004). Reticulate evolution in kiwifruit (*Actinidia, Actinidiaceae*) identified by comparing their maternal and paternal phylogenies. *Am. J. Bot.* 91, 736–747. doi: 10.3732/ajb.91.5.736
- Chen, C., Liu, M., Jiang, L., Liu, X., Zhao, J., Yan, S., et al. (2014). Transcriptome profiling reveals roles of meristem regulators and polarity genes during fruit trichome development in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus L.*). J. Exp. Bot. 65, 4943–4958. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru258
- Crowhurst, R. N., Gleave, A. P., MacRae, E. A., Ampomah-Dwamena, C., Atkinson, R. G., Beuning, L. L., et al. (2008). Analysis of expressed sequence tags from *Actinidia*: applications of a cross species EST database for gene discovery in the areas of flavor, health, color and ripening. *BMC Genomics* 9:351. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-351
- Davies, C., Wolf, T., and Robinson, S. P. (1999). Three putative sucrose transporters are differentially expressed in grapevine tissues. *Plant Sci.* 147, 93–100. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9452(99)00059-X
- Deluc, L. G., Grimplet, J., Wheatley, M. D., Tillett, R. L., Quilici, D. R., Osborne, C., et al. (2007). Transcriptomic and metabolite analyses of Cabernet Sauvignon grape berry development. *BMC Genomics* 8:429. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-8-429
- Fait, A., Nesi, A. N., Angelovici, R., Lehmann, M., Pham, P. A., Song, L., et al. (2011). Targeted enhancement of glutamate-to-γ-aminobutyrate conversion in Arabidopsis seeds affects carbon-nitrogen balance and storage reserves

in a development-dependent manner. *Plant Physiol.* 157, 1026–1042. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.179986

- Ferguson, A. R., and Huang, H. (2007). "Genetic resources of kiwifruit: domestication and breeding," in *Horticultural Reviews*, ed J. Janick (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons), 1–121.
- Filichkin, S. A., Priest, H. D., Givan, S. A., Shen, R., Bryant, D. W., Fox, S. E., et al. (2010). Genome-wide mapping of alternative splicing in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genome Res.* 20, 45–58. doi: 10.1101/gr.093302.109
- Foissac, S., and Sammeth, M. (2007). ASTALAVISTA: dynamic and flexible analysis of alternative splicing events in custom gene datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, W297–W299. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm311
- Fraser, L. G., Seal, A. G., Montefiori, M., McGhie, T. K., Tsang, G. K., Datson, P. M., et al. (2013). An R2R3 MYB transcription factor determines red petal colour in an *Actinidia* (kiwifruit) hybrid population. *BMC Genomics* 14:28. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-28
- Fridman, E., Carrari, F., Liu, Y. S., Fernie, A. R., and Zamir, D. (2004). Zooming in on a quantitative trait for tomato yield using interspecific introgressions. *Science* 305, 1786–1789. doi: 10.1126/science.1101666
- Granot, D. (2007). Role of tomato hexose kinases. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 34, 564–570. doi: 10.1071/FP06207
- Grof, C. P. L., Albertson, P. L., Bursle, J., Perroux, J. M., Bonnett, G. D., and Manners, J. M. (2006). Sucrosephosphate synthase, a biochemical marker of high sucrose accumulation in sugarcane. *Crop Sci.* 47, 1530–1539. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2006.12.0825
- Huang, H., and Ferguson, A. R. (2003). Kiwifruit (A. chinensis and A. deliciosa) plantings and production in China, 2002. New Zeal. J. Crop Hortic. Sci. 31, 197–202. doi: 10.1080/01140671.2003.9514253
- Huang, S., Ding, J., Deng, D., Tang, W., Sun, H., Liu, D., et al. (2013). Draft genome of the kiwifruit Actinidia chinensis. Nat. Commun. 4, 2640. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3640
- Iseli, C., Jongeneel, C. V., and Bucher, P. (1999). ESTScan: a program for detecting, evaluating, and reconstructing potential coding regions in EST sequences. *Proc. Int. Conf. Intell. Syst. Mol. Biol.* 99, 138–148.
- Ishimaru, T., Hirose, T., Matsuda, T., Goto, A., Takahashi, K., Sasaki, H., et al. (2005). Expression patterns of genes encoding carbohydrate-metabolizing enzymes and their relationship to grain filling in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*): comparison of caryopses located at different positions in a panicle. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 46, 620–628. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pci066
- Jaakola, L. (2013). New insights into the regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis in fruit. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18, 477–483. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2013.06.003
- Jaeger, S. R., and Harker, F. R. (2005). Consumer evaluation of novel kiwifruit: willingness-to-pay. J. Sci. Food Agric. 85, 2519–2526. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.2330
- Javelle, A., Morel, M., Rodríguez-Pastrana, B. R., Botton, B., André, B., Marini, A. M., et al. (2003). Molecular characterization, function and regulation of ammonium transporters (Amt) and ammonium-metabolizing enzymes (GS, NADP-GDH) in the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Hebeloma cylindrosporum*. Mol. Microbiol. 47, 411–430. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03303.x
- Kojima, S., Konishi, N., Beier, M. P., Ishiyama, K., Maru, I., Hayakawa, T., et al. (2014). NADH-dependent glutamate synthase participated in ammonium assimilation in *Arabidopsis* root. *Plant Signal Behav.* 9:e29402. doi: 10.4161/psb.29402
- Kong, L., Zhang, Y., Ye, Z. Q., Liu, X. Q., Zhao, S. Q., Wei, L., et al. (2007). CPC: assess the protein-coding potential of transcripts using sequence features and support vector machine. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, W345–W349. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm391
- Kühn, C., and Grof, C. P. (2010). Sucrose transporters of higher plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 13, 288–298. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2010.02.001
- Laing, W. A., Martínez-Sánchez, M., Wright, M. A., Bulley, S. M., Brewster, D., Dare, A. P., et al. (2015). An upstream open reading frame is essential for feedback regulation of ascorbate biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 27, 772–786. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.133777
- Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., and Pop, M. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol.* 10:R25. doi: 10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25
- Lee, J. H., Kim, Y. J., Jeong, D. Y., Sathiyaraj, G., Pulla, R. K., Shim, J. S., et al. (2010). Isolation and characterization of a *Glutamate decarboxylase* (*GAD*) gene and their differential expression in response to abiotic stresses from *Panax ginseng* C. A. Meyer. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 37, 3455–3463. doi: 10.1007/s11033-009-9937-0

- Li, L., Eichten, S. R., Shimizu, R., Petsch, K., Yeh, C. T., Wu, W., et al. (2014). Genome-wide discovery and characterization of maize long non-coding RNAs. *Genome Biol.* 15:R40. doi: 10.1186/gb-2014-15-2-r40
- Li, M., Ma, F., Liang, D., Li, J., and Wang, Y. (2010). Ascorbate biosynthesis during early fruit development is the main reason for its accumulation in kiwi. *PLoS* ONE 12:e14281. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0014281
- Li, W. B., Liu, Y. F., Zeng, S. H., Xiao, G., Wang, G., Wang, Y., et al. (2015). Gene expression profiling of development and anthocyanin accumulation in kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis*) based on transcriptome sequencing. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0136439. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0136439
- Liu, J., Jung, C., Xu, J., Wang, H., Deng, S., Bernad, L., et al. (2012). Genome-wide analysis uncovers regulation of long intergenic noncoding RNAs in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 24, 4333–4345. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.102855
- Liu, J., Wang, H., and Chua, N. H. (2015). Long noncoding RNA transcriptome of plants. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 13, 319–328. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12336
- MacDiarmid, C. W., and Gardner, R. C. (1993). A cDNA sequence from kiwifruit homologous to 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase. *Plant Physiol.* 101, 691–692. doi: 10.1104/pp.101.2.691
- Marquez, Y., Brown, J. W., Simpson, C., Barta, A., and Kalyna, M. (2012). Transcriptome survey reveals increased complexity of the alternative splicing landscape in *Arabidopsis. Genome Res.* 22, 1184–1195. doi: 10.1101/gr.134106.111
- McGuire, A. M., Pearson, M. D., Neafsey, D. E., and Galagan, J. E. (2008). Crosskingdom patterns of alternative splicing and splice recognition. *Genome Biol.* 9:R50. doi: 10.1186/gb-2008-9-3-r50
- Modrek, B., and Lee, C. (2002). A genomic view of alternative splicing. *Nat. Genet.* 30, 13–19. doi: 10.1038/ng0102-13
- Montefiori, M., Espley, R. V., Stevenson, D., Cooney, J., Datson, P. M., Saiz, A., et al. (2011). Identification and characterisation of F3GT1 and F3GGT1, two glycosyltransferases responsible for anthocyanin biosynthesis in red-fleshed kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis*). *Plant J.* 65, 106–118. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04409.x
- Montefiori, M., McGhie, T. K., Costa, G., and Ferguson, A. R. (2005). Pigments in the fruit of red-fleshed kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis* and *Actinidia deliciosa*). J. Agric. Food Chem. 53, 9526–9530. doi: 10.1021/jf051629u
- Nardozza, S., Boldingh, H. L., Osorio, S., Höhne, M., Wohlers, M., Gleave, A. P., et al. (2013). Metabolic analysis of kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa*) berries from extreme genotypes reveals hallmarks for fruit starch metabolism. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64, 5049–5063. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert293
- Nieuwenhuizen, N. J., Chen, X., Wang, M. Y., Matich, A. J., Perez, R. L., Allan, A. C., et al. (2015). Natural variation in monoterpene synthesis in kiwifruit: transcriptional regulation of terpene synthases by NAC and ETHYLENE-INSENSITIVE3-like transcription factors. *Plant Physiol.* 167, 1243–1258. doi: 10.1104/pp.114.254367
- Nishiyama, I., Fukuda, T., and Shimohashi, A. (2008). Oota T. Sugar and organic acid composition in the fruit juice of different *Actinidia* varieties. *Food Sci. Technol. Res.* 14, 67–73. doi: 10.3136/fstr.14.67
- Okamura, M., Aoki, N., Hirose, T., Yonekura, M., Ohto, C., and Ohsugi, R. (2011). Tissue specificity and diurnal change in gene expression of the sucrose phosphate synthase gene family in rice. *Plant Sci.* 18, 159–166. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2011.04.019
- Peck, S. C., and Kende, H. (1998). A gene encoding 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) synthase produces two transcripts: elucidation of a conserved response. *Plant J.* 14, 573–581. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1998.00156.x
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., et al. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D590–D596. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1219
- Reddy, A. S. (2007). Alternative splicing of pre-messenger RNAs in plants in the genomic era. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 58, 267–294. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.58.032806.103754
- Reddy, A. S., Marquez, Y., Kalyna, M., and Barta, A. (2013). Complexity of the alternative splicing landscape in plants. *Plant Cell* 25, 3657–3683. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.117523
- Redgwell, R. J., and MacRae, E. A. (1992). Amino acids in kiwifruit 2. Distribution of C-leaf photosynthate among free amino acids during fruit maturation. *New Zeal. J. Crop Hortic.* 20, 457–461. doi: 10.1080/01140671.1992.104 18065

- Richardson, A. C., Boldingh, H. L., McAtee, P. A., Gunaseelan, K., Luo, Z., Atkinson, R. G., et al. (2011). Fruit development of the diploid kiwifruit, *Actinidia chinensis* 'Hort16A'. *BMC Plant Biol.* 11:182. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-11-182
- Rinn, J. L., and Chang, H. Y. (2012). Genome regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 81, 145–166. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-051410-092902
- Rodríguez-Suárez, C., Atienza, S. G., and Pistón, F. (2011). Allelic variation, alternative splicing and expression analysis of Psy1 gene in Hordeum chilense Roem. et Schult. *PLoS ONE* 6:e19885. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0019885
- Sammeth, M., Foissac, S., and Guigó, R. (2008). A general definition and nomenclature for alternative splicing events. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 4:e1000147. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000147
- Sauer, N., and Stolz, J. (1994). SUC1 and SUC2: two sucrose transporters from Arabidopsis thaliana; expression and characterization in baker's yeast and identification of the histidine-tagged protein. *Plant J.* 6, 67–77. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1994.6010067.x
- Sharma, S., Sreenivasulu, N., Harshavardhan, V. T., Seiler, C., Sharma, S., Khalil, Z. N., et al. (2010). Delineating the structural, functional and evolutionary relationships of sucrose phosphate synthase gene family II in wheat and related grasses. *BMC Plant Biol.* 10:134. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-134
- Shen, Y., Zhou, Z., Wang, Z., Li, W., Fang, C., Wu, M., et al. (2014). Global dissection of alternative splicing in paleopolyploid soybean. *Plant Cell* 26, 996–1008. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.122739
- Smeekens, S., Ma, J., Hanson, J., and Rolland, F. (2010). Sugar signals and molecular networks controlling plant growth. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 13, 274–279. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2009.12.002
- Smyth, G. K. (2004). Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. *Stat. Appl. Genet. Mol. Biol.* 3, 1–25. doi: 10.2202/1544-6115.1027
- Sorrequieta, A., Ferraro, G., Boggio, S. B., and Valle, E. M. (2010). Free amino acid production during tomato fruit ripening: a focus on L-glutamate. *Amino Acids* 38, 1523–1532. doi: 10.1007/s00726-009-0373-1
- Tang, X., Tang, Z., Huang, S., Liu, J., Liu, J., Shi, W., et al. (2013). Whole transcriptome sequencing reveals genes involved in plastid/chloroplast division and development are regulated by the *HP1/DDB1* at an early stage of tomato fruit development. *Planta* 238, 923–936. doi: 10.1007/s00425-013-1942-9
- Thatcher, S. R., Zhou, W., Leonard, A., Wang, B. B., Beatty, M., Zastrow-Hayes, G., et al. (2014). Genome-wide analysis of alternative splicing in *Zea mays*: landscape and genetic regulation. *Plant Cell* 26, 3472–3487. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.130773
- Trapnell, C., Pachter, L., and Salzberg, S. L. (2009). TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1105–1111. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp120
- Trapnell, C., Williams, B. A., Pertea, G., Mortazavi, A., Kwan, G., van Baren, M. J., et al. (2010). Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals

unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 28, 511–515. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1621

- Tuppo, L., Giangrieco, I., Palazzo, P., Bernardi, M. L., Scala, E., Carratore, V., et al. (2008). Kiwellin, a modular protein from green and gold kiwi fruits: evidence of *in vivo* and *in vitro* processing and IgE binding. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 56, 3812–3817. doi: 10.1021/jf703620m
- Wang, M., Li, M., and Meng, A. (2003). Selection of a new redfleshed kiwifruit cultivar 'Hongyang'. Acta Hort. 610, 115–117. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2003.610.13
- Wang, W. J., Wang, F. J., Sun, X. T., Liu, F. L., and Liang, Z. R. (2013). Comparison of transcriptome under red and blue light culture of *Saccharina japonica* (Phaeophyceae). *Planta* 237, 1123–1133. doi: 10.1007/s00425-012-1831-7
- Wu, J., Xu, Z., Zhang, Y., Chai, L., Yi, H., and Deng, X. (2014). An integrative analysis of the transcriptome and proteome of the pulp of a spontaneous lateripening sweet orange mutant and its wild type improves our understanding of fruit ripening in citrus. J. Exp. Bot. 65, 1651–1671. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru044
- Xia, J., Yamaji, N., Che, J., Shen, R. F., and Ma, J. F. (2014). Normal root elongation requires arginine produced by argininosuccinate lyase in rice. *Plant J.* 78, 215–226. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12476
- Yin, Y. G., Tominaga, T., Iijima, Y., Aoki, K., Shibata, D., Ashihara, H., et al. (2010). Metabolic alterations in organic acids and γ-aminobutyric acid in developing tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) fruits. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 51, 1300–1314. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcq090
- Zhang, G., Guo, G., Hu, X., Zhang, Y., Li, Q., Li, R., et al. (2010). Deep RNA sequencing at single base-pair resolution reveals high complexity of the rice transcriptome. *Genome Res.* 20, 646–654. doi: 10.1101/gr.100677.109
- Zhang, L., Li, Z., Wang, Y., Jiang, Z., Wang, S., and Huang, H. (2010). Vitamin C flower color and ploidy variation of hybrids from a ploidy-unbalanced *Actinidia* interspecific cross and SSR characterization. *Euphytica* 175, 133–143. doi: 10.1007/s10681-010-0194-z
- Zhang, Y. C., Liao, J. Y., Li, Z. Y., Yu, Y., Zhang, J. P., Li, Q. F., et al. (2014). Genome-wide screening and functional analysis identify a large number of long noncoding RNAs involved in the sexual reproduction of rice. *Genome Biol.* 15, 512. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0512-1

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Tang, Zheng, Dong, Yu, Yue, Liu, Guo, Huang, Wisniewski, Sun, Niu, Ding, Liu, Fei and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.