



Genetic Analysis in Maize Foundation Parents with Mapping Population and Testcross Population: Ye478 Carried More Favorable Alleles and Using QTL Information Could Improve Foundation Parents

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Xiaowu Wang, Biotechnology Research Institute (CAAS), China

Reviewed by:

Ann E. Stapleton, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, USA Jihua Tang, Henan Agricultural University, China

*Correspondence:

Yu Li liyu03@caas.cn Yubi Huang yubihuang@sohu.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Genetics and Genomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 24 May 2016 Accepted: 06 September 2016 Published: 23 September 2016

Citation:

Liu Y, Hou X, Xiao Q, Yi Q, Bian S, Hu Y, Liu H, Zhang J, Hao X, Cheng W, Li Y and Huang Y (2016) Genetic Analysis in Maize Foundation Parents with Mapping Population and Testcross Population: Ye478 Carried More Favorable Alleles and Using QTL Information Could Improve Foundation Parents. Front. Plant Sci. 7:1417. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01417 Yinghong Liu^{1†}, Xianbin Hou^{2†}, Qianlin Xiao², Qiang Yi², Shaowei Bian², Yufeng Hu², Hanmei Liu³, Junjie Zhang³, Xiaoqin Hao⁴, Weidong Cheng⁵, Yu Li^{6*} and Yubi Huang^{2*}

¹ Maize Research Institute, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, China, ² College of Agronomy, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, China, ³ College of Life Science, Sichuan Agricultural University, Ya'an, China, ⁴ College of Agronomy, Guangxi University, Nanning, China, ⁵ Maize Research Institute, Guangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanning, China, ⁶ Institute of Crop Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China

The development of maize foundation parents is an important part of genetics and breeding research, and applying new genetic information to produce foundation parents has been challenging. In this study, we focused on quantitative trait loci (QTLs) and general combining ability (GCA) of Ye478, a widely used foundation parent in China. We developed three sets of populations for QTL mapping and to analyze the GCA for some agronomic traits. The assessment of 15 traits resulted in the detection of 251 QTLs in six tested environments, with 119 QTLs identified through a joint analysis across all environments. Further, analyses revealed that most favorable alleles for plant typerelated traits were from Ye478, and more than half of the favorable alleles for yield-related traits were from R08, another foundation parent used in southwestern China, suggesting that different types of foundation parents carried different favorable alleles. We observed that the GCA for most traits (e.g., plant height and 100-kernel weight) was maintained in the inbred lines descended from the foundation parents. Additionally, the continuous improvement in the GCA of the descendants of the foundation parents was consistent with the main trend in maize breeding programs. We identified three significant genomic regions that were highly conserved in three Ye478 descendants, including the stable QTL for plant height. The GCA for the traits in the F_7 generation revealed that the QTLs for the given traits per se were affected by additive effects in the same way in different populations.

Keywords: maize, foundation parent, quantitative trait loci, general combining ability, improvement

INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is the most widely grown crop in China, with the average yield increasing from 2.09 tons/ha in 1970 to 5.81 tons/ha in 2014 (http://www.stats.gov.cn/). Several studies proposed that more than 50% of this increase in China was due to genetic improvement (Zhang et al., 1998; Xie et al., 2009; Ci et al., 2011; Niu et al., 2013), which was similar to the findings of studies in other countries (reviewed in Duvick, 2005). Because single-cross varieties cover almost all total planting areas of maize in China, foundation parents, which exhibit excellent agronomic characteristics, and high general combining ability for yieldrelated traits, are crucial for maize breeding (Troyer, 1999, 2004; Duvick et al., 2004; Teng et al., 2004; Hallauer and Carena, 2009; Li, 2009; Li and Wang, 2010). Li and Wang (2010) proposed a quantitative definition of foundation parents that they have at least 20 descended inbred lines and 30 important hybrids which used the given foundation parent as one of the two direct parent. Many studies have provided useful information regarding the generation of foundation parents in different germplasm background. Analyses of their pedigrees revealed that foundation parents at early stage were derived from a few conventional cultivars or landraces, while those foundation parents developed later were from crosses between elite lines or from recurrent selection populations (Smith et al., 1990; Troyer, 1999, 2004; Mickel and Dudley, 2006; Mikel, 2006, 2011; Li and Wang, 2010). For morphology traits and physiology traits studies of foundation parents contributed to divide the heterotic group and guide breeding (because hybrids that its parents from two heterotic groups have greater hybrid vigor than hybrids whose parents are both from one heterotic group) (Smith and Smith, 1989; Duvick et al., 2004; D'Andrea et al., 2006; Li, 2009; Ci, 2011; Liu Y. H. et al., 2011). Studies examining combining abilities (the ability to combine with testers) produced abundant genetic information that divided the heterotic group, and allowed for the evaluation of the breeding value of inbred lines (Beck et al., 1991; Li et al., 2002; Makumbi et al., 2011).

Molecular markers have been used to study the genetic diversity of maize inbred lines, resulting in useful information regarding maize population structures and genomic distributions of linkage disequilibrium (Ching et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2003; Reif et al., 2005; Stich et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2008; Van Inghelandt et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2014). These studies have revealed that there are conserved genetic regions in foundation parents and their descendants. Investigations of maize genetic architecture of important traits using quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping indicated that foundation parents consist of several favorable alleles or allele combinations (Frascaroli et al., 2007; Buckler et al., 2009; Liu W. X. et al., 2011). Additionally, research regarding the development of foundation parents involving genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics has determined the importance of the enrichment of rare alleles and improved genetic balance (Duvick et al., 2004; Chen Z. Y. et al., 2007; Li et al., 2009; Jiao et al., 2012; Rao et al., 2014).

Foundation parents represent a comprehensive concept from which breeding practices were derived. These parents were not only used to make hybrids with other inbred lines, they

were also applied for the generation of diverse descendants for subsequent breeding cycles. Therefore, studying the appearance of maize foundation parents must be associated with its utility for breeding programs. Many of the studies mentioned above attempted to explain how maize foundation parents formed, but did not focus on the relevance to maize breeding in the future. Quantitative trait locus mapping of complex traits may provide abundant information regarding genetic architecture and molecular markers of favorable alleles for molecular breeding (Yin et al., 2003; Cai, 2006; Buckler et al., 2009; Xu, 2010; Liu Y. et al., 2014). And many statistical methods have been proposed and developed to map multiple traits and providing more details on the genetic architecture of complex traits (Malosetti et al., 2008; Silva et al., 2012; Scutari et al., 2014). A test of general combining ability (GCA, the average ability to combine with testers) will also help determine the breeding value of inbred lines (reviewed in Duvick, 2005; Hallauer et al., 2010a). Integrating QTL mapping with a test of combining ability may lead to a better understanding of the development and improvement of maize foundation parents.

The objectives of this study were to (1) identify the favorable alleles that had been pyramided in the foundation parent "Ye478," a famous parent in China, using 266 R08 × Ye478 $F_{2:3}$ families, (2) assess the improvement of foundation parents using Ye478-related lines (Ye478's parents and its descendants), and (3) determine the utility of QTL information for traits *per se* for improving of foundation parents by analyzing 36 R08 × Ye478 F_7 generations. Our results will provide valuable information for developing and improving elite maize lines in breeding programs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials

The mapping population (MP) consisted of 266 $F_{2:3}$ families derived from a cross between R08 and Ye478, which were the foundation parents widely the southwest maize zone and the Huanghuaihai maize zone of China (mainly summer maize region), respectively. More than 20 important hybrids with R08 as a parent have been released (Qiao et al., 2009). More than 30 inbred lines and 58 important hybrids with Ye478 as a parent have been released (Li and Wang, 2010).

A testcross population (TCP1) for examining the improvement of Ye478 was developed using six Ye478-related inbred lines crossed with five testers according to the North Carolina Design II mating design (Comstock and Robinson, 1948). The Ye478-related inbred lines included two parental lines, i.e., Shen5003 and U8112 and three descended inbred lines, namely Zheng58, Zao48, and K22 (Supplemental Table 1). The five testers were Chang7-2, Mo17, S37, Qi319, and Dan 340, which belong to different heterotic groups (i.e., Tang Si Ping Tou, Lancaster, Suwan, PB, and Luda Red Cob) (Xie et al., 2007).

Another testcross population (TCP2), including 190 testcrosses, for analyzing the effects of molecular-assisted selection on foundation parents was obtained by crossing R08, Ye478, and $36 F_7$ lines of R08 × Ye478 with the above-mentioned five testers according to the North Carolina Design II mating design.

Field Experiments

The F_{2:3} families of R08 × Ye478 along with the parental lines and the F₁ hybrid were evaluated in 2012 and 2013 at the following locations in China: The Duoying farm at the Maize Research Institute of Sichuan Agricultural University, Ya'an (EY; 30°N, 103°E), the Xishuangbanna maize breeding site at the Maize Research Institute of Sichuan Agricultural University, Jinghong (EJ; 22°N, 100.5°E), and the Maize Research Institute of Guangxi, Nanning (EN; 22.5°N, 108.2°E), Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region. Field experiments were completed according to a randomized complete block design, with one-row plots and two replicates at each site. Rows were 3 m long, with a 0.8-m space between rows, for a final density of 58,000 plants/ha. Fields were managed according to local practices.

The TCP1 plants were evaluated in 2013 and 2014 at the Duoying farm and Xishuangbanna maize breeding site. The experiments were conducted using a randomized complete block design involving one-row plots and three replicates at each location. The TCP2 plants were evaluated in 2014 at the same two locations, using a similar experimental design, but with two replicates per site. Cultivation practices were the same as those used for the MP plants.

Each combination of location and year was considered as an individual experimental environment, and each environment was named using an abbreviation derived from the location and year [i.e., EY13 refers to Ya'an (environment) in 2013].

Phenotypic Analyses

Five competitive plants from the middle of each plot were used in evaluation of the following nine plant type-related traits: Plant height (PH, cm), ear height (EH, cm), internode length above the primary ear (IL, cm), tassel length (TL, cm), leaf number above the ear (LN), tassel branch number (TB), leaf length above/below the primary ear (LLA/B, cm), leaf width above/below the primary ear (LWA/B, cm), leaf angle above/below the primary ear (LAA/B, degree), length from leaf collar to flagging point above/below the primary ear (Lf, cm), and leaf orientation value above and below the primary ear (LOV). Additional five competitive plants were harvested from the middle of each plot to assess the following yield traits: Ear length (EL, cm), ear diameter (ED, cm), ear-cob diameter (CD, cm), ear row number (ER), and 100-kernel weight (KW, g). The trait measurement methods are described in Supplemental Table 2. All traits were evaluated in the F2:3 family plants, while all traits except for LN were analyzed in the TCP1 and TCP2 plants.

Analysis of variance was completed with PROC GLM using SPSS (http://www.spss.com). Broad-sense heritability (H_B^2) and its confidence intervals were calculated as described by Hallauer et al. (2010c) using the following equations: $H_B^2 = \sigma_g^2/(\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_{ge}^2/n + \sigma_2/nb)$ (MP plants) and $H_B^2 = \sigma_m^2/(\sigma_m^2 + \sigma_{fm}^2/f + \sigma_{em}^2/n + \sigma_{fm}^2/n + \sigma_2/nbf)$ (TCP plants), where σ_g^2 is the genetic variance, σ_{ge}^2 is the genotype × environment interaction variance, σ_{fm}^2 is the ror variance, n is the number of environments, b is the number of replicates per experiment; σ_m^2 is the male variance, σ_{fm}^2 is the female × male interaction variance, σ_{em}^2 is the environment × female

× male interaction variance, and f is the number of females. The H_B^2 confidence intervals were estimated according to the method proposed by Knapp et al. (1985). Additive (σ) variances were estimated using the procedure established by Hallauer et al. (2010b). The Pearson's phenotypic or GCA correlations were determined using SPSS PROC CORR (http://www.spss.com).

Molecular Linkage Construction and QTL Mapping

Genomic DNA was extracted from young leaves of the F2:3 plants and their parents (at least 10 plants per line as a bulk sample) and F7 lines (five plants per line as a bulk sample) using the modified procedure involving cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (Chen and Ronald, 1999). The oligonucleotide pool assay used in this study was developed by the National Maize Improvement Center of China using Illumina GoldenGate technology. This assay consisted of 3072 well-distributed and high-quality (i.e., high calling rate, polymorphism rate, and minor allele frequency) single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) selected from 56,110 SNPs in 513 maize inbred lines. Genotyping was completed using an Illumina BeadStation 500 G (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) at the National Maize Improvement Center of China according to a published protocol (Fan et al., 2006). Chisquared analyses of the segregation ratio of each SNP in the F_{2:3} families were conducted at a significance threshold of 5%, and then molecular markers affected by segregation distortion were excluded. Ultimately, 471 polymorphic markers were used to construct a genetic linkage map, which agreed with the expected Mendelian segregation ratio of 1:2:1. The genetic map was developed using MAPMAKER/EXP version 3.0b, with a logarithm of odds threshold > 3.0 (Lander et al., 1987). The Kosambi mapping function was used to convert recombination frequencies to genetic distances (Kosambi, 1943).

The QTL locations, origins of positive alleles, and QTL effects on each trait for each environment (i.e., single environment analysis, SEA) were investigated, and a joint analysis across all environments (JAAE) was completed, using the QTL Network software version 2.1 (Yang J. et al., 2008) with a mixed modelbased composite interval mapping method (Wang et al., 1999; Yang et al., 2007). The genome scan configuration used a 10-cM testing window and a 1-cM walk speed to identify QTLs associated with traits, and a 10-cM filtration window to distinguish between two adjacent test statistic peaks (whether they are two QTL or not). The threshold for identifying a significant QTL was defined by 1000 permutations (P < 0.05) (Churchill and Doerge, 1994). The QTLs detected in different environments for the same trait were considered to be the same if their confidence intervals overlapped.

The additive effects of favorable alleles can improve plant morphology to enable adaptation to high plant densities or enhance yield (Mock and Pearce, 1975; Duvick, 2005; Tollenaar and Lee, 2011). Therefore, alleles were considered favorable if they had a positive additive effect on IL, LOV, EL, ED, ER, and KW, or if they had a negative additive effect on PH, EH, TL, LN, TB, LL (leaf length), LW (leaf width), LA (leaf angle), and CD. The contribution of Ye478 to favorable effects was calculated using the following formula: FOY= $\sum_{n}^{j} |aj| / \sum_{N}^{i} |ai|$, where aj is the additive effect of QTLs with a favorable Ye478 allele, and ai is the additive effect of all QTLs.

Calculation of General Combining Ability

The additive-dominance genetic model (Zhu, 1992; Zhu and Weir, 1994) was used to calculate the GCA for all traits using the QGA Station software (http://mypage.zju. edu.cn/Jun_Zhu). The y phenotype value was defined as $y = \mu + A + D + E + AE + DE + e$, where μ is the population mean, A is the additive effect (GCA), D is the dominance effect (key component of specific combining ability), E is the environment effect, AE is the additive × environment interaction effect, DE is the dominance \times environment interaction effect, and e is the residual effect. Genetic correlation coefficients were estimated using the minimum norm quadratic unbiased estimation method. Jackknifing (i.e., cutting one genotype once) was used to approximate the standard errors of the estimated genetic parameters (Miller, 1974; Zhu and Weir, 1994). A t test (two-tailed) was used to test the significance of the genetic parameters.

RESULTS

Quantitative Trait Loci Detected Using the Mapping Population and Pyramiding of Favorable Alleles in Ye478/R08

Results for the H_B^2 , linkage map, and QTL analysis for the 15 traits in the F_{2:3} families are presented in **Table 1**, Supplemental Figure 1, Supplemental Tables 3, 4. We detected 251 putative QTLs for the 15 traits in six environments (Supplemental Figure 2). There were five (ED) to 30 (TB) QTLs for single traits in the six environments. Additionally, in EY13 and EY12, a total of 29 and 39 QTLs, respectively, were identified for all the 15 traits. For an individual trait in a single environment, there were 0–6 QTLs. Over half of the alleles had favorable additive effects from Ye478 for the plant type-related traits, except for IL, TB, and LAA. Similar results were observed for the contribution of Ye478 to the favorable effect (the FOY ratio). In all environments, over half the favorable alleles were contributed by Ye478, and in most environments, the FOY values exceeded 50%. Moreover, the FOY values for ER and KW were over 50%.

A total of 45 putative stable QTLs across environments (SQ; i.e., QTL was detected in at least two environments) were identified for all the 15 traits (**Table 1**, the stable QTL column). The number of single trait SQs ranged from zero (ED and KW) to seven (TB). We detected 56 putative important QTLs [i.e., QTL was detected by JAAE ($R^2 > 2\%$) in at least one environment] for all 15 traits (**Table 1**, the last column). No fewer than 50% of SQ alleles that had favorable additive effects were from Ye478 (except for IL, TB, and EL). A similar result was observed for the important QTLs.

Favorable Dwarfism Alleles from Ye478

Semi-dwarfism is an important agronomic trait that enables the wide use of Ye478 in China (Li and Wang, 2010). We analyzed the pyramiding of favorable alleles in Ye478, and detected 13

SQs and 12 major QTLs (MQ; i.e., QTLs with $R^2 > 10\%$ in at least one environment, and also identified based on joint analysis across six environments) associated with four plant height-related traits (**Table 2**, Supplemental Table 4, 4 SQs related to PH, 4 SQs related to EH, 2 SQs related to IL and 3 SQs related to TL).

Four SQs and three MQs for PH were detected on chromosomes 1, 2, 5, and 8, and four alleles that decreased PH were all from Ye478. The QTL OSPH5 on chromosome 5 was a plant height MQ detected across four environments, and was responsible for 12.9 - 22.3% of the phenotypic variation. The QTL QSPH2 on chromosome 2 was the only SQ detected across five environments, and was responsible for 5.8-7.4% of the observed phenotypic variation. Four SQs and four MQs for EH were detected on chromosomes 1, 5, 7, and 8, and four alleles that decreased EH were all from Ye478. The QTL QSEH5 on chromosome 5 was an ear height MQ detected across four environments, and explained 14.4-17.9% of the phenotypic variation. Two SQs and three MQs for IL were detected on chromosomes 5, 7, and 8, and three alleles that reduced IL were all from Ye478. The QTL QSIL5 on chromosome 5 was an MQ for internode length above the primary ear detected across three environments, and explained 11.0-15.4% of the phenotypic variation. The QTL QSIL7 on chromosome 7 was an MQ which was detected in only one environment, and was responsible for 11.2% of the phenotypic variation. Three SQs and two MQs for TL were detected on chromosomes 1 and 2, and three alleles that decreased TL were all from Ye478. The QTL QSTL1-2 on chromosome 1 was an MQ for tassel length detected across all six environments, and explained 8.1-16.3% of the observed phenotypic variation.

Traits *Per se* and GCA for Traits in the Ye478-Related Lines

The analysis of variance for 14 traits in TCP1 revealed highly significant variations (P < 0.01) among environment, mean square (MS) of testers, and MS of the Ye478-related lines (Y) (Supplemental Table 5). There were no significant variations in the Y \times E (environment) variance components (P < 0.05) for LLA, EL, ED, and CD. This result indicated that σ_{AY}^2 (i.e., additive variance estimated in the Ye478-related lines) was considerably lower than σ_{AT}^2 (i.e., additive variance estimated in test lines) for PH, EL, and KW (Supplemental Table 5, $\sigma_{AY}^2/\sigma_{AT}^2$ for PH, EL, and KW were 0.289, 0.085, and 0.220, respectively). This suggested that the GCA for the traits were conserved in the Ye478-related lines. In contrast, there were no obvious differences between σ_{AY}^2 and σ_{AT}^2 for TB, LWA, LAA, and ED (Supplemental Table 5, $\sigma^2_{AY}/\sigma^2_{AT}$ for TB, LWA, LAA, and ED were 0.772, 0.682, 1.600, and 1.250, respectively), with the possible reason that the effects on the GCA resulted from genetic improvements or unintentional selections in the Ye478-related lines by breeders.

Trait performance *per se* and the GCA results for the Ye478related lines are presented in **Figure 1**, Supplemental Table 6. To analyze trait changes *per se* and the GCA, the values of traits *per se* and the GCA for traits were adjusted by subtracting the corresponding Ye478 value. There was considerable variance in

TABLE 1	Quantitative	trait loci detected	in the F2:	3 populations.
---------	--------------	---------------------	------------	----------------

			Single environment analysis					Joint analysis	Important QTL
	EN12	EN13	EY12	EY13	EJ12	EJ13		across all environment	
PH	3/0/100	2/1/80.1	5/0/100	3/0/100	3/0/100	4/0/100	4/0/-	7/3/82.8	6/0/100
EH	4/0/100	1/0/100	2/0/100	1/1/57.0	2/1/78.1	4/0/100	4/0/-	5/0/100	4/0/100
IL	1/2/27.8	0/1/0	0/1/0	0/1/0	0/1/0	0/1/0	0/2/-	4/3/38.0	0/3/0
TL	3/0/100	2/0/100	1/0/100	1/0/100	4/0/100	4/0/100	3/0/-	5/0/100	3/0/100
LN	1/2/42.0	1/1/51.1	3/0/100	1/0/100	2/1/69.8	0/1/0	3/2/-	3/2/74.1	3/1/80.5
ТΒ	3/2/44.1	0/4/0	1/4/27.5	2/3/45.4	1/4/19.7	2/4/30.0	2/5/-	3/6/28.7	1/5/17.5
LLA	2/1/64.9	3/1/76.8	2/0/100	2/0/100	1/1/60.1	1/0/100	2/1/-	3/1/86.7	2/0/100
LWA	0/3/0	1/3/24.6	3/2/64.1	3/0/100	3/0/100	2/1/62.2	4/2/-	5/4/58.7	3/4/49.3
LAA	0/0/-	1/1/40.0	2/2/59.7	0/1/0	0/0/-	1/2/36.9	1/1/-	2/2/54.0	3/1/72.4
LOV	0/0/-	2/0/100	2/0/100	2/0/100	1/0/100	2/0/100	3/0/-	4/4/57.4	2/0/100
EL	1/2/15.8	1/1/38.8	2/1/47.6	0/1/0	0/2/0	0/1/0	0/1/-	0/1/0	0/1/0
ED	0/0/-	1/0/100	0/1/0	1/0/100	1/1/45.2	0/0/-	0/0/-	2/2/48.2	2/1/64.7
CD	1/0/100	1/2/44.7	0/0/-	1/0/100	0/1/0	0/0/-	1/0/-	1/2/43.2	1/1/54.5
ER	1/0/100	2/1/68.2	2/3/37.6	3/1/61.4	1/2/37.0	1/1/43.8	2/2/-	4/3/58.7	3/2/63.6
KW	1/1/50.8	1/1/59.3	0/0/-	1/0/100	1/0/100	0/1/0	0/0/-	4/2/79.1	3/1/84.2

The abbreviations EN, EY, and EJ refer to Nanning, Ya'an, and Jinghong, respectively. The 12 and 13 refer to 2012 and 2013, respectively. The abbreviations PH, EH, IL, TL, LN, TB, LLA, LWA, LAA, LOV, EL, ED, CD, ER, and KW refer to plant height, ear height, internode length above the primary ear, tassel length, leaf number above the ear, tassel branch number, leaf length above the primary ear, leaf orientation value, ear length, ear diameter, ear-cob diameter, ear row number, and 100-kernel weight, respectively. The values presented as "#/#/#" correspond to the number of favorable alleles from Ye478, the number of favorable alleles from R08, and the

contribution of Ye478 to the favorable effect, respectively. The contribution of Ye478 to the favorable effect was calculated using the formula $FOY = \sum_{n}^{J} |aj| / \sum_{N}^{J} |ai|$, where aj is the additive effect of QTLs with a favorable Ye478 allele, and ai is the additive effect of all QTLs.

traits per se for TL, TB, LAA, and KW, because all of them had more than one-line change than 30% of the Ye478. Additionally, the variability in the GCA for TB and LAA both had more than one-line change than 30% of the Ye478 (Figure 1, the first bar of TB, and the second and fifth bar of LAA). Furthermore, PH in Ye478's descendants all change no more than 10% of the Ye478, suggesting that PH was an important factor in the improvement of Ye478 (Figure 1, the third to fifth bar of PH). There were differences of five traits per se between Zao48 and Ye478, and the GCA data of the five traits had difference of more than 10% between K22 and Ye478. More than 75% (10/13) of the traits per se in Shen5003 and U8112 were significantly different from Ye478. For Ye478 and its parents, the values for some plant type-related traits per se in Ye478 (i.e., PH, EH, IL, LLA, and LAA) were between those of the corresponding traits in Shen5003 and U8112. However, the values for yieldrelated traits per se (except for EL) were higher in Ye478 than in Shen5003 or U8112. In the three inbred lines descended from Ye478, the values for LAA and CD for Zao48 and Zheng58 were lower than those of Ye478. Values for four yield-related traits per se (i.e., ED, CD, ER, and KW) were higher in K22 than in Ye478. The improvement in the GCA for the traits in the Ye478-related lines differed from that of traits per se in the Ye478-related lines. In particular, the GCA values for PH, EH, LLA, and ER were lower in Ye478 than in Shen5003 or U8112.

The specific combining ability (SGA) values of traits for the Ye478-related lines and testers are presented in Supplemental Table 7. The SGA effects for IL and KW were not significant (P < 0.05) in all F₁ crosses, indicating that these two traits

may be primarily influenced by additive effects. For Ye478 and its parents, the significant SGA effects between Ye478 and the testers were more similar to those between U8112 and the testers, than between Shen5003 and the testers. For the three inbred lines descended from Ye478, the significant SGA effect between Zheng58 and the testers was similar to that between Ye478 and the testers, while the significant SGA effects between K22 and the testers or Zao48 and the testers were not.

Genomic Regions Transmitted from Ye478 to Its Descendants

We analyzed the transmission of significant genomic regions (SGRs; i.e., contained stable QTLs across environments for key agronomic traits) in the Ye478-related lines using genotyping data from 3072 SNPs and RNA sequencing results (http://www. maizego.org/Resources.html). We focused on genomic regions that included stable QTLs identified in the MP for PH and LA. Because PH was the typical trait of Ye478 and there were no significant differences in PH between Ye478 and its descendants, while LA was a significant breeding target in modern maize breeding and there were significant differences in LA between Ye478 and all the Ye478-related lines except K22 (Duvick, 2005; Li and Wang, 2010; Supplemental Table 6). The SGR distributions in the Ye478-related lines are shown in Table 3. For Ye478, its favorable alleles associated with PH were mainly transmitted from Shen5003, while its LA-related favorable alleles were inherited from U8112. These results indicated that Ye478 inherited advantageous traits from both parents. Zheng58 received three SGRs associated with PH from Ye478, but only one SGR related to LA. K22 and Zao48 inherited six SGRs from

QTL	Env.	Interval	Site(cM)	Range(cM)	Α	D	R ² (%)
PH							
QJPH1	5	SYN275/PZE-101213558	267.7	264.1-270.7	-5.64		11.6
QJPH2	5	PZE-102146058/PZE-102178263	180.3	179.8–181.3	-6.31		6.6
QJPH5-1	3	PZE-105123635/SYN20663	88.3	85.5–94.8	-4.18		16.3
QJPH5-2	1	PZE-105136417/PZE-105142633	118	112.0-118.1	-2.52	2.97	14.5
QJPH7	1	PZE-107055832/PZE-107060597	97.9	96.0-100.6	-5.61	4.06	11.6
QJPH8	2	SYN22840/PZE-108016169	35.1	33.2-37.1	-5.06		8.1
Total							68.7
EH							
QJEH1-1	1	PZE-101071273/SYN6888	124	121.0-124.9	-0.59		2.1
QJEH1-2	4	PZE-101196709/SYN275	263.1	260.1-269.7	-3.15	-0.79	9.7
QJEH5	4	PZE-105132845/PZE-105132778	104.5	99.5-111.1	-3.61	1.57	15.1
QJEH7	1	PZE-107020363/SYN38007	87.3	82.6-89.3	-3.07		10.3
QJEH8	2	SYN22840/PZE-108016169	35.1	31.2-38.1	-2.67		7.4
Total							44.6
IL							
QJIL5	1	PZE-105128589/PZE-105132845	102.4	100.4-103.5	-0.46	0.21	9.9
QJIL7	1	PZE-107075781/PZE-107081254	117.6	114.6-123.0	-0.34	0.33	5.3
QJIL8	2	PZE-108068136/PZE-108110152	107.2	99.8-112.2	-0.56	-0.19	7.6
Total							22.8
TL							
QJTL1	6	PZE-101213558/PZE-101219724	276.1	273.1-278.8	-1.22		11.4
QJTL2	2	PZE-102178263/PZE-102149656	182.3	181.3–183.3	-1.34		9.4
QJTL7	1	PZB01617.2/SYN24186	77.4	67.4-83.6	-0.72	0.52	5
Total							25.8

IABLE 2 Quantitative trait loci mapping results via joint analysis across all environments for plant height-related traits in the F2.3 population	TABLE 2 Quantitative trait loci mappin	g results <i>via</i> joint analysis acro	oss all environments for plant	t height-related traits in the F	populations.
---	--	--	--------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------

The abbreviations PH, EH, IL, and TL refer to plant height, ear height, internode length above the primary ear, and tassel length, respectively. Only QTLs that were consistently detected in one or more environment during single environment analyses, with $R^2 > 2\%$ based on joint analysis across six environments are reported. The QTL names consist of three parts. The first part (QJ) refers to a QTL based on joint analysis across six environments. The second part contains an abbreviation for a trait. The number that forms the third part refers to the chromosome and serial numbers for the QTL (Supplemental Table 4).

Ye478. We also identified three SGRs (i.e., SGR1, SGR2, and SGR3) that were present in all three Ye478 descendants, likely indicating their importance to Ye478.

Effects of SQs on Traits *Per* se and the GCA for Traits

The effects of SQs on traits per se and the GCA were calculated using a t test, and the results are summarized in Table 4. To increase the reliability of the results, only SQs that were detected in at least four environments were analyzed (Supplemental Table 4). Of the 14 analyzed SQs, eight (associated with PH, EH, TL, TB, LLA, and ER) significantly affected (P < 0.10) the traits per se in the F7 lines. Of these eight SQs, five were associated with PHrelated traits, which is consistent with the fact that broad-sense heritability was higher for PH-related traits than for the other traits. Additionally, we detected three SQs (associated with TL, TB, and LLA) that significantly affected (P < 0.10) the GCA for the traits in TCP2. *QSTL1-2* significantly affected (P < 0.10) the GCA for TL in TCP2, but not significantly affected (P < 0.10) TL in the F₇ lines (Table 4, the fifth line). The additive effects of SQs in the MP were all consistently followed by the effects on traits per se in the F₇ lines and the GCA effects in TCP2.

DISCUSSION

Pyramiding of Favorable Alleles in Different Types of Maize Foundation Parents

Different types of maize foundation parents are the result of breeding for diversity. Determining the genetic basis for multiple traits in different maize foundation parents may provide useful information for breeding programs. In this study, QTL mapping was used to analyze 15 traits in 266 F_{2:3} families derived from two maize foundation parents across six environments. We used single trait analysis rather than multiple trait analysis in this study, because, multiple traits analysis models might not unbiased due to the increase in the number of parameters to be estimated as a result of large number of traits and environments in fewer lines, and multiple traits analysis model can have lower power to identify QTLs that have effects on only a small subset of traits when compared to the single trait analysis model, due to greater genome-wide threshold in the multiple trait analysis model (Malosetti et al., 2008; Silva et al., 2012; Alimi et al., 2013). Our results indicated that Ye478 carries more favorable alleles associated with most plant type-related traits than R08. This result was most obvious for SQs and important QTLs. For PH, more than 95% of favorable alleles, 95% of favorable



LAA, EL, ED, CD, ER, and KW refer to plant height, ear height, internode length above the primary ear, tassel length, tassel branch number, leaf length above the primary ear, leaf width above the primary ear, leaf angle above the primary ear, ear length, ear diameter, ear-cob diameter, ear row number, and 100-kernel weight, respectively. The performances of traits *per se* for the Ye478-related lines are presented as relative values that were calculated with the following equation: $Y_i = 100\% \times (P_i - P_{478})/P_{478}$, where P_i refers to the phenotypic value of line i and P_{478} refers to the phenotypic value of line Ye478. The general combining ability (GCA) for traits in the Ye478-related lines are provided as relative values that were calculated with the following equation: $Y_i = 100\% \times (GCA_{i-} GCA_{478})/P_{478}$, where GCA_i refers to the GCA value of line i, GCA value of line Ye478, and P_{478} refers to the phenotypic value of line Ye478.

					N/ /= 0 · · · · ·	
TABLE 3	Distribution	of the signific	ant genomic	regions in th	e Ye478-related line	s.

	SGR no.	Chr.	Physical position (bp)	Shen5003	U8112	Zheng58	K22	Zao48	Effect
PH	SGR1	1	260149117-263740719	+ ^a	_	+	+	+	N ^b
	SGR2	2	190159942-193184829	_	+	+	+	+	Ν
	SGR3	5	180410228-181889176	+	_	+	+	+	Ν
	SGR4	8	8123084-15981330	+	-	-	-	-	Ν
LA	SGR5	1	27502892-31976599	_	+	+	_	+	N
	SGR6	2	1780080-7954053	+	_	_	+	_	Р
	SGR7	3	4712826-5439550	_	+	_	+	+	Ν
	SGR8	5	203520565-210387229	+	-	_	+	+	Ν

The abbreviations PH and LA refer to plant height and leaf angle, respectively.

^a+ and – indicate that the genomic region was the same or different from that of Ye478, respectively.

^bN and P indicate that whether the Ye478 alleles in the genomic region exhibited negative or positive additive effects in the mapping population, respectively.

TARIE 4	General o	omhining	ahility	of stable	quantitative	trait loci in	the testor	oss nonula	tion TCP2
IADLE 4	General C	combining	ability	of stable	quantitative	trait loci in	i the testor	oss popula	

Trait	SQ	Locus Name	Effect in MP ^a	Effect in F7 lines ^b	Effect in TCP2 ^c
PH	QSPH1	PZE-101213558	N/M	N [*]	N ^{NS}
PH	QSPH2	SYN5428	Ν	N [*]	N ^{NS}
PH	QSPH5	PZE-105128589	N/M	N [*]	N ^{NS}
EH	QSEH5	PZE-105138426	N/M	N**	N ^{NS}
TL	QSTL1-2	PZE-101219724	N/M	N ^{NS}	N ⁺
TL	QSTL2	SYN5428	N/M	N**	N**
ТВ	QSTB2	PZE-102049280	P/M	Ρ*	P ^{NS}
LLA	QSLLA2	PZE-102178263	N/M	N**	N [*]
ER	QSER3	PZE-103022844	N/M	N^+	N ^{NS}

The abbreviations PH, EH, TL, TB, LLA, and ER refer to plant height, ear height, tassel length, tassel branch number, leaf length above the primary ear, and ear row number, respectively. ^aN indicates that the additive effect of alleles contributed by R08 increased the trait value. P indicates that the additive effect of alleles contributed by Ye478 increased the trait value. M indicates a major QTL.

^bN indicates that the alleles contributed by R08 increased the trait per se value. P indicates that the alleles contributed by Ye478 increased the trait per se value.

^cN indicates that the alleles contributed by R08 increased the additive effect value for the trait. P indicates that the alleles contributed by Ye478 increased the additive effect value for the trait.

+, *, and ** indicate significance at P < 0.10, 0.05, or 0.01, respectively. NS indicates that the effect was not significant.

additive effects, and all favorable alleles for SQs and important QTLs were from Ye478. More than 50% of favorable alleles related to ED, ER, and KW were from Ye478, but most favorable alleles associated with EL were derived from R08. Several studies determined that there are more favorable alleles that can reduce PH or EH in Ye478 than in other inbred lines (including other elite lines) (Zhang et al., 2007; Yang X. J. et al., 2008; Zhang Y. et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2011). This is consistent with the results for foundation parents developed from other germplasms. For instance, Steinhoff et al. (2012) reported that European foundation parents possess diverse alleles. Buckler et al. (2009) and Tian et al. (2011) concluded that B73 carries several favorable alleles and most of the QTLs contain more than two alleles.

The maize ideotype is important for breeding. Plants should consist of vertical canopies in which there are gradual increases in leaf angles and leaf areas from the top of the canopy to the bottom (Mock and Pearce, 1975; Stöckle and Kemanian, 2009). The five genomic regions associated with PH-related traits and the three genomic regions associated with leaf architecture-related traits identified in this study exhibited organ-specificity for similar traits (Figure 2). QSPH1, QSEH1, and QSTL1-1, which affected PH, EH, and TL, respectively, were detected in bin 1.08-1.10. This genomic region did not include the QTL for IL. QSPH2 and QSTL2, which influenced PH and TL, respectively, were detected in bin 2.07. Additionally, *QSPH8* (associated with PH) and QSEH8 (associated with EH) were detected in bin 8.01-8.02. These two genomic regions included organ-specific genes that affected only one PH-related trait. For leaf architecture-related traits, QSLLA5 (for LLA) was detected in bin 5.03, QSLWA3 (for LWA) was detected in bin 3.01-3.02, and QSLAB9 (for LAB) was detected in bin 9.03. These three genomic regions included organ-specific genes that only influenced leaf components. Zhang J. et al. (2010), Liu Z. et al. (2014), and Ku et al. (2015) reported that the genetic basis for plant type in different organs were different. The decreased PH of Ye478 was mainly due to the pyramiding of the favorable alleles for EH, and the favorable alleles that decrease leaf areas above the primary ear or increase leaf angles below the primary ear. Therefore, Ye478 contained favorable ideotype alleles, which may explain why it is widely utilized in China. The pyramiding of favorable alleles has also been observed in other foundation parents. For example, Peiffer et al. (2014) revealed that B73 carries favorable alleles related to EH and PH. Additionally, Lima et al. (2006) determined that an allele located in bin 3.06 –3.07 from a Brazilian tropical germplasm (L-02-03D) decreased PH by reducing EH.

Maize is widely grown in China, including in Tibet. Based on the history of cultivation, temperature, photoperiod response, rainfall, frost-free period, and cropping system, the maize producing regions in China can be divided into the following six zones: The north zone, the Huanghuaihai zone, the southwest zone, the south zone, the northwest zone, and the Qinghai-Tibet altiplano (Li, 2009; Zhang and Bonjean, 2010). The summer maize varieties grown in the Huanghuaihai zone exhibits high yield potentials, lodging resistance, and tolerance to high plant densities, while the maize varieties grown in the southwest zone exhibits large ears (Chen F. B. et al., 2007; Wang and Li, 2010; Zhang and Bonjean, 2010; Ci et al., 2012). The significant differences in plant type-related traits between Ye478 and R08 resulted from the differences in the ideotype of the maize varieties grown in the Yellow and Huai River zone and the southwest zone. In the major maize-producing areas (i.e., United States, China, and other countries), there has been a trend toward the breeding of varieties adapted to high plant densities and resistant to lodging (Duvick, 2005; Tollenaar and Lee, 2011; Ci et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2014). Therefore, foundation parents should possess numerous favorable alleles for plant type-related traits to accommodate this trend. There has also been a focus on the development of inbred lines with increased grain yield (Duvick, 2005; Ci et al., 2011; Lauer et al., 2012). Consequently, increased grain yield in maize hybrids have been mostly attributed to the greater grain yield in the parents, and not because of heterosis (Duvick, 2005; Ci et al., 2011). Therefore, our findings and those from previous studies suggest that foundation parents should carry several favorable alleles related to yield-related



traits. Additionally, different types of foundation parents may accumulate specific favorable alleles that are appropriate for particular environments (Peng et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2014). This may explain the observed heterosis associated with grain yield among different types of foundation parents (Goff, 2011; Goff and Zhang, 2013).

Improvement of Ye478

The inbred line Ye478 is highly adaptable and exhibits a high combining ability and excellent plant architecture. A number of inbred lines descended from Ye478 perform well in different environments, and thus are widely used in multiple maizeproducing regions in China (Li and Wang, 2010). In the present study, TCP1 was used to study the relationship between Ye478 and its related lines. The performance of traits per se and the GCA for traits for the Ye478-related lines revealed that Ye478 inherited advantageous traits from U8112 and Shen5003. Additionally, the typical Ye478 characteristics had been transmitted to subsequent generations' derivatives, resulting in descendants with similar agronomic traits (Liu Y. H. et al., 2011). The values for PH, EH, and LAA per se and the GCA for these traits were lower in Zheng58 and Zao48 than in Ye478, thus variations from Zheng58 and Zao48 better adapted to high plant densities. With K22 as a parental line, more than 10 descended inbred lines and eight important hybrids have been released in Shaanxi province and Inner Mongolia of China. The results for traits per se and the GCA for traits for K22 differed from those of Ye478 because the breeding of K22 focused on yield per plant and adaptation to the arid environments of northwest China (Li et al., 2004; Supplemental Table 1).

Maize is an example of one of the most successful use of heterosis in crop production. The development and improvement of foundation parents has been closely associated with heterosis (Teng et al., 2004; Troyer, 2004). U8112 was an excellent inbred line from the improved Reid group, and there was a considerable genetic distance between U8112 and other representative lines of different heterotic groups (Shi, 2007; Wu et al., 2014). U8112, Ye478, and Zheng58 belong to the same main subgroup, and all have been frequently used in Chinese maize breeding programs (Supplemental Table 1). In the present study, the heterotic patterns of Ye478 were inherited from U8112, and were transmitted to Zheng58. This explains why Ye478 and Zheng58 can be used in the development of hybrids by using lines from different heterotic groups to as another parent. This conclusion is consistent with maize breeding practices and the genetic characterization of elite maize lines (Zhao, 2003; Nelson et al., 2008, 2016). The pattern of improvement and use of Ye478 can also be observed for B73, Mo17, and other foundation parents. Godshalk and Kauffmann (1994) reported that B73 and its descendants are high yielding lines with a high GCA for yield. Nelson et al. (2008) determined that the largest genetic distance among expired United States Plant Variety Protection maize inbred lines was between B73 and Mo17, and their descended inbred lines had similar genetic distances.

Analyses of SGRs revealed that Ye478 had inherited the semi-dwarfism characteristic from Shen5003, and inherited the compact appearance trait from U8112. We observed that SGR1, SGR2, and SGR3 were associated with PH, and were highly conserved in Ye478 descendants. Weng et al. (2011) detected a dwarf locus in bin 5.05–5.06, which was consistent with our results regarding *QSPH5*/SG3. The locus was derived from Shen5003, and was retained in Ye478 and Zheng58. Because SGR1, SGR3, and SGR4 also affect EH, there were no significant differences in EH between Ye478 and its descendants. There were half of SGRs were consistent between PH and IL (or TL), and this might be the reason of there were no significant differences in IL

(or TL) between Ye478 and some of its descendants. SGR2 was closely linked to a major QTL for LLA. Therefore, this genomic region was highly conserved in Ye478 descendants and LLA in Ye478's descendants all change no more than 11% of the Ye478. In contrast, SGR4-SGR8 had been replaced in at least one Ye478 descendant. SGR5, SGR6 and SGR7 were closely linked to the QTLs for LWA, and thus the change trend of K22, Zao48, and Zheng58 were consistent in LAA and LWA. Because SGR6 was closely linked to a major QTL for EL, and this genomic region was replaced in Zheng58, the EL per se in Zheng58 changed more than 10% of the Ye478. Lai et al. (2010) determined that there were considerable differences among the genomes of the Ye478related lines. Jiao et al. (2012) concluded that rare alleles were continuously accumulating in foundation parents during maize breeding. Therefore, the changes in performance and GCA in the Ye478 descendants were because these lines inherited favorable alleles from Ye478 and accumulated new favorable alleles from other parents.

Potential Utility of QTLs for Traits *Per se* to Improve the GCA of Foundation Parents

The development of single cross hybrids is the most important use of maize inbred lines. Therefore, a high GCA is crucial for foundation parents (Rojas and Sprague, 1952; Li and Wang, 2010). However, estimating GCA is expensive and timeconsuming because multiple hybrid combinations are required and repeated field trials are needed. Marker-assisted selection (MAS) represents a viable and cost-effective way to improve traits that are difficult to evaluate and/or are expensive to characterize (Yousef and Juvik, 2001; Eathington et al., 2007; Qi et al., 2013). The efficiency of MAS during plant breeding is affected by the number of QTLs, the effects of QTLs, and their stability in multiple environments (Bernardo, 2008).

In this study, we detected eight SQs that significantly affected traits per se in F7 families. Additionally, three SQs affecting the GCA for traits were identified in TCP2. QSTL2 and QSLLA were two QTLs that significantly affected traits per se and the GCA for traits. Seven SQs influenced either traits per se or the GCA for traits. These results indicated the molecular regulation of traits per se differed from that of the GCA for traits. Several studies have been conducted on QTL mapping of traits per se and the GCA for traits. Ly et al. (2012) analyzed the consistency of QTLs between traits per se and the GCA for traits in inbred lines using a subset of maize introgression lines. They pointed out that the molecular basis for the GCA for traits and traits per se was different despite the fact that there were consistent QTLs. Qu et al. (2012) detected QTLs in the traits per se dataset and GCA datasets, and found that there was a lack of consistency. Additionally, they also observed that the QTL for GCA could be stably detected in different testcross populations. Huang et al. (2013) identified a few common QTLs for GCA and for traits per se. These QTLs were mainly related to row number and PH, and these two traits may be highly heritable. These results revealed the complexity of the molecular regulation of GCA.

Although the QTLs for traits per se could not be used to predict the GCA for traits, it is noteworthy that the additive effects of SQs in the MP were all consistently followed by the effects on traits per se in the F7 lines and the GCA for traits in TCP2. The tester used was a complex factor affecting the analysis of the GCA because the GCA is influenced by the genetic background of the tester (Austin et al., 2000). Several studies found that QTL effects were approximate across multitester populations, even though there was a lack of consistency among QTLs between tester populations (Melchinger et al., 1998; Austin et al., 2000; Frascaroli et al., 2009). Foundation parents with excellent agronomic characteristics, a high GCA for yield-related traits, and carried several favorable alleles (Jiao et al., 2012). Therefore, the favorable alleles detected for traits per se or GCA for traits may be useful in improving traits per se and GCA for traits in inbred lines. Multi-parent cross design populations, including the Nested Association Mapping (Buckler et al., 2009) and Multi-parent Advanced Generation Inter-Cross (Dell'Acqua et al., 2015) populations, with superior genetic diversity and high mapping power can be used to detect favorable alleles. The use of multiple independent populations, with a flexible and manageable design, is a costeffective way to integrate widely available genetic resources to detect favorable alleles (Xiao et al., 2016). Previous QTL studies in various mapping populations have provided abundant information for identifying favorable alleles by meta-analysis. To more effectively develop new foundation parents and improve old foundation parents, the integration of all QTL information to enable the detection of favorable alleles for MAS is required. Breeders may use genome-wide selection, which is superior to MAS, to improve foundation parents (Bernardo and Yu, 2007). Additionally, breeders could use the Mapping As You Go approach to continually revise estimates of QTL allele effects by remapping new elite germplasm generated over cycles of selection to ensure that QTL estimates remain relevant to the current set of germplasm in the breeding program (Podlich et al., 2004).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YH, YL, and XBH designed the study. XBH, QX, SB, WC, and XQH completed the experiments. YHL, XBH, and JZ analyzed the data. YHL and XBH prepared the manuscript, and all authors read, and approved the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2011CB100100).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpls.2016. 01417

REFERENCES

- Alimi, N. A., Bink, M. C., Dieleman, J. A., Magán, J. J., Wubs, A. M., Palloix, A., et al. (2013). Multi-trait and multi-environment qtl analyses of yield and a set of physiological traits in pepper. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 126, 2597–2625. doi: 10.1007/s00122-013-2160-3
- Austin, D. F., Lee, M., Veldboom, L. R., and Hallauer, A. R. (2000). Genetic mapping in maize with hybrid progeny across testers and generations: grain yield and grain moisture. *Crop Sci.* 40, 30–39. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2000.40130x
- Beck, D. L., Vasal, S. K., and Crossa, J. (1991). Heterosis and combining ability among subtropical and temperate intermediate-maturity maize germplasm. *Crop Sci.* 31, 68–73. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1991.0011183X002600010017x
- Bernardo, R. (2008). Molecular markers and selection for complex traits in plants: learning from the last 20 years. Crop Sci. 48, 1649–1664. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2008.03.0131
- Bernardo, R., and Yu, J. M. (2007). Prospects for genomewide selection for quantitative traits in maize. *Crop Sci.* 47, 1082–1090. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2006.11.0690
- Buckler, E. S., Holland, J. B., Bradbury, P. J., Acharya, C. B., Brown, P. J., Browne, C., et al. (2009). The genetic architecture of maize flowering time. *Science* 325, 714–718. doi: 10.1126/science.1174276
- Cai, H. W. (2006). "Maize," in Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants, Vol. 1, ed C. Kole (Heidelberg: Springer), 135–154.
- Chen, D. H., and Ronald, P. C. (1999). A rapid DNA minipreparation method suitable for AFLP and other PCR applications. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 17, 53–57. doi: 10.1023/A:1007585532036
- Chen, F. B., Yang, K. C., Rong, T. Z., and Pan, G. T. (2007). Studies on major characters of maize hybrids in the regional tests of Sichuan and southwest China and the countermeasures for maize breeding. *J. Maize Sci.* 15, 41–45. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-0906.2007.04.011
- Chen, Z. Y., Brown, R. L., Damann, K. E., and Cleveland, T. E. (2007). Identification of maize kernel endosperm proteins associated with resistance to aflatoxin contamination by *Aspergillus flavus*. *Phytopathology* 97, 1094–1103. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-97-9-1094
- Ching, A., Caldwell, K. S., Jung, M., Dolan, M., Smith, O. S., Tingey, S., et al. (2002). SNP frequency, haplotype structure and linkage disequilibrium in elite maize inbred lines. *BMC Genet.* 3:19. doi: 10.1186/1471-2156-3-19
- Churchill, G. A., and Doerge, R. W. (1994). Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping. *Genetics* 138, 963–971.
- Ci, X. K. (2011). Changes of Maize Cultivars and their Parent Lines Released at Different Years. Doctoral dissertation, Shandong Agricultural University.
- Ci, X. K., Li, M. S., Liang, X. L., Xie, Z. J., Zhang, D. G., Li, X. H., et al. (2011). Genetic contribution to advanced yield for maize hybrids released from 1970 to 2000 in China. *Crop Sci.* 51, 13–20. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2010.04.0207
- Ci, X. K., Xu, J. S., Lu, Z. Y., Bai, P. F., Ru, G. L., Liang, X. L., et al. (2012). Trends of grain yield and plant traits in Chinese maize cultivars from the 1950s to the 2000s. *Euphytica* 185, 395–406. doi: 10.1007/s10681-011-0560-5
- Comstock, R. E., and Robinson, H. F. (1948). The components of genetic variance in populations of biparental progenies and their use in estimating the average degree of dominance. *Biometrics* 4, 254–266. doi: 10.2307/3001412
- D'Andrea, K. E., Otegui, M. E., Cirilo, A. G., and Eyherabide, G. (2006). Genotypic variability in morphological and physiological traits among maize inbred lines nitrogen responses. *Crop Sci.* 46, 1266–1276. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2005.07-0195
- Dell'Acqua, M., Gatti, D. M., Pea, G., Cattonaro, F., Coppens, F., Magris, G., et al. (2015). Genetic properties of the MAGIC maize population: a new platform for high definition QTL mapping in *Zea mays. Genome Biol.* 16:167. doi: 10.1186/s13059-015-0716-z
- Duvick, D. N. (2005). The contribution of breeding to yield advances in maize (*Zea* mays L.). Adv. Agron. 86, 83–145. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2113(05)86002-X
- Duvick, D. N., Smith, J. S. C., and Cooper, M. (2004). "Long-term selection in a commercial hybrid maize breeding program," in *Plant Breeding Reviews*, Vol. 24, ed J. Janick (New Jersey, NJ: Wiley), 109–152.
- Eathington, S. R., Crosbie, T. M., Edwards, M. D., Reiter, R. S., and Bull, J. K. (2007). Molecular markers in a commercial breeding program. *Crop Sci.* 47(Suppl. 3), S154–S163. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2007.04.0015ipbs
- Fan, J. B., Gunderson, K. L., Bibikova, M., Yeakley, J. M., Chen, J., Wickham-Garcia, E., et al. (2006). Illumina universal bead arrays. *Methods Enzymol.* 410, 57–73. doi: 10.1016/S0076-6879(06)10003-8

- Frascaroli, E., Canè M. A., Landi, P., Pea, G., Gianfranceschi, L., Villa, M., et al. (2007). Classical genetic and quantitative trait loci analysis of heterosis in a maize hybrid between two elite inbred lines. *Genetics* 176, 625–644. doi: 10.1534/genetics.106.064493
- Frascaroli, E., Canè M. A., Pè M. E., Pea, G., Morgante, M., and Landi, P. (2009). QTL detection in maize testcross progenies as affected by related and unrelated testers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 118, 993–1004. doi: 10.1007/s00122-008-0956-3
- Godshalk, E. B., and Kauffmann, K. D. (1994). Performance of exotic × temperate single-cross maize hybrids. *Crop Sci.* 35, 1042–1045. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1995.0011183X003500040020x
- Goff, S. A. (2011). An unifying theory for general multigenic heterosis: energy efficiency, protein metabolism, and implications for molecular breeding. *New Phytol.* 189, 923–937. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03574.x
- Goff, S. A., and Zhang, Q. F. (2013). Heterosis in elite hybrid rice: speculation on the genetic and biochemical mechanisms. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 16, 221–227. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2013.03.009
- Guo, T. T., Yang, N., Tong, H., Pan, Q. C., Yang, X. H., Tang, J. H., et al. (2014). Genetic basis of grain yield heterosis in an "immortalized F₂" maize population. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 127, 2149–2158. doi: 10.1007/s00122-014-2368-x
- Hallauer, A. R., and Carena, M. J. (2009). "Maize breeding" in *Cereals* ed M. J. Carena (New York, NY: Springer), 3–98.
- Hallauer, A. R., Carena, M. J., and Filho, J. B. M. (2010a). "Testers and combining ability," in *Quantitative Genetics in Maize Breeding*, eds A. R. Hallauer, M. J. Carena, and J. B. M. Filho (New York, NY: Springer), 383–424.
- Hallauer, A. R., Carena, M. J., and Filho, J. B. M. (2010b). "Means and variances," in *Quantitative Genetics in Maize Breeding*, eds A. R. Hallauer, M. J. Carena, and J. B. M. Filho (New York, NY: Springer), 33–68.
- Hallauer, A. R., Carena, M. J., and Filho, J. B. M. (2010c). "Hereditary variance: mating designs," in *Quantitative Genetics in Maize Breeding*, eds A. R. Hallauer, M. J. Carena, and J. B. M. Filho (New York, NY: Springer), 81–168.
- Huang, J., Qi, H. H., Feng, X. M., Huang, Y. Q., Zhu, L. Y., and Yue, B. (2013). General combining ability of most yield-related traits had a genetic basis different from their corresponding traits *per se* in a set of maize introgression lines. *Genetica* 141, 453–461. doi: 10.1007/s10709-013-9744-3
- Jiao, Y. P., Zhao, H. N., Ren, L. H., Song, W. B., Zeng, B., Guo, J. J., et al. (2012). Genome-wide genetic changes during modern breeding of maize. *Nat Genet*. 44, 812–815. doi: 10.1038/ng.2312
- Knapp, S. J., Stroup, W. W., and Ross, W. M. (1985). Exact confidence intervals for heritability on a progeny mean basis. *Crop Sci.* 25, 192–194. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1985.0011183X002500010046x
- Kosambi, D. D. (1943). The estimation of map distances from recombination values. *Ann. Hum. Genet.* 12, 172–175. doi:10.1111/j.1469-1809.1943.tb02321.x
- Ku, L. X., Cao, L. R., Wei, X. M., Su, H. H., Tian, Z. Q., Guo, S. L., et al. (2015). Genetic dissection of internode length above the uppermost ear in four RIL populations of maize (*Zea mays L.*). *G3 (Bethesda)* 5, 281–289. doi: 10.1534/g3. 114.016378
- Lai, J., Li, R., Xu, X., Jin, W., Xu, M., Zhao, H., et al. (2010). Genome-wide patterns of genetic variation among elite maize inbred lines. *Nat. Genet.* 42, 1027–1030. doi: 10.1038/ng.684
- Lander, E. S., Green, P., Abrahamson, J., Barlow, A., Daly, M. J., Lincoln, S. E., et al. (1987). MAPMAKER: an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. *Genomics* 1, 174–181. doi: 10.1016/0888-7543(87)90010-3
- Lauer, S., Hall, B. D., Mulaosmanovic, E., Anderson, S. R., Nelson, B., and Smith, S. (2012). Morphological changes in parental lines of pioneer brand maize hybrids in the U.S. central corn belt. *Crop Sci.* 52, 1033–1043. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2011.05.0274
- Li, C. H., Li, Y. X., Sun, B. C., Peng, B., Liu, C., Liu, Z. Z., et al. (2013). Quantitative trait loci mapping for yield components and kernel-related traits in multiple connected RIL populations in maize. *Euphytica* 193, 303–316. doi: 10.1007/s10681-013-0901-7
- Li, F. M., Mao, J. C., and Li, X. B. (2004). The breeding of maize inbred line K22 and the analysis on the combine ability. *J. Gansu Agric. Univ.* 39, 312–315.
- Li, J. S. (2009). "Production, breeding and process of maize in China," in *Handbook* of *Maize: Its Biology*, eds J. L. Bennetzen and H. Sarah (New York, NY: Springer), 563–576.
- Li, M. S., Zhang, S. H., Li, X. H., Pan, G. T., Bai, L., and Peng, Z. B. (2002). Study on heterotic groups among maize inbred lines based

on SCA. Sci. Agric. Sin. 35, 600-605. doi: 10.3321/j.issn:0578-1752.2002. 06.003

- Li, Y., and Wang, T. Y. (2010). Germplasm base of maize breeding in China and formation of foundation parents. J. Maize Sci. 18, 1–8.
- Li, Y. Z., Sun, C. B., Huang, Z. B., Pan, J. L., Wang, L., and Fan, X. W. (2009). Mechanisms of progressive water deficit tolerance and growth recovery of Chinese maize foundation genotypes Huangzao 4 and Chang 7-2, which are proposed on the basis of comparison of physiological and transcriptomic responses. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 50, 2092–2111. doi: 10.1093/pcp/ pcp145
- Lima, M. L. A., de Souza. C. L. Jr., Bento, D. A. V., de Souza, A. P., and Carlini-Garcia, L. A. (2006). Mapping QTL for grain yield and plant traits in a tropical maize population. *Mol Breed*. 17, 227–239. doi: 10.1007/s11032-005-5679-4
- Liu, K. J., Goodman, M., Muse, S., Smith, J. S., Buckler, E. D., and Doebley, J. (2003). Genetic structure and diversity among maize inbred lines as inferred from DNA microsatellites. *Genetics* 165, 2117–2128.
- Liu, W. X., Gowda, M., Steinhoff, J., Maurer, H. P., Würschum, T., Longin, C. F. H., et al. (2011). Association mapping in an elite maize breeding population. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 123, 847–858. doi: 10.1007/s00122-011-1631-7
- Liu, Y. H., Liu, Y. J., Huang, Y. B., Tian, M. L., Zhang, J. J., Liu, H. M., et al. (2011). Analysis of variation for phenotypic traits of key maize inbred lines in China. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* 6, 6575–6585. doi: 10.5897/AJAR10.953
- Liu, Y., Wang, L. W., Sun, C. L., Zhang, Z. X., Zheng, Y. L., and Qiu, F. Z. (2014). Genetic analysis and major QTL detection for maize kernel size and weight in multi-environments. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 127, 1019–1037. doi: 10.1007/s00122-014-2276-0
- Liu, Z., Yu, T. T., Mei, X. P., Chen, X. N., Wang, G. Q., Wang, J. G., et al. (2014). QTL mapping for leaf angle and leaf space above ear position in maize (*Zea mays L.*). *J. Agric. Biotechnol.* 22, 177–187. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1674-7968.2014.02.006
- Lv, A. Z., Zhang, H., Zhang, Z. X., Tao, Y. S., Yue, B., and Zheng, Y. L. (2012). Conversion of the statistical combining ability into a genetic concept. *J. Integr. Agric.* 11, 43–52. doi: 10.1016/S1671-2927(12)60781-0
- Ma, D. L., Xie, R. Z., Niu, X. K., Li, S. K., Long, H. L., and Liu, Y. E. (2014). Changes in the morphological traits of maize genotypes in China between the 1950s and 2000s. *Eur. J. Agron.* 58, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2014.04.001
- Makumbi, D., Betrán, J. F., Bänziger, M., and Ribaut, J. M. (2011). Combining ability, heterosis and genetic diversity in tropical maize (*Zea mays* L.) under stress and non-stress conditions. *Euphytica* 180, 143–162. doi: 10.1007/s10681-010-0334-5
- Malosetti, M., Ribaut, J. M., Vargas, M., Crossa, J., and von Eeuwijk, F. A. (2008). A multi-trait multi-environment qtl mixed model with an application to drought and nitrogen stress trials in maize (*Zea mays L.*). *Euphytica* 161, 241–257. doi: 10.1007/s10681-007-9594-0
- Melchinger, A. E., Utz, H. F., and Schön, C. C. (1998). Quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping using different testers and independent population samples in maize reveals low power of QTL detection and large bias in estimates of QTL effects. *Genetics* 149, 383–403.
- Mickel, M. A., and Dudley, J. W. (2006). Evolution of north American dent corn from public to propriety germplasm. *Crop Sci.* 46, 1193–1205. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2005.10-0371
- Mikel, M. A. (2006). Availability and analysis of proprietary dent corn inbred lines with expired U.S. plant variety protection. *Crop Sci.* 46, 2555–2560. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2006.05.0358
- Mikel, M. A. (2011). Genetic composition of contemporary US commercial dent corn germplasm. Crop Sci. 51, 592–599. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2010.06.0332
- Miller, R. G. (1974). The jackknife: a review. *Biometrika* 61, 1–15. doi: 10.1093/ biomet/61.1.1
- Mock, J. J., and Pearce, R. B. (1975). An ideotype of maize. *Euphytica* 24, 613–623. doi: 10.1007/BF00132898
- Nelson, P. T., Coles, N. D., Holland, J. B., Bubeck, D. M., Smith, S., and Goodman, M. M. (2008). Molecular characterization of maize inbreds with expired U.S. plant variety protection. *Crop Sci.* 48, 1673–1685. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2008.02.0092
- Nelson, P. T., Krakowsky, M. D., Coles, N. D., Holland, J. B., Bubeck, D. M., Smith, J. S. C., et al. (2016). Genetic characterization of the North Carolina state university maize lines. *Crop Sci.* 56, 259–275. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2015. 09.0532

- Niu, X. K., Xie, R. Z., Liu, X., Zhang, F. L., Li, S. K., and Gao, S. J. (2013). Maize yield gains in Northeast China in the last six decades. *J. Integr. Agric.* 12, 630–637. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(13)60281-6
- Peiffer, J. A., Romay, M. C., Gore, M. A., Flint-Garcia, S. A., Zhang, Z. W., Millard, M. J., et al. (2014). The genetic architecture of maize height. *Genetics* 196, 1337–1356. doi: 10.1534/genetics.113.159152
- Peng, B., Li, Y. X., Wang, Y., Liu, C., Liu, Z. Z., Tan, W. W., et al. (2011). QTL analysis for yield components and kernel-related traits in maize across multienvironments. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 122, 1305–1320. doi: 10.1007/s00122-011-1532-9
- Podlich, D. W., Winkler, C. R., and Cooper, M. (2004). Mapping as you go: an effective approach for marker-assisted selection of complex traits. *Crop Sci.* 44, 1560–1571. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2004.1560
- Qi, H. H., Huang, J., Zheng, Q., Huang, Y. Q., Shao, R. X., Zhu, L. Y., et al. (2013). Identification of combining ability loci for five yield-related traits in maize using a set of testcrosses with introgression lines. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 126, 369–377. doi: 10.1007/s00122-012-1985-5
- Qiao, S. B., Wang, Y. H., Yang, K. C., Rong, T. Z., Pan, G. T., and Gao, S. B. (2009). Effects contributed by different donor parents and backcross times on R08 improvement. *Acta Agron. Sin.* 35, 2187–2196. doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1006.2009.02187
- Qu, Z., Li, L. Z., Luo, J. Y., Wang, P., Yu, S. B., Mou, T. M., et al. (2012). QTL mapping of combining ability and heterosis of agronomic traits in rice backcross recombinant inbred lines and hybrid crosses. *PLoS ONE* 7:e28463. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0028463
- Rao, J., Cheng, F., Hu, C. Y., Quan, S., Lin, H., Wang, J., et al. (2014). Metabolic map of mature maize kernels. *Metabolomics* 10, 775–787. doi: 10.1007/s11306-014-0624-3
- Reif, J. C., Hamrit, S., Heckenberger, M., Schipprack, W., Maurer, H. P., Bohn, M., et al. (2005). Trends in genetic diversity among European maize cultivars and their parental components during the past 50 years. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 111, 838–845. doi: 10.1007/s00122-005-0004-5
- Rojas, B. A., and Sprague, G. F. (1952). A comparison of variance components in corn yield trials: IIGeneral, I., and specific combining ability and their interaction with locations and years. *Agron. J.* 44, 462–466. doi: 10.2134/agronj1952.00021962004400090002x
- Scutari, M., Howell, P., Balding, D. J., and Mackay, I. (2014). Multiple quantitative trait analysis using bayesian networks. *Genetics* 198, 129–137. doi: 10.1534/genetics.114.165704
- Shi, L. (2007). The impacts of US germplasm on maize breeding efforts in China. J. Maize Sci. 15, 1–4.
- Silva, L. D. C. E., Wang, S. C., and Zeng, Z. B. (2012). Multiple trait multiple interval mapping of quantitative trait loci from inbred line crosses. *BMC Genet*. 13:67. doi: 10.1186/1471-2156-13-67
- Smith, J. S., and Smith, O. S. (1989). The description and assessment of distance between inbred lines of maize. 1. The use of morphological traits as descriptors. *Maydica* 34, 141–150.
- Smith, O. S., Smith, J. S., Bowen, S. L., Tenborg, R. A., and Wall, S. J. (1990). Similarities among a group of elite maize inbreds as measured by pedigree, F1 grain yield, grain yield, heterosis, and RFLPs. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 80, 833–840. doi: 10.1007/bf00224201
- Steinhoff, J., Liu, W. X., Reif, J. C., Porta, G. D., Ranc, N., and Würschum, T. (2012). Detection of QTL for flowering time in multiple families of elite maize. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 125, 1539–1551. doi: 10.1007/s00122-012-1933-4
- Stich, B., Melchinger, A. E., Frisch, M., Maurer, H. P., Heckenberger, M., and Reif, J. C. (2005). Linkage disequilibrium in European elite maize germplasm investigated with SSRs. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 111, 723–730. doi: 10.1007/s00122-005-2057-x
- Stöckle, C. O., and Kemanian, A. R. (2009). "Crop radiation capture and use efficiency: a framework for crop growth analysis," in *Crop Physiology: Applications for Genetic Improvement and Agronomy*, eds V. Sadras and D. Calderini (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 145–170.
- Teng, W. T., Cao, J. S., Chen, Y. H., Liu, X. H., Jing, X. Q., Zhang, F. J., et al. (2004). Analysis of maize heterotic groups and patterns during past decade in China. *Sci. Agric. Sin.* 37, 1804–1811.
- Tian, F., Bradbury, P. J., Brown, P. J., Hung, H., Sun, Q., Flint-Garcia, S., et al. (2011). Genome-wide association study of leaf architecture in the maize nested association mapping population. *Nat. Genet.* 43, 159–162. doi: 10.1038/ng.746

- Tollenaar, M., and Lee, E. A. (2011). Strategies for enhancing grain yield in maize. Plant Breed. Rev. 34, 37–82. doi: 10.1002/9780470880579.ch2
- Troyer, A. F. (1999). Background of U.S. hybrid corn. *Crop Sci.* 39, 601–626. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1999.0011183X003900020001x
- Troyer, A. F. (2004). Background of U.S. hybrid corn. II. Crop Sci. 44, 370–380. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2004.3700
- Van Inghelandt, D., Melchinger, A. E., Lebreton, C., and Stich, B. (2010). Population structure and genetic diversity in a commercial maize breeding program assessed with SSR and SNP markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 120, 1289–1299. doi: 10.1007/s00122-009-1256-2
- Wang, C. T., and Li, S. K. (2010). Assessment of limiting factors and techniques prioritization for maize production in China. *Sci. Agric. Sin.* 43, 1136–1146. doi: 10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2010.06.005
- Wang, D. L., Zhu, J., Li, Z. K., and Paterson, A. H. (1999). Mapping QTLs with epistatic effects and QTL × environment interactions by mixed linear model approaches. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 99, 1255–1264. doi: 10.1007/s001220 051331
- Wang, R. H., Yu, Y. T., Zhao, J. R., Shi, Y. S., Song, Y. C., Wang, T. Y., et al. (2008). Population structure and linkage disequilibrium of a mini core set of maize inbred lines in China. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 117, 1141–1153. doi: 10.1007/s00122-008-0852-x
- Weng, J. F., Xie, C. X., Hao, Z. F., Wang, J. J., Liu, C. L., Li, M. S., et al. (2011). Genome-wide association study identifies candidate genes that affect plant height in Chinese elite maize (Zea mays L.) inbred lines. *PLoS ONE* 6:e29229. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029229
- Wu, X., Li, Y. X., Shi, Y. S., Song, Y. C., Wang, T. Y., Huang, Y. B., et al. (2014).
 Fine genetic characterization of elite maize germplasm using high-throughput SNP genotyping. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 127, 621–631. doi: 10.1007/s00122-013-2 246-y
- Xiao, Y., Tong, H., Yang, X., Xu, S., Pan, Q., Qiao, F., et al. (2016). Genome-wide dissection of the maize ear genetic architecture using multiple populations. *New Phytol.* 210, 1095–1106. doi: 10.1111/nph.13814
- Xie, C. X., Zhang, S. H., Li, M. S., Li, X. H., Hao, Z. F., Bai, L., et al. (2007). Inferring genome ancestry and estimating molecular relatedness among 187 Chinese maize inbred lines. J. Genet. Genomics 34, 738–748. doi: 10.1016/S1673-8527(07)60083-6
- Xie, Z. J., Xu, J. X., Li, M. S., and Zhang, S. H. (2009). Contributions of genetic improvement to yields of maize hybrids during different eras in north China. *Sci. Agric. Sin.* 42, 781–789.
- Xu, Y. B. (2010). Molecular Plant Breeding. Cambridge: CAB International.
- Yang, J., Hu, C. C., Hu, H., Yu, R. D., Xia, Z., Ye, X. Z., et al. (2008). QTLNetwork: mapping and visualizing genetic architecture of complex traits in experimental populations. *Bioinformatics* 24, 721–723. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ btm494
- Yang, J., Zhu, J., and Williams, R. W. (2007). Mapping the genetic architecture of complex traits in experimental populations. *Bioinformatics* 23, 1527–1536. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm143

- Yang, X. J., Lu, M., Zhang, S. H., Zhou, F., Qu, Y. Y., and Xie, C. X. (2008). QTL mapping of plant height and ear position in maize (Zea mays L.). *Yi Chuan* 30, 1477–1486. doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1005.2008.01477
- Yin, X. Y., Stam, P., Kropff, M. J., and Schapendonk, A. H. C. M. (2003). Crop modeling, QTL mapping, and their complementary role in plant breeding. *Agron. J.* 95, 90–98. doi: 10.2134/agronj2003.0090
- Yousef, G. G., and Juvik, J. A. (2001). Comparison of phenotypic and markerassisted selection for quantitative traits in sweet corn. *Crop Sci.* 41, 645–655. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2001.413645x
- Zhang, J., Ku, L. X., Zhang, W. Q., Yang, S., Liu, H. Y., Zhao, R. F., et al. (2010). QTL mapping of internodes length above upmost ear in maize. *J. Maize Sci.* 18, 45–48.
- Zhang, S. H., and Bonjean, A. P. A. (2010). "Maize breeding and production in China," in *Cereals in China*, eds Z. H. He and A. P. A. Bonjean (Mexico: CIMMYT), 35–50.
- Zhang, Y., Li, Y. X., Wang, Y., Liu, Z. Z., Liu, C., Peng, B., et al. (2010). Stability of QTL across environments and QTL-by-environment interactions for plant and ear height in maize. *Agric. Sci. China* 9, 1400–1412. doi: 10.1016/S1671-2927(09)60231-5
- Zhang, Z. M., Liu, F. M., and Li, X. Y. (1998). Genetic contributions to kernel yield and yield components of hybrid maize, 1963 to 1993 in Henan province. *Acta Agron. Sin.* 24, 1982–1986.
- Zhang, Z. M., Zhao, M. J., Rong, T. Z., and Pan, G. T. (2007). SSR linkage map construction and QTL identification for plant height and ear height in Maize (*Zea mays L.*). Acta Agron. Sin. 33, 341–344.
- Zhao, K. M. (2003). List of maize hybridized combinations across China. J. Maize Sci. 11, 100–120.
- Zhao, P., Liu, R. X., Li, C. P., Xing, X. R., Cao, X. L., Tao, Y. S., et al. (2011). QTL mapping for grain yield associated traits using Ye478 introgression lines in maize. *Sci. Agric. Sin.* 44, 3508–3519. doi: 10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2011.17.003
- Zhu, J. (1992). Mixed model approaches for estimating genetic variances and covariances. J. Biomath. 7, 1–11.
- Zhu, J., and Weir, B. S. (1994). Analysis of cytoplasmic and maternal effects: i. A genetic model for diploid plant seeds and animals. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 89, 153–159. doi: 10.1007/BF00225135

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Liu, Hou, Xiao, Yi, Bian, Hu, Liu, Zhang, Hao, Cheng, Li and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.