



The Effect of Season-Long Temperature Increases on Rice Cultivars Grown in the Central and Southern Regions of China

Zhiyuan Yang^{1†}, Zuolin Zhang^{1†}, Tong Zhang¹, Shah Fahad^{1,2}, Kehui Cui¹, Lixiao Nie¹, Shaobing Peng¹ and Jianliang Huang^{1,3*}

¹ National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, MOA Key Laboratory of Crop Ecophysiology and Farming System, College of Plant Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China, ² Department of Agriculture, University of Swabi, Swabi, Pakistan, ³ Hubei Collaborative Innovation Center for Grain Industry, Yangtze University, Hubei, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Viswanathan Chinnusamy, Indian Agricultural Research Institute (ICAR), India

Reviewed by:

Onoriode Coast, Australian National University, Australia Voleti Sitapathi Rao, Indian Institute of Rice Research (ICAR), India

*Correspondence:

Jianliang Huang jhuang@mail.hzau.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Abiotic Stress, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 01 August 2017 Accepted: 23 October 2017 Published: 06 November 2017

Citation:

Yang Z, Zhang Z, Zhang T, Fahad S, Cui K, Nie L, Peng S and Huang J (2017) The Effect of Season-Long Temperature Increases on Rice Cultivars Grown in the Central and Southern Regions of China. Front. Plant Sci. 8:1908. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01908

Rice production is challenged by the asymmetric increases in day and night temperatures. Efforts are required to improve our understanding of the impact of climate change on rice production. To this end, 2-year experiment was conducted to evaluate the response of mid-season rice growth in the central and southern regions of China to elevated temperatures. Four replicates of four widely planted indica rice cultivars (Huanghuazhan: HHZ; Shanyou63: SY63; Yangliangyou6: YLY6; Liangyoupeijiu: LYPJ) were subjected to four elevated-temperature treatments (control: ambient temperature; NW: night-time warming; DW: daytime warming; AW: all-day warming) generated by an open-top hot-blast system under field conditions. This apparatus causes an $\sim 2^{\circ}$ C increase in the rice canopy temperature. Of all the elevated-temperature treatments, AW was the most devastating treatment for all rice cultivars, negatively affecting nearly all of investigated parameters, including grain yield and its components, dry matter accumulation, biomass, and harvest index (HI). The AW treatment decreased the grain yield by 11–35% and 43–78% in 2015 and 2016, respectively. No significant reduction in the grain yield was observed in the DW and NW treatments in 2015. However, the grain yield was decreased in DW and NW treatments by 20-52% and 18-55%, respectively, in 2016. Furthermore, the temperature-driven degradation of pollen viability, the number of pollen grains adhering to the stigma and pollen germination on the stigma caused spikelet sterility and thereby decreased the grain yield. The YLY6 and SY63 cultivars performed better than the HHZ and LYPJ cultivars with respect to grain yield and its components in all elevated-temperature treatments in both years. However, 42.97 and 61.01% reductions still occurred for the SY63 and YLY6 cultivars, respectively, in the AW treatment in 2016. The above results suggested that the elevated temperature may cause a noteworthy reduction in the productions of these widely planted genotypes in central and southern regions of China. To ensure the security of rice production in this region in an expected global warming environment, currently planted varieties will need to be replaced by heat-resistant varieties in the future.

Keywords: elevated temperature, indica ecotype, heat-resistant, grain yield, pollen viability

1

INTRODUCTION

Global warming poses a threat to the food security and is considered a major challenge in the Twenty-first century to providing enough food for an increasing population in a stressful situation (Lal et al., 2005). Several crops have been exposed to elevated temperatures due to the recent increase in global warming, consecutively influenced a substantial amount of yield rise ascended from several factors. Previous reports have showed that warming might significantly decrease the yield of cereal crops. For example, a predicted 1°C increase in the night-time air temperature might lead to a 10% decrease in rice yield (Peng et al., 2004). The mean surface air temperature has increased globally by $\sim 0.74^{\circ}$ C in the last 100 years and will further increased by \sim 1.1–6.4°C by the end of this century (IPCC, 2007). The extent of the daily maximum temperature increase has been lower than that of the daily minimum temperature, and it is expected to continue (Price et al., 1999; IPCC, 2001; Lobell, 2007). This trend of a decreased diurnal temperature range under future climate (IPCC, 2007) will have increasingly adverse effects on rice.

Studies related to climate effects and adaptation approaches are garnering more interests among scientists worldwide, such as studies on the effects on the crop production e.g., rice, wheat and maize (Hoogenboom, 2000; Gbetibouo and Hassan, 2005; Fahad et al., 2015a,b, 2016a,d, 2017). Flowering has been identified as the stage most sensitive to high-temperature stress, and the prevailing ambient temperatures during anthesis (i.e., flower opening) have been causally linked to reproductive outcomes (Yoshida et al., 1981; Jagadish et al., 2007). Rice flowers open for \sim 45 min, during which a series of heat stress-sensitive processes occur, such as anther dehiscence (the process through which pollen is released from the anthers), pollination (in which the pollen grains are deposited onto the surface of the stigma (Matsui et al., 2001; Jagadish et al., 2010), pollen germination and pollen tube growth. Fertilization is typically completed within 1.5-4h after anthesis (Cho, 1956). At temperatures below the maximum for rice, the response of biomass production is the key determinant of yield variations. A variable biomass response to a season-long increase in air temperature has also been reported; the biomass decreased by 16% when the temperature increased from 25 to 27°C (Baker and Allen, 1993). No significant difference in biomass was seen when the temperature increased from 25 to 31°C (Kim et al., 1996), but the biomass increased by 13-16% when the temperature increased from 25 to 28°C (Ohe et al., 2007).

To date, warming experiments have been mainly conducted at certain key rice growth stages (Cheng et al., 2009; Mohammed and Tarpley, 2009) and with few varieties (Dong et al., 2011). Few studies have encompassed the entire duration of rice growth (Nijs et al., 1996; Wan et al., 2002; Kimball and Conley, 2009) or employed different heat-resistant varieties of rice (Shah et al., 2014) simultaneously. Furthermore, most of the studies related to global warming and rice have been performed under controlled experimental conditions, for instance, in closed greenhouses (Jagadish et al., 2007) and open-topped chambers (Chiba and Terao, 2015), etc., and with temperature increases of more than 4°C, which may not accurately represent the predicted temperature increase. In addition, these facilities are convenient for investigating crop responses of a single plants or on a community scale but not on a crop system scale *in situ* (Aronson et al., 2009). As a result, the effects of warming on rice growth in previous studies might be over-estimated and inaccurate. Therefore, a field trial that encompasses the entire growth period of crop *in situ* condition is necessary to determine the actual response of the crop to the predicted warming.

China occupies a unique position among the rice-producing countries (Peng et al., 2009), and the Yangtze River Valley (YRV) is of tremendous importance to the food security because the YRV accounts for 70% of the total rice-growing area in China (Huang et al., 1998). The YRV has experienced severe rice yield losses in recent decades, particularly because of increased midseason temperature; however, the temperature rarely exceeds 35°C (Matsui, 2009), which suggests that the critical maximum temperature range for this region is much lower for yield losses than in several other areas of the world such as Australia (Tian et al., 2010), where occasional temperatures as high as 40°C may not affect the crop production (Matsui et al., 2007). In the central and southern regions of China in the main rice-growing area of the YRV, four rice cultivars, i.e., Huanghuazhan (HHZ), Shanyou63 (SY63), Yangliangyou6 (YLY6) and Liangyoupeijiu (LYPJ), are planted widely. HHZ is a typical conventional rice variety. SY63, a three-line hybrid rice, is famous because it was the most widely planted rice cultivar in the past (Xie, 1997). YLY6 and LYPJ are both two-line hybrid rice varieties whose planting area is currently the largest in china. Interestingly, all of these rice varieties are of the indica ecotype and play an important role in the rice production in the central and southern regions of China. It has been demonstrated that a temperature rise of 2°C will result in greater losses in rice productivity and qualitative attributes than previous projected simulations for the indica and japonica ecotypes (Shah et al., 2014). However, little research has been done to compare the differences with respect to heat resistance under field conditions between these widely cultivated varieties in this area. In addition, the previous experiments were focused on the qualitative effects of elevated temperature on rice productivity, but interannual differences in the performances of rice under global warming conditions and the causes leading this phenomenon have been ignored. As an example, a heat event in 2003 along the Yangtze River in China resulted in daytime temperatures above 38°C and lasted more than 20 days, damaging an estimated 3 million hectares of rice and resulting in a loss of \sim 5.18 million tons of paddy rice loss (Li et al., 2004). Similar losses were recorded in the same region as a result of heat events during the 2006 and 2007 growing seasons (Zou et al., 2009). To study the response of plants to global warming on an ecosystem scale, a highly effective heating system to provide a free air temperature increase (FATI) with a far infrared heater has been extensively used (Wan et al., 2002; Kimball et al., 2008; Kimball and Conley, 2009; Dong et al., 2011). A FATI heating system provides an enormous opportunity to accurately determine the response of rice growth to warming in situ, but deficiencies in simulated air warming still exist due to its unstable efficiency caused by a variable weed speed. A heating apparatus known as an "open-top hot-blast system" is composed of a blower, a heater and a pipe. The warm air generated by the heater is pushed by the blower through the pipe and exists the pipe through the small holes into the plots surrounded by sunshine plates. The warm air diffuses from the bottom to up. It can nullify the effects of the outside wind flow much more effectively than the FATI. Because of these factors, we conducted a two-year field experiment with three elevated-temperature treatments via the open-top hot-blast system was conducted in the central and southern regions of China in 2015 and 2016. Our objectives were to investigate the interannual differences in rice performances under elevated temperature (by $\sim 2^{\circ}$ C) and the response of four widely planted indica rice varieties to elevated temperature with respect to grain yield as well as the causes of those responses under field conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Crop Management

Studies were conducted at the Huazhong Agricultural University experimental field in China during the 2015 and 2016 rice growing seasons. Four widely planted rice (Oryza sativa L.) genotypes of the indica ecotype, i.e., Huanghuazhan (HHZ), Shanyou63 (SY63), Yangliangyou6 (YLY6) and Liangyoupeijiu (LYPJ), were used for the two-year trial. Standard practices for field preparation and crop management appropriate for the experimental site were followed. In both years, germinated certified seed for all four cultivars was sown on 14th May for the nursery rearing. One month after sowing, three seedlings per hill were transplanted with a hill spacing of 16.7 cm \times 20.0 cm in 2015 and 2016. The plants were cultivated in a paddy for the entire rice growth duration. The rates of N, P, K fertilizers in each plot were 180 kg N ha^{-1,} 40 kg P (P_2O_5) ha^{-1,} 100 kg K (K_2O) ha⁻¹, respectively. All of the P and half of the K fertilizers were applied as a basal dressing, while the remaining K was applied at the panicle initiation stage. In a similar fashion, a three-way split method was used for the nitrogen application in both experiments, which was applied in a 4:3:3 ratio at the time of transplanting, mid-tillering and panicle initiation stages, respectively. All the weeds were manually removed whenever they were found, and various pesticide sprays were used for pests control.

Experimental Design and Temperature Treatments

Studies were carried out according to split plot arrangements According to our design, elevated temperature treatments were allocated to the main-plots having an area of 25 m² (5 × 5 m). Each main-plot was divided into four sub-plots equally (Supplementary Figure 3). Whereas, varieties were assigned randomly to the subplots. The field temperature was augmented by an open-top hot-blast system (Supplementary Figure 1). To maintain constant elevated temperatures inside the plot that were different from the rest of the field, sunshine plates with a constant height of 110 cm were used to surround the plots from the initiation of the elevated-temperature treatments until harvesting time. A front covering was attached to 450 cmlong PVC pipes with an inner diameter of 11 cm. Two such heating systems (blower + heater + pipe) were positioned at the two opposite sides across the length of the main-plots (Supplementary Figure 2). A local company was employed to design the heater size and shape to allow the easy connection of the heater to the blower as well as heater adjustment inside the iron cover of the system. Each individual heater installed had a power of 1.5 kW. Small holes of ~0.8-1.0 cm diameter were made at several places on the pipes to allow the warm air generated by the heater and pushed by the blower to exit the pipe and increase the temperature of the plots. Four different elevated temperatures were assigned to the plots, i.e., the control (ambient temperature), NW (night-time warming), DW (daytime warming) and the AW (all-day warming) treatments. The heating systems was operated all day and night for the AW treatment. The heating system was started at 7:00 AM and turn off at 7:00 PM for the DW treatment and vice versa for the NW treatment. No heating system was installed for the control plots, but they were surrounded with sunshine plates in a similar manner to the other plots in order to provide better homogeneity of factors excluding temperature. The elevatedtemperature treatments were employed after transplanting, from 25/06/2015 to 29/09/2015 and from 29/07/2016 to 08/10/2016, to assess the response of the rice to the elevated temperature for the entire duration of growth. The start time of the elevatedtemperature treatments was delayed because of the continual rainfall after transplanting in 2016. A HOBO Micro Station Onset Computer Corporation Data Logger (H21-002) with different sensors (12-Bit Temp Smart Sensor S-TMB-017, which can detect temperatures from -40 to 100° C, Onset Computer Corporation, Massachusetts, USA) was fixed in the field to recording the temperature and relative humidity data. Sensors connecting a coupling were attached to bamboo poles at the height of the rice canopy by regulating the coupling as required. All the sensors were sheltered from rain, sunlight and other environmental conditions by utilizing solar radiation shields to achieve more precise data,. The data for daily rainfall and solar radiation during the rice growing season were collected at a meteorological station (CR800, Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, Utah, USA) near the fields and are shown in Figure 1.

Pollen Viability

Pollen viability was determined using the I_2 -KI staining method (Wang et al., 2006). Pre-flowering spikelets were sampled and stored in a -20° C refrigerator. Twelve anthers were sampled randomly and then removed from the spikelets. The pollen was placed on slides with 1% I_2 -KI solution and cut into pieces using forceps to make the pollen grains spill out. The pollen viability was assessed in an inverted fluorescence microscope based on staining and morphology. Pollen grains that were round in shape and stained black were considered to be viable.

Pollen Grain Retention and Germination on the Stigma

After flowering, 20 florets from each temperature treatment of the four genotypes were sampled and the number of pollen grains deposited on the stigmas was counted. The spikelets were washed in de-ionized water before dissecting under an inverted



fluorescence microscope. The isolated stigmas were cleaned in 8N NaOH for 3–5 h at room temperature and stained with aniline blue dissolved in 0.1 M K_2 HPO₄ for 5–10 min. The number of pollen grains adhering to stigma and the germinated pollen grains (i.e., those that had a pollen tube emerging from the

pollen coat) on the stigma were recorded. The examination was done at 40 \times total magnification with an inverted fluorescence microscope. Images were taken with a DP70 digital camera attached to a Carl Zeiss micro-scope (Ti-E, Nikon Instech Co. LTD, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan).

Dry Matter

The heading date, defined as the date when more than 50% of all panicles had emerged from the flag leaf sheath, was determined. The dry weight of the aboveground parts was measured by sampling the plants at the heading stages for eight hills per plant in each plot. The plants were separated into straw and panicles. The straw was further divided into leaves and stems and then oven dried at 80° C to constant weight, after which the dry weight was determined.

Yield and Its Components

Ten hills in each subplot from the central rows were collected at maturity in both years to measure the grain yield, the aboveground total biomass, the HI and the yield components. To measure the panicle number per m², all of the panicles collected from each hill were counted. The plants were separated into straw and panicles. The straw was further divided into leaves and stems and then oven dried at 80°C to constant weight, after which the dry weights was determined. The panicles were hand threshed, and all of the filled spikelets were separated from the unfilled spikelets using a seed blower. The number of spikelets was measured from the three sub-samples. Each sub samples had 30-g filled spikelets and 5-g unfilled spikelets. The spikelets per panicle, spikelets per m² and grain-filling percentage (100 \times filled spikelet number/total spikelet number) were also measured. Moreover, all of the panicles were harvested randomly from 50 hills in the central rows at maturity stage in both years for the grain yield determination. All of the panicles were subsequently hand threshed manually, and the grains were air dried for 3 days. The moisture content of grains was tested with a digital moisture meter by randomly picking three sub-samples and extrapolated for the entire sample. The grain yield was then adjusted to a moisture content of $0.14 \text{ g H}_2\text{O g}^{-1}$ fresh weight.

Statistical Analysis

All treatments were replicated four times in both years. The data were statistically analyzed by an analysis of variance (ANOVA; SAS statistical analysis system, version 9.2; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) to determine the significance of the various treatments. The means were separated using the least significance difference (LSD) at an alpha level of 0.05. All figures were drawn with Sigmaplot software (version 12.5) (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, California, USA)

RESULTS

Temperature Increase

The device developed in the present study for heating the plots could raise the air temperature in the canopy by $\sim 2^{\circ}$ C higher than that of the control. The data shown for each treatment are the averaged of four independent plots (**Figure 1**). The air temperature in 2016 was higher than that in 2015 during the rice-growthing period. During the booting stage, the night-time temperature of the NW treatment, the daytime temperature of the DW treatment, the diel temperature of the AW treatment were \sim 28.1, 32.2, and 29.4°C in 2015 and 28.2, 32.1, and 30.1°C in 2016, respectively, values that were close to the heat stress

threshold for this stage. During the anthesis stage, the nighttime temperature of the NW treatment, the daytime temperature of the DW treatment, and the night-time and the daytime temperature of the AW treatment were 27.0, 30.2, 26.9, and 30.2° C, respectively. In contrast, the values for 2016 were ~30.1, 34.3, 29.9, and 34.4°C, respectively. However, the temperature during the filling stage in 2015 and 2016 were similar. Specifically, the night-time temperature of the NW treatment, the daytime temperature of the DW treatment, and the night-time and the daytime temperature of the AW treatment were 24.1, 28.5, 24.9, and 29.3°C in 2015 and 24.4, 28.3, 23.8, and 28.5°C in 2016, respectively.

Dry Matter Accumulation

No significant differences in dry matter accumulation were noted at the pre-anthesis and post-anthesis stages for any elevatedtemperature treatment in both 2015 and 2016 (**Table 1**). A comparison of 2015 and 2016 with respect to dry matter accumulation in the pre and post-anthesis stages and for the entire duration revealed a significant difference between the 2 years. The dry matter accumulation of the entire duration in 2015 was much higher than in 2016 because of greater dry matter accumulation in the pre-anthesis stage in 2015. However, dry matter accumulation in 2016 at the post-anthesis stage was significantly higher than in 2015.

Harvest Index

The harvest index (HI) of all varieties was significantly (P < 0.05) affected by the elevated temperature treatments (**Table 1**). The AW treatments had a more pronounced effect on the HI of all varieties than the DW and NW treatments and the control in both 2015 and 2016. The DW and NW treatments also tended to reduce the HI. The HI was respectively 3.64 and 4.92% lower in the DW and NW treatments than in the control on average for the four genotypes in 2015. Similar to 2015, all elevated-temperature treatments significantly reduced the HI of all four varieties in 2016. Considering the genotypic variation, the data revealed that YLY6 had the highest mean of all elevated-temperature treatments in 2015 (53.2%) and 2016 (43.2%), and LYPJ had the lowest HI both in 2015 (48.9%) and 2016 (24.9%). Moreover, the HI in the control in 2016 was as low as in the AW treatment in 2015.

Grain Yield

The AW treatments significantly affected the grain yield of all the genotypes compared to the control treatment in both 2015 and 2016, except for that of SY63 in 2015. The reduction caused by the AW treatments was greater for all cultivars than the NW or DW treatments in both years (**Table 2**). The average yield of all varieties was decreased by 4.37, 5.02, and 22.7% in the NW, DW and the AW treatments, respectively, in 2015, while a severe reduction of 30.76, 31.06, and 61.93% occurred in the NW, DW and AW treatments in 2016, respectively. The effects of the DW and NW treatments on the yield were not significant in 2015, but the NW treatment significantly reduced the yield of SY63, YLY6 and LYPJ, and the DW treatment significantly reduced the yield of HHZ and LYPJ in 2016. Considering the genotypic TABLE 1 | Dry matter accumulation at the pre-anthesis and post-anthesis stages in different elevated-temperature treatments and the effect of elevated temperature treatments on HI in 2015 and 2016.

Variety	Treatment		201	5			201	6	
		Dry ma	tter accumulate	d(g/m ²)	Harvest	Dry ma	atter accumulated	d(g/m ²)	Harvest
		Pre-anthesis	Post-anthesis	Whole duration	Index (HI)	Pre-anthesis	Post-anthesis	Whole duration	Index (HI)
HHZ	СК	973.9 ± 46.2 a	558.3 ± 114.4 a	1,532.2 ± 78.8 a	54.1 ± 0.5 a	693.1 ± 29.2 a	639.4 ± 110.6 a	1,332.5 ± 136.8 a	43.5 ± 1.6 a
	NW	935.3 ± 45.8 a	$414.6 \pm 43.7 a$	$1,349.9 \pm 80.0 a$	$51.4 \pm 1.1 a$	$738.4\pm52.5\mathrm{a}$	$541.5 \pm 95.0 a$	$1,279.9 \pm 53.8a$	37.0 ± 2.9 ab
	DW	$988.9 \pm 26.3 a$	$426.1 \pm 20.7 a$	1,415.1 ± 45.0 a	$52.6\pm0.4a$	$655.5 \pm 19.6 a$	$367.6 \pm 46.7 a$	$1,023.0 \pm 35.7$ b	32.1 ± 2.1 b
	AW	$940.3\pm11.5a$	$445.4\pm85.8a$	$1,385.6 \pm 90.3 a$	$41.0\pm3.8\text{b}$	$731.2\pm67.8a$	$537.3 \pm 135.2 a$	$1,268.5 \pm 92.6a$	$15.1\pm5.4\mathrm{c}$
SY63	СК	1,192.9 ± 58.2 a	$533.8 \pm 36.2 a$	1,726.7 ± 83.8 a	$54.2\pm0.8\mathrm{a}$	$921.7 \pm 25.4 a$	$766.2 \pm 130.4 a$	1,687.9 ± 109.1 a	43.4 ± 0.6 a
	NW	1,328.2 ± 51.7 a	$569.5 \pm 33.4\mathrm{a}$	$1,897.7 \pm 49.6 a$	$51.6 \pm 1.5 \text{ ab}$	934.2±57.9a	$504.7 \pm 18.2 a$	$1,438.9 \pm 52.3 a$	31.3 ± 4.9 ab
	DW	1,276.0 ± 57.1 a	$500.3\pm95.5a$	1,776.3 ± 47.2 a	$54.5\pm0.5\mathrm{a}$	$971.2 \pm 76.2 a$	$439.8 \pm 64.7 a$	1,411.0 ± 35.6 a	$36.9 \pm 4.8 \text{ ab}$
	AW	$1,367.8 \pm 28.2a$	$513.0\pm199.4\mathrm{a}$	1,840.1 ± 172.5 a	$46.5\pm4.6~\text{b}$	$999.7\pm58.3\mathrm{a}$	$553.0 \pm 138.8 \mathrm{a}$	1,552.7 ± 128.9 a	$26.2\pm3.9~\text{b}$
YLY6	СК	1,228.2 ± 77.3 a	727.1 ± 91.3 a	1,955.3 ± 30.6 a	$56.5\pm0.4\mathrm{a}$	1,003.5 ± 34.5 a	$692.4 \pm 47.8 a$	1,695.9 ± 33.6 a	$52.5\pm0.8\mathrm{a}$
	NW	$1,327.5 \pm 64.4 a$	$445.7\pm93.6\mathrm{a}$	$1,773.2 \pm 81.9$ b	$55.0\pm0.8\mathrm{a}$	$1,093.2 \pm 82.6 a$	$608.2 \pm 52.7 \mathrm{a}$	1,701.3 ± 75.7 a	$44.0\pm3.1~\mathrm{ab}$
	DW	$1,187.9 \pm 27.5 \text{ ab}$	$568.9\pm50.8\mathrm{a}$	$1,756.8 \pm 51.9$ b	$53.6 \pm 1.1 a$	$1,011.1 \pm 69.2 a$	$489.1 \pm 160.1 a$	$1,500.2 \pm 108.3 a$	$49.0\pm1.7a$
	AW	$1,105.8 \pm 41.1 \text{ b}$	$573.2 \pm 54.1 a$	$1,679.0 \pm 33.2 \text{ b}$	$47.5\pm2.4~\text{b}$	$1,056.8 \pm 46.1 a$	$471.3\pm57.2a$	$1,528.1 \pm 23.0a$	$27.4\pm10.8~\text{b}$
LYPJ	СК	1,267.4 ± 48.0 ab	$392.9\pm80.5a$	1,660.4 ± 63.8 a	$54.9\pm0.5\mathrm{a}$	1,129.4 ± 60.3 a	483.3 ± 52.4 a	1,612.7 ± 54.8 a	40.9 ± 0.9 a
	NW	$1,231.7 \pm 17.2$ ab	$538.6\pm43.8\mathrm{a}$	1,770.3 ± 52.9 a	$53.6\pm1.2\mathrm{a}$	$1,121.2 \pm 48.0 a$	$478.3 \pm 57.9 a$	1,599.5±31.9a	$18.9\pm3.6\mathrm{b}$
	DW	$1,327.2 \pm 61.7 a$	$514.1 \pm 116.2 a$	$1,841.3 \pm 72.3 a$	48.1 ± 1.3 ab	983.5 ± 45.6 b	$474.3\pm36.0\mathrm{a}$	1,457.8 ± 76.7 a	$24.1\pm5.0\mathrm{b}$
	AW	$1,199.5 \pm 44.5$ b	$494.5\pm61.0\mathrm{a}$	$1,694.0 \pm 35.9a$	39.0 ± 8.4 b	$993.9 \pm 49.6 \ \mathrm{b}$	$640.1\pm92.8\mathrm{a}$	$1,634.0\pm57.0a$	15.6 ± 1.4 b

In the column for each variety, means followed by different letters are significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to the least significant difference (LSD) test. Abbreviations: HHZ, Huanghuazhan; SY63, Shanyou63; YLY6, Yangliangyou6; LYPJ, Liangyoupeijiu. CK, ambient control; NW, night-time warming; DW, daytime warming; AW, and all-day warming treatments. Eight hills were used to measure the biomass in the pre-anthesis and ten hills were used to measure the biomass and HI in the maturity stage.

variation, the data shown that the grain yield reduction in SY63 was the lowest of all four varieties in the NW, DW, and AW treatments in both years; however, the reduction in LYPJ was the highest in the elevated-temperature treatments of all varieties. The data in **Table 2** clearly show that the yield of the first year was significantly higher than in the second year. In 2015, the overall yields in the control and the AW treatment were more than 10 t/ha and 8 t/ha, respectively, while in 2016, the yields of the control and the AW treatment were <8 t/ha and 3 t/ha, respectively, when averaged for all genotypes. The mean yield in the control in 2016 was lower than the mean yield in the AW treatment in 2015.

Yield Components

There were significant reductions in the number of spikelets per panicle of HHZ and YLY6 in the AW treatment in 2015 (**Table 3**). The indica variety SY63 produced fewer spikelets per panicle in the NW (24.78%), DW (20%) and the AW treatments (20%) than in the control in 2016 (**Table 5**). For the panicle number per m², no significant variations were observed in any variety in 2015; however, in 2016, the maximum number of panicles per m² for HHZ was found in the AW treatment and the minimum was observed in the NW treatment. The effect of the DW treatment on the panicle number of YLY6 and LYPJ was greater than in the control. No variation in the spikelets number per m^2 was observed except for the significant reduction in YLY6 in the AW treatment compared to the control in 2015. However, the data for 2016 demonstrated that the elevated-temperature treatments significantly reduced the spikelet number per m^2 . The DW treatment-associated reduction was greater than in the NW and the AW treatments for the spikelet number per m^2 for all varieties. The reduction in the spikelet number per m^2 of HHZ, SY63, YLY6, and LYPJ in the DW treatment was 18.59, 25.32, 9.07, and 10.23%, respectively. The spikelet number per m^2 of SY63 in the NW treatment and YLY6 in the AW treatment were lower than in the control.

The filling rate of all varieties was significantly lower in response to the different elevated-temperature treatments in 2015. Of all treatments, the AW treatment had the greatest effect on the filling rate of all four varieties. The AW treatment significantly reduced the filling rate in all varieties except for the SY63. The reduction of the filling rate of HHZ, SY63, YLY6, and LYPJ in the AW treatment was 11.38, 9.24, 12.60, and 24.97%, respectively, in 2015; however, it was more severe in 2016 for HHZ (64.32%), SY63 (26.01%), YLY6 (46.31%), and LYPJ (59.82%). The reduction of the filling rate of HHZ, SY63, YLY6, and LYPJ in the NW and DW treatments was 8.35, 12.48, 11.79, and 50.37% and 29.21, 2.11, 7.14, and 42.13%, respectively. The reduction of the filling rate of HHZ in the DW treatment and LYPJ in both the NW and DW treatments was significant.

Year	Treatment		Va	riety			Dif	f(%)		Mean
		HHZ	SY63	YLY6	LYPJ	HHZ	SY63	YLY6	LYPJ	
2015	CK	$8.76\pm0.32a$	10.75 ± 0.55 a	10.91 ± 0.27 a	$11.32 \pm 0.18 a$	_	-	_	_	_
	NW	$7.90\pm0.58\mathrm{ab}$	$10.35 \pm 0.72 a$	$11.26 \pm 0.42 a$	$10.51 \pm 0.40 a$	9.82	3.73	-3.18	7.12	4.4
	DW	$8.68\pm0.30a$	$10.20\pm0.24a$	$11.11 \pm 0.38 a$	$9.52\pm0.24ab$	0.91	5.13	-1.84	15.87	5.0
	AW	$6.47\pm0.78\mathrm{b}$	$8.71\pm1.22a$	$9.76\pm0.43\mathrm{b}$	$7.34\pm1.57\mathrm{b}$	26.14	19.02	10.51	35.13	22.7
2016	CK	$6.01 \pm 0.22 a$	$7.54 \pm 0.09 a$	9.90 ± 0.33 a	7.77 ± 0.11 a	_	-	_	_	_
	NW	$4.94\pm0.50\text{ab}$	$5.40\pm0.62\mathrm{b}$	$7.72\pm0.50\mathrm{b}$	$3.51\pm0.41\mathrm{b}$	17.80	28.38	22.02	54.83	30.8
	DW	$4.43\pm0.21\mathrm{b}$	$5.60\pm0.43\text{ab}$	$7.91\pm0.18\text{ab}$	$3.72\pm0.51\mathrm{b}$	26.29	25.73	20.10	52.12	31.1
	AW	$2.05\pm0.33c$	$4.30\pm0.52\mathrm{b}$	$3.86\pm1.11\mathrm{c}$	$1.72\pm0.21\mathrm{c}$	65.89	42.97	61.01	77.86	61.9
Year		***	***	***	***					
Tempera	ature	***	**	***	***					
Year*Ter	mperature	ns	ns	**	ns					

TABLE 2 | Effects of different elevated temperature treatments on grain yield (t/ha) of the genotypes in field experiments in 2015 and 2016.

In the column for each variety, means followed by different letters are significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to the least significant difference (LSD) test. "., .., and ..." denote significante at the 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 probability levels based on analysis of variance, respectively. "ns" denotes non-significant based on analysis of variance. Abbreviations: HHZ, Huanghuazhan; SY63, Shanyou63; YLY6, Yangliangyou6; LYPJ, Liangyoupeijiu. CK, ambient control; NW, night-time warming; DW, daytime warming; AW, and all-day warming treatments. Fifty hills were handled to measure the actual yield in the maturity stage.

Variety	Treatment	Spikelets per panicle	Panicles m ⁻²	Spikelets m ⁻² (10 ³)	Grain filling percentage (%)	Grain weight (mg)
HHZ	СК	$182 \pm 3.93 a$	$259 \pm 13.08 a$	$47.0 \pm 2.67 a$	87.6 ± 1.57 a	$20.2 \pm 0.16 a$
	NW	$176\pm8.20ab$	$249\pm7.02a$	$43.8 \pm 2.55 a$	$81.8\pm1.53ab$	$19.3\pm0.11b$
	DW	$187 \pm 3.13 a$	$243\pm8.76a$	$45.3 \pm 1.06 a$	$83.7 \pm 1.97 \text{ab}$	$19.6\pm0.13\mathrm{b}$
	AW	$151 \pm 13.77 \mathrm{b}$	$264\pm3.25a$	$40.0\pm3.93a$	$77.7 \pm 4.53 \mathrm{b}$	$18.4\pm0.21\mathrm{c}$
SY63	CK	$179 \pm 10.05 a$	211 ± 3.96a	37.7 ± 1.69 a	90.5 ± 1.40 a	$27.4 \pm 0.15 a$
	NW	$187 \pm 6.75 a$	$218\pm7.00a$	$40.7 \pm 1.16 a$	$90.8 \pm 1.22 a$	$26.5\pm0.26\text{ab}$
	DW	$197\pm7.53\mathrm{a}$	$203\pm1.82a$	$40.0\pm1.56a$	90.5 ± 1.31 a	$26.9\pm0.13\text{ab}$
	AW	$184 \pm 12.80 a$	$218\pm3.30a$	$40.1\pm3.28a$	$82.2\pm7.75a$	$25.9\pm0.57\mathrm{b}$
YLY6	СК	197 ± 3.49 a	226 ± 1.93 a	$44.6 \pm 1.08 a$	87.4 ± 1.53 a	$28.4\pm0.29\mathrm{a}$
	NW	$192 \pm 7.77 a$	$216\pm5.99a$	$41.5 \pm 2.35 \text{ab}$	87.8 ± 1.77 a	$26.7\pm0.34\mathrm{b}$
	DW	$199\pm4.09a$	$226\pm7.58a$	$45.1 \pm 2.19 a$	$79.2\pm2.26ab$	$26.5\pm0.51\mathrm{b}$
	AW	$177 \pm 1.43 \mathrm{b}$	$226\pm5.11a$	$40.0\pm0.87b$	$76.4\pm5.65\mathrm{b}$	$26.3\pm0.42\mathrm{b}$
LYPJ	CK	$219 \pm 7.32 a$	$223\pm3.77a$	$48.9\pm2.35a$	75.4 ± 2.73 ab	$24.8\pm0.24a$
	NW	$203\pm5.19a$	$236\pm4.95a$	47.8 ± 1.13 a	$82.3 \pm 1.51 a$	$24.1\pm0.20\text{ab}$
	DW	$207\pm7.02a$	$243\pm4.95a$	$50.3 \pm 1.13 a$	$73.6\pm4.34ab$	$24.0\pm0.53\text{ab}$
	AW	$218\pm8.26a$	$240\pm12.76a$	$52.0 \pm 1.31 a$	$56.6 \pm 13.26 \mathrm{b}$	$22.8\pm0.37\mathrm{b}$

TABLE 3 | Effects of different elevated temperature treatments on the yield components of the genotypes in the field experiments in 2015.

In the column for each variety, means followed by different letters are significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to the least significant difference (LSD) test. Abbreviations: HHZ, Huanghuazhan; SY63, Shanyou63; YLY6, Yangliangyou6; LYPJ, Liangyoupeijiu. CK, ambient control; NW, night-time warming; DW, daytime warming; AW, and all-day warming treatments. Ten hills were used to measure the yield components in the maturity stage.

A comparison of the 2 years revealed that the filling rates were considerably lower in 2016 for all elevated-temperature treatments than in 2015.

The grain weight was reduced in all elevated-temperature treatments compared to the control, and the reduction in the AW treatment was the greatest in 2015. The NW and DW treatments reduced the grain weight significantly for HHZ and

YLY6 compared to the control. In 2016, the grain weight of HHZ and SY63 was significantly reduced in the AW treatment compared to the control; however, no significant differences were noted for the YLY6 and LYPJ in any of the elevated-temperature treatments compared to the control. There was a significant decrease in the grain weight in 2016 compared to that in 2015. All the grain weights of the varieties were much lower in 2016 than

in 2015; even the grain weights of the HHZ and LYPJ cultivars in the control in 2016 were slightly less than in the AW treatment in 2015.

Pollen Viability

The AW treatment significantly reduced the pollen viability compared to the control for all the genotypes in both 2015 and 2016 (**Figure 2**). The pollen viability of HHZ was reduced significantly by both the NW and DW treatments compared to the control, and no significant differences in the pollen viability for SY63, YLY6, or LYPJ were observed in 2015. In 2016, the pollen viability of HHZ and LYPJ in the DW treatment was significantly less than in the control, while the NW treatment only significantly impacted the pollen viability of SY63. The percentage of non-viable pollen grains in 2015 was not more than 20%, which was considerably lower than that in 2016 (46%). Of all genotypes, LYPJ was the most sensitive to elevated temperature with respect to pollen viability.

Pollen Grain Retention and Germination on the Stigma

The number of pollen grains adhering to the stigma was drastically reduced by the elevated-temperature treatments in both 2015 and 2016. The data in the AW treatment showed significantly reduced pollen numbers adhering to the stigma compared to the control in 2015 for all genotypes. In 2015, no significant differences were observed in the NW and DW treatments compared to the control, except for HHZ and SY63 in the NW treatment. However, in 2016, all the elevated-temperature treatments significantly reduced the number of pollen grains adhering to stigma for HHZ, SY63, and LYPJ. In contrast, only the AW treatment significantly reduced the number of pollen grains adhering to the stigma for YLY6. LYPJ was the most severely affected of all varieties by elevated temperature with respect to the number of pollen grains adhering to the stigma in both 2015 (**Figure 3A**) and 2016 (**Figure 3B**).

No significant differences in the germination percentage of pollen grains on the stigma were observed in the AW, NW, DW, and control treatments for any genotypes in 2015 or 2016. However, the germination percentage of pollen grains was obviously higher in 2015 than 2016. Of the various cultivars, LYPJ was the most sensitive with respect to the germination percentage of pollen grains, while YLY6 was most tolerant (**Figures 4C,D**).

DISCUSSION

Our results showed that a higher temperature significantly reduced the rice yield under field conditions (**Table 2**). Similar results were obtained in previous studies (Ohe et al., 2007; Shah et al., 2014; Chaturvedi et al., 2017). However, a close relationship between the range of yield reduction and the annual temperature was apparent. In detail, the decrease in rice yield caused by elevated temperature was significantly higher in 2016 (43–78% in the AW treatment) than in 2015 (11–35% in the AW treatment). Rice grain yield is determined by four parameters: the number of panicles per plant, the number of spikelets per panicle, the grain filling rate and the total grain weight. The yield

reduction in the present field experiment was mainly attributed to a decrease in the 1,000-grain weight in 2015, while the filling rate was also impacted by the higher temperatures (Table 3). Nevertheless, the percentage of the reduction in grain weight in the elevated-temperature treatments compared to the control shown that the reduction caused by the elevated temperature treatments was obviously higher in 2015 than in 2016. The grain weight of the rice in the control in 2016 was already impacted by an unfavorable environment, so there is no doubt that elevated temperature caused the significant decrease in the filling rate and the 1,000-grain weight in 2016 (Table 4), which indicated considerable heat stress on both the spikelet fertilization and grain filling. The main reason for the diverse performances in yield and yield components between 2015 and 2016 was the considerable differences in the air temperature between the 2 years. The variation in the air temperature during the entire duration of rice growth in 2015 was similar to the variation trend of the air temperature during the 20-years period from 1996 to 2016. However, the mean temperature in 2016 was obviously higher than that in the previous 20 years (Figure 2). According to our findings, the reduction recorded in the grain weight of all four rice cultivars can be ascribed to a higher temperature, particularly during the ripening period (Morita, 2008; Fahad et al., 2016a). Although the rice grain carbohydrates are mainly derived from the photosynthetic products during the post-anthesis phase, the dry matter accumulated during preanthesis also plays a role in the accumulation of rice grain carbohydrates to some degree. Generally, high temperature impacts crop biomass production and grain yield by shortening crop growth period (Porter and Gawith, 1999), stimulating crop respiration (Schlenker and Roberts, 2009) and causing heat stress on spikelet fertilization and grain filling (Lele, 2010). However, in the present study, no significant reduction of crop biomass production either pre- or post-anthesis was observed in 2015 or 2016 (Table 1), which demonstrated that the limiting factor for grain filling is not the supply of accumulated nutrients. During the onset of fertilization and the post-anthesis period, if the daytime temperatures are higher than a certain threshold, flag leaf photosynthesis decreases substantially, resulting in disturbed source-sink assimilate transport. As a result, the high temperature reduces the grain size and weight (Cooper et al., 2008; Mohammed and Tarpley, 2010) by reducing the endosperm sink strength and incomplete grain-filling events due to reduction in the grain endosperm cell size and the grain growth rate (Morita et al., 2005). Deterioration of the grain weight at high temperatures is accompanied by the altered expression of starch metabolism-related genes. The rice grain in incomplete filling 1 (GIF1) gene that encodes a cell wall invertase (CWI) required to cleave sucrose into hexoses for carbon partitioning during early grain filling has been successfully targeted to improve grain weight during cultivation (Wang et al., 2008).

Sufficient and timely availability of irrigation water, complemented by a low relative humidity (RH), helps plants to maintain the plants' tissue temperature well below the critical threshold because of the efficient transpiration-mediated cooling (Weerakoon et al., 2008; Wassmann et al., 2009; Julia and Dingkuhn, 2013), which is an effective mechanism to cope with



warming. However, a huge amount of rainfall in 2016 led to a higher air relative humidity in the anthesis stage (**Figures 1E,F**), which probably restricted the transpiration rate, causing a higher

tissue temperature in the spikelets. Therefore, the higher tissue temperature in the spikelets resulted in high spikelet sterility, which may be another reason that the effect of the elevated



FIGURE 3 | Effects of different elevated temperature treatments on the pollen viability of the varieties in 2015 (A) and 2016 (B). Abbreviations: HHZ, Huanghuazhan; SY63, Shanyou63; YLY6, Yangliangyou6; LYPJ, Liangyoupeijiu. CK, ambient control; NW, night-time warming; DW, daytime warming; AW, and all-day warming treatments. Means followed by different letters are significantly different at a probability level of 0.05 according to the least significant difference (LSD) test. Error bars above denote the standard error (SE) of the replicates (n = 4).

Variety	Treatment	Spikelets per panicle	Panicles m ⁻²	Spikelets m^{-2} (10 ³)	Grain filling percentage (%)	Grain weight (mg)
HHZ	СК	175 ± 2.43 a	$269\pm20.06ab$	47.4 ± 4.19a	$69.5\pm3.16\mathrm{a}$	$17.6 \pm 0.10 a$
	NW	$176\pm6.93a$	$240\pm8.84b$	$42.0\pm0.14ab$	$63.7 \pm 2.94 \mathrm{ab}$	$17.6\pm0.22\text{ab}$
	DW	$159\pm8.39a$	$243\pm6.70\text{ab}$	$38.6\pm1.80\mathrm{b}$	$49.2 \pm 3.53 \mathrm{b}$	$17.3\pm0.03\text{ab}$
	AW	$161 \pm 7.20 a$	$284\pm21.24a$	$45.6\pm3.32\text{ab}$	$24.8\pm8.95\text{c}$	$17.1\pm0.15\mathrm{b}$
SY63	СК	$230 \pm 11.70 a$	$220 \pm 5.68 a$	$50.6 \pm 3.23 a$	$56.9 \pm 2.08 a$	$25.4 \pm 0.02 a$
	NW	$173\pm3.78\mathrm{b}$	$210\pm6.38a$	$36.4\pm1.84\mathrm{b}$	$49.8 \pm 7.78 a$	$24.9 \pm 0.21 \text{ab}$
	DW	$184\pm19.84b$	$206\pm8.27a$	$37.8\pm3.43\mathrm{b}$	$55.7 \pm 5.96 a$	$24.7\pm0.23ab$
	AW	$184\pm15.88\mathrm{b}$	$217\pm10.38a$	$39.9\pm4.14ab$	$42.1\pm6.52a$	$24.5\pm0.34b$
YLY6	CK	$189 \pm 7.98 a$	$207 \pm 9.45 \text{ab}$	$38.8\pm0.90\mathrm{a}$	$84.0 \pm 1.05 a$	$27.3 \pm 0.10 a$
	NW	$190\pm5.34\mathrm{a}$	$199\pm10.16\text{ab}$	$37.7\pm1.02ab$	$74.1 \pm 6.03 a$	$26.8\pm0.18a$
	DW	$192\pm7.16a$	$183\pm7.73\mathrm{b}$	$35.3 \pm 2.57 \text{ab}$	$78.0 \pm 2.60 a$	$26.7\pm0.24a$
	AW	$162 \pm 12.88 a$	$214\pm11.16a$	$34.1\pm0.91\mathrm{b}$	$45.1 \pm 17.16 \mathrm{b}$	$26.6\pm0.44a$
LYPJ	СК	177 ± 13.44 a	$254 \pm 19.68 a$	44.3 ± 1.31 a	66.7 ± 2.97 a	$22.4 \pm 0.16 a$
	NW	$175\pm5.52\mathrm{a}$	$237\pm6.60\text{ab}$	41.3 ± 1.53 ab	$33.1\pm6.50\mathrm{b}$	$22.2\pm0.14a$
	DW	$181 \pm 5.02 a$	$219\pm9.97a$	$39.7\pm2.03\mathrm{b}$	$38.6 \pm 7.07 \mathrm{b}$	$22.5\pm0.23a$
	AW	$183\pm3.43a$	$234\pm5.08\text{ab}$	$42.7\pm0.79ab$	$26.8\pm2.30b$	$22.2\pm0.13a$

TABLE 4 | Effects of different elevated-temperature treatments on the yield components of the genotypes in field experiments in 2016.

In the column for each variety, means followed by different letters are significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to the least significant difference (LSD) test. Abbreviations: HHZ, Huanghuazhan; SY63, Shanyou63; YLY6, Yangliangyou6; LYPJ, Liangyoupeijiu. CK, ambient control; NW, night-time warming; DW, daytime warming; AW, and all-day warming treatments. Ten hills were used to measure the yield components in the maturity stage.

temperature on yield performance in 2016 was much more severe than in 2015. Several previous studies have also recorded a significant reduction of the percent spikelet fertility in response to different temperature increases (Matsui et al., 1997a,b; Prasad et al., 2006a; Jagadish et al., 2007; Fahad et al., 2016b,c). The poor development as well as the impairment of the pollen grains can lead to decreased spikelet fertility (Zinn et al., 2010). During the flowering phase, both male and female gametophytes are sensitive, and the pollen grains are more sensitive than the ovules (Peet et al., 1998). In the present study, we examined

E
and temperature
5
\sim
year
factors:
for
ANOVA
le two-way
È
10
BLE
Z

÷

Source			10/		2	IKelers							5	DINCICLO			5		A her certic	lov los.	•			2
	ZHH	SY63	УГУ6	LYPJ	ZHH	SY63	УГУ6	ГУРЈ	ZHH	SY63	УГУ6	LYPJ	ZHH	SY63	УГУ6	ГЧРЈ	ZHH	SY63	YLY6	LYPJ	ΖНН	SY63	УLY6	Ľ
 ~	***	***	**	***	su	su	su	***	SU	su	***	su	su	su	***	***	***	***	*	***	***	***	ns	***
Ŧ	***	**	* *	***	*	ns	* *	SU	ns	SU	SU	ns	NS	SU	SU	SU	***	SU	**	**	***	* *	**	* *
Υ×Τ	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	SU	***	ns	ns	*	**	ns	ns	*

Shanyou63; YLY6, Yangliangyou6; LYPJ, Liangyoupeijiu, CK, ambient controf; NW, night-time warming; DW, daytime warming; AW, and all-day warming treatments.

the effects of three different elevated-temperature treatments, imposed for the entire duration of rice growth, including the sensitive reproductive stage, on the characteristics of the pollen grains of the cultivars. The results demonstrated that substantial pollen mortality caused by an increase of $\sim 2^{\circ}$ C led to spikelet sterility in both years, especially in 2016 (Figure 3). The pollen germination of the different genotypes was more variable at a higher temperature because of reduced pollen production due to anther indehiscence, poor pollen shedding and pollen interception by the stigma in a similar manner as reported by Jagadish et al. (2010). Poor anther dehiscence is mainly due to the tight closure of the locules, which results in less pollen dispersal. With respect to the number of pollen grains and germinated pollen on the stigma, our results clarified that due to the severity of the temperature increase in the microspore and anthesis phases, the pollen grains did not adhere to or germinate on the stigma, although those pollen grains were seemingly normal and stained well (Figure 4). Another reason for a decline in pollen germination may be poor pollen retention in the dehisced anthers. The pollen wall plays a key role in pollen germination on the stigma (Edlund et al., 2004). Numerous constituents of the pollen wall are delivered to the pollen surface by the tapetal cells, and the importance of the lipid components for pollen fertility has been recognized (Preuss et al., 1993; Hulskamp et al., 1995; Ariizumi et al., 2004). Interestingly, the pollen germination percentage was not impacted by the elevated-temperature treatments for any cultivars in 2015 or 2016 (Figures 4C,D), which indirectly indicates that the number of germinated pollen grains on the stigma was mainly related to the number of pollen grains adhering to the stigma. Therefore, understanding the mechanism leading to the lower quantity of pollen grains adhering to the stigma is the most useful and effective way to monitor the breeding of high-temperature-resistant varieties in the future.

Yield and its components, dry matter accumulation, biomass, and HI exhibited the greatest negative responses in the AW treatment in both 2015 and 2016. The significant reduction in the investigated attributes caused by the AW treatment resulted from the presence of an elevated temperature for the entire day (24 h), ensuring a comparatively greater rise in the cumulative temperature. This temperature increase might be a possible reason for the greater reduction in all of attributes recorded in the AW treatment. No differences in the yield were seen in the NW or DW treatment compared to the control in 2015, while in 2016, the yield in the NW treatment was reduced by 18-55%, which was approximately twice that of the grain yield decrease of 10% for each 1°C increase in the minimum temperature in the growing-season reported by Peng et al. (2004). Shah et al. (2014) and Chaturvedi et al. (2017) reported similar results, but Dong et al. (2011) reported that no significant difference or interaction in the actual rice growth response was found between warming regimes. These differences might be attributed to the interannual differences in the responses of rice to elevated temperature. Simultaneously, other environmental factors such as radiation, relative humidity and rainfall also play a vital role in the inconsistent results of different researches. However, the present study also found a reduction in grain yield of \sim 20–52% in



the DW treatment in 2016, and there were significant reductions in the number of spikelets per m² in the DW treatment in 2016 for HHZ, SY63 and LYPJ which was different from the results of Peng et al. (2004). We assume that this difference was mainly due to a larger increase in the DW treatment than used by Peng et al. (2004), who reported that annual mean maximum temperatures only increased by 0.35°C during the period between 1979 and 2003, which caused an inconspicuous effect of maximum temperature on crop yield.

Several stages comprise the entire duration of rice growth, and each stage has a characteristic threshold temperature above which significant adverse impacts are observed. However, the temperature fluctuation over the entire duration of rice growth is difficult to predict. The differences between the basic temperatures in different years and the differences in the times that rice encounters stressful temperatures will cause significantly the different rice production performance in different years. Li et al. (2003) showed that tiller production is a key agronomic trait in rice and is very sensitive to temperature, but no significant differences were seen in 2015 or in 2016 in the present study (data not presented). Our results show that the range of HI was 21.08-54.9% between 2015 and 2016 (Table 1), which was consistent with the results of Wu et al. (2016). The 43.5-54.9% HI in 2015 with an impact in the filling stage and the 21.1-45.1% HI in 2016 with impacts in the anthesis and filling stages illustrated that the high-temperature stress during the flowering period in 2016 induced the significant interannual differences in HI, because the temperature in 2015 was closed to the threshold temperature corresponding to the flowering period, whereas in 2016, it exceeded the threshold temperature, even though only an $\sim 2^{\circ}$ C temperature difference occurred between those 2years (Figure 1). This finding indicates that whether the anthesis was subject to temperature stress during the entire duration of rice growth determined the safety of rice production, a conclusion supported by Jagadish et al. (2007).

Genotypic variations in the plants' response to heat stress were evident among the different ecotypes. Shah et al. (2014) revealed that japonica ecotypes were much more sensitive to heat stress

with respect to yield than indica ecotypes. In the present study, we used four indica ecotypes have been widely planted in the central and southern regions of China as our experimental materials to investigate their responses to elevated temperature. In terms of the constancy of yield and the performances of other parameters for the genotypes exposed to elevated temperature, cultivars SY63 and YLY6 are more tolerant than the other two genotypes, and LYPJ seemingly performs the worst. The percentages of the reduction in spikelets fertility of SY63 and YLY6 were less than those of HHZ and LYPJ, which illustrated that the differences in the heat resistance for the different genotypes were mainly determined by the responses of spikelets fertility to temperature. In a similar fashion, Peng et al. (2004) revealed that elevated temperatures primarily reduced the grain yield due to diminished spikelet fertility. Therefore, adopting reasonable agricultural management and effective methods to reduce the damage of high temperature during the flowering period will play an important roles in rice production in future climate warming scenarios.

SY63 is one of the most heat-tolerant hybrids for rice production in China. However, its complex three-line hybridization, non-ideal plant type and lower production potential has spurred the breeding of new genotypes with good comprehensive characteristics. The two-line hybrid rice LYPJ is a hallmark for improved rice breeding in the China National High-tech R&D Program. LYPJ has had the largest planting area for years after the widely planted three-line hybrid SY63 (LÜ and Zou, 2016). However, its breeding was accomplished with a photo-thermo-sensitive genic male sterile line, which sets the stage for spikelet sterility caused by higher temperature (Tables 3, 4). Zhao et al. (2006) suggested that YLY6 possesses a strong source, great sink activity and efficient flow, which lays a physiological basis for its high seed-setting rate and good grain filling. Our research revealed that these characteristics can be expressed even under elevated-temperature conditions. Therefore, additional research is needed to fully understand whether YLY6 can be planted as a heat-tolerant genotype in these climate change scenarios.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the apparatus developed for heating the plots in this study was able to raise the air temperature in the canopy nearly 2° C above the control, and the elevated-temperature treatments affected the yield performance of all four rice cultivars

REFERENCES

- Ariizumi, T., Hatakeyama, K., Hinata, K., Inatsugi, R., Nishida, I., Sato, S., et al. (2004). Disruption of the novel plant protein NEF1 affects lipid accumulation in the plastids of the tapetum and exine formation of pollen, resulting in male sterility in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 39, 170–181. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02118.x
- Aronson, E. L., Mcnulty, S. G., Sun, G., Sun, J. X., and Zhou, G. S. (2009). Appropriate experimental ecosystem warming methods by ecosystem, objective, and practicality. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 149, 1791–1799. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.06.007

in both years. However, there was a closed relationship between the reduction in grain yield caused by elevated temperature treatment and the climate conditions of a given year. The AW treatment had more deleterious effects than the DW and NW treatments because of a comparatively higher accumulated temperature. In the present study, the AW treatment reduced the yield in a range of 22-62% in both years compared to the control, and the reduction in 2016 was more severe than in 2015. The lowest grain yield in the AW treatment was associated with the spikelet filling percentage and grain weight due to the hightemperature stress. The reduction of yield in the NW treatment was similar to that reported in previous studies. However, the DW treatment significantly reduced the yield by reducing the number of spikelets per m². The AW treatment accelerated the pollen mortality and affected the grain-filling process and storage, which in turn decreased the final grain yield. Of all the genotypes, SY63 and YLY6 had relatively higher tolerance to heat stress. The above results indicate that further field studies are required to reveal the mechanism leading to the reduced number of pollen grains adhering to the stigma.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JH conceived the idea of the experiment. ZY and ZZ had major and equal contribution in overall preparation. TZ and SF gathered the literature and contributed in arranging the data for different attributes. SF, KC, LN, SP, and JH provided the technical guidance and editing support.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (Project No. 31361140368). We thank the Meteorological Information Center of China Meteorological Administration and the Meteorological Service Center of Hubei Meteorological Administration for providing the temperature data of Hubei province between 1996 and 2016.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2017. 01908/full#supplementary-material

Baker, J. T., and Allen, L. H. J. (1993). Effects of CO2 and temperature on rice: a summary of five growing seasons. J. Agric. Meteorol. 48, 575–582. doi: 10.2480/agrmet.48.575

- Chaturvedi, A. K., Bahuguna, R. N., Shah, D., Pal, M., and Jagadish, S. V. K. (2017). High temperature stress during flowering and grain filling offsets beneficial impact of elevated CO2 on assimilate partitioning and sink strength in rice. *Sci. Rep.* 7:8227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-07464-6
- Cheng, W., Sakai, H., Yagi, K., and Hasegawa, T. (2009). Interactions of elevated CO2 and night temperature on rice growth and yield. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 149, 51–58. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.07.006

- Chiba, M., and Terao, T. (2015). Open-top chambers with solar-heated air introduction tunnels for the high-temperature treatment of paddy fields. *Plant Prod. Sci.* 17, 152–165. doi: 10.1626/pps.17.152
- Cho, J. (1956). Double fertilization in *Oryza sativa* L. and development of the endosperm with special reference to the aleurone layer. *Bull. Nat. Inst. Agric. Sci.* 6, 61–101.
- Cooper, N. T. W., Siebenmorgen, T. J., and Counce, P. A. (2008). Effects of night time temperature during kernel development on rice physicochemical properties. *Cereal Chem.* 85, 276–282. doi: 10.1094/CCHEM-85-3-0276
- Dong, W., Chen, J., Zhang, B., Tian, Y., and Zhang, W. (2011). Responses of biomass growth and grain yield of mid-season rice to the anticipated warming FATI facility in East China. *Field. Crop. Res.* 123, 259–265. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2011.05.024
- Edlund, A. F., Swanson, R., and Preuss, D. (2004). Pollen and stigma structure and function: the role of diversity in pollination. *Plant Cell* 16(Suppl.), S84–S97. doi: 10.1105/tpc.015800
- Fahad, S., Bajwa, A. A., Nazir, U., Anjum, S. A., Farooq, A., Zohaib, A., et al. (2017). Crop production under drought and heat stress: plant responses and management options. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:1147. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01147
- Fahad, S., Hussain, S., Saud, S., Hassan, S., Chauhan, B. S., Khan, F., et al. (2016a). Responses of rapid viscoanalyzer profile and other rice grain qualities to exogenously applied plant growth regulators under high day and high night temperatures. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0159590. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0159590
- Fahad, S., Hussain, S., Saud, S., Hassan, S., Ihsan, Z., Huang, J., et al. (2016c). Exogenously applied plant growth regulators enhance the morphophysiological growth and yield of rice under high temperature. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 7:1250. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01250
- Fahad, S., Hussain, S., Saud, S., Hassan, S., Tanveer, M., Huang, J., et al. (2016d). A combined application of biochar and phosphorus alleviates heat-induced adversities on physiological, agronomical and quality attributes of rice. *Plant. Physiol. Biochem.* 103, 191–198. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.03.001
- Fahad, S., Hussain, S., Saud, S., Khan, F., Hassan, S., Amanullah, et al. (2016b). Exogenously applied plant growth regulators affect heat-stressed rice pollens. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 202, 139–150. doi: 10.1111/jac.12148
- Fahad, S., Hussain, S., Saud, S., Tanveer, M., Bajwa, A. A., Huang, J., et al. (2015a). A biochar application protects rice pollen from high-temperature stress. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 96, 281–287. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2015.08.009
- Fahad, S., Nie, L., Chen, Y., Wu, C., Xiong, D., Huang, J., et al. (2015b). Crop plant hormones and environmental stress. Sustain. Agric. Rev. 15, 371–400. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-09132-7_10
- Gbetibouo, G., and Hassan, R. (2005). Economic impact of climate change on major South African field crops: a ricardian approach. *Glob. Planet. Change* 47, 143–152. doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.10.009
- Hoogenboom, G. (2000). Contribution of agro meteorology to the simulation of crop production and its applications. *Agric. Forest. Meteorol.* 103, 137–157. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1923(00)00108-8
- Huang, Y., Gao, L., Jin, Z., and Chen, H. (1998). Simulating the optimal growing season of rice in the Yangtze River Valley and its adjacent area, China. Agr. Forest. Meteorol. 91, 251–262. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1923(98)00075-6
- Hulskamp, M., Kopczak, S. D., Horejsi, T. F., Kihl, B. K., and Pruitt, R. E. (1995). Identifi cation of genes required for pollen-stigma recognition in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J*. 8, 703–714.
- IPCC. (2001). "Climate change 2001: the scientific basis," in *Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- IPCC. (2007). "Climate change 2007: the physical science basis," in: *Contribution* of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Jagadish, S. V. K., Craufurd, P. Q., and Wheeler, T. R. (2007). High temperature stress and spikelet fertility in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Exp. Bot.* 58, 1627–1635. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm003
- Jagadish, S. V. K., Muthurajan, R., Oane, R., Wheeler, T. R., Heuer, S., Bennett, J., et al. (2010). Physiological and proteomic approaches to address heat tolerance during anthesis in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). J. Exp. Bot. 61, 143–156. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp289
- Julia, C., and Dingkuhn, M. (2013). Predicting temperature induced sterility of rice spikelets requires simulation of crop-generated microclimate. *Eur. J. Agron.* 49, 50–60. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2013.03.006

- Kim, H. Y., Horie, T., Nakagawa, H., and Wada, K. (1996). Effects of elevated CO2 concentration and high temperature on growth and yield of rice, I: the effect on development, dry matter production and some growth characteristics. *Jpn. J. Crop Sci.* 65, 634–643. doi: 10.1626/jcs.65.634
- Kimball, B. A., and Conley, M. M. (2009). Infrared heater arrays for warming field plots scaled up to 5-m diameter. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 149, 721–724. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.09.015
- Kimball, B. A., Conley, M. M., Wang, S., Liu, X., Luo, C., Smith, D., et al. (2008). Infrared heater arrays for warming ecosystem field plots. *Global. Change Biol.* 14, 309–320. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01486.x
- Lal, R. Stewart, B., Uphoff, N., and Hansen, D. O. (2005). "Climate change, soil carbon dynamics, and global food security," in *Climate Change and Global Food Security*, eds (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press), 113–143. doi: 10.1201/9781420028 614.ch5
- Lele, U. (2010). Food security for a billion poor. Science 326:1554. doi: 10.1126/science.1189247
- Li, C. Y., Peng, C. H., Zhao, Q. B., Xie, P., and Chen, W. (2004). Characteristic analysis of the abnormal high temperature in 2003s mid-summer in Wuhan City. *Nat. Sci.* 38, 379–381.
- Li, X., Qian, Q., Fu, Z., Wang, Y., Xiong, G., Zeng, D., et al. (2003). Control of tillering in rice. *Nature* 422:618. doi: 10.1038/nature01518
- Lobell, D. B. (2007). Changes in diurnal temperature range and national cereal yields. Agric. For. Meteorol. 145, 229–238. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.05.002
- LÜ, C. G., and Zou, J. S. (2016). Theory and practice on breeding of two-line hybrid rice, Liangyoupeijiu. Sci. Agric. Sin. 49, 1635–1645. doi: 10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2016.09.001
- Matsui, T. (2009). Floret sterility induced by high temperatures at the flowering stage in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Jap. J. Crop Sci.* 78, 303–311. doi: 10.1626/jcs.78.303
- Matsui, T., Kobayashi, K., Yoshimota, M., and Hasegawa, T. (2007). Stability of rice pollination in the field under hot and dry conditions in the river in a region of New South Wales, Australia. *Plant Prod. Sci.* 10, 57–63. doi: 10.1626/ pps.10.57
- Matsui, T., Namuco, O. S., Ziska, L. H., and Horie, T. (1997a). Effects of high temperature and CO2 concentration on spikelet sterility in Indica rice. *Field Crop Res.* 51, 213–219.
- Matsui, T., Omasa, K., and Horie, T. (1997b). High temperature-induced spikelet sterility of japonica rice at flowering in relation to air temperature, humidity and wind velocity condition. *Jap. J. Crop Sci.* 66, 449–455.
- Matsui, T., Omasa, K., and Horie, T. (2001). The difference in sterility due to high temperature during the flowering period among japonica-rice varieties. *Plant Prod. Sci.* 4, 90–93. doi: 10.1626/pps.4.90
- Mohammed, A. R., and Tarpley, L. (2009). High nighttime temperatures affect rice productivity through altered pollen germination and spikelet fertility. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 149, 999–1008. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.12.003
- Mohammed, A. R., and Tarpley, L. (2010). Effects of high night temperature and spikelet position on yield-related parameters of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) plants. *Eur. J. Agron.* 33, 117–123. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2009.11.006
- Morita, S. (2008). Prospect for developing measures to prevent high temperature damage to rice grain ripening. *Jap. J. Crop. Sci.* 77, 1–12. doi: 10.1626/jcs.77.1
- Morita, S., Yonemaru, J., and Takanashi, J. (2005). Grain growth and endosperm cell size under high night temperatures in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Ann. Bot. Lond.* 95, 695–701. doi: 10.1093/aob/mci071
- Nijs, I., Kockelbergh, F., Teughels, H., Blum, H., Hendrey, G., and Impens, I. (1996). Free air temperature increase (FATI): a new tool to study global warming effects on plants in the field. *Plant Cell Environ.* 19, 495–502. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.1996.tb00343.x
- Ohe, I., Saitoh, K., and Kuroda, T. (2007). Effects of high temperature on growth, yield and dry-matter production of rice grown in the paddy field. *Plant Prod. Sci.* 10, 412–422. doi: 10.1626/pps.10.412
- Peet, M. M., Sato, S., and Gardner, R. G. (1998). Comparing heat stress effects on male-fertile and male-sterile tomatoes. *Plant Cell Environ.* 21, 225–231. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3040.1998.00281.x
- Peng, S., Huang, J., Sheehy, J. E., Laza, R. C., Visperas, R. M., Zhong, X., et al. (2004). Rice yield declines with higher night temperature from global warming. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 9971–9975. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0403720101
- Peng, S., Tang, Q., and Zou, Y. (2009). Current status and challenges of rice production in China. *Plant Prod. Sci.* 12, 1–6. doi: 10.1626/pps.12.3

- Porter, J. R., and Gawith, M. (1999). Temperatures and the growth and development of wheat: a review. *Eur. J. Agron.* 10, 23–36. doi: 10.1016/S1161-0301(98)00047-1
- Prasad, P. V. V., Boote, K. J., Allen, L. H. Jr., Sheehy, J. E., and Thomas, J. M. G. (2006a). Species, ecotype and cultivar differences in spikelet fertility and harvest index of rice in response to high temperature stress. *Field Crops Res.* 95, 398–411. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2005.04.008
- Preuss, D., Lemieux, B., Yen, G., and Davis, R. W. (1993). A conditional sterile mutation eliminates surface components from Arabidopsis pollen and disrupts cell signaling during fertilization. *Genes Dev.* 7, 974–985.
- Price, C., Michaelides, S., Pashiardis, S., and Alpert, P. (1999). Long term changes in diurnal temperature range in Cyprus. Atmos. Res. 51, 85–98. doi: 10.1016/S0169-8095(99)00022-8
- Schlenker, W., and Roberts, M. J. (2009). Nonlinear temperature effects indicate severe damages to U.S. crop yields under climate change. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 106, 15594–15598. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0906865106
- Shah, F., Nie, L., Cui, K., Shah, T., Wu, W., Huang, J., et al. (2014). Rice grain yield and component responses to near 2°C of warming. *Field Crops Res.* 157, 98–110. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2013.12.014
- Tian, X. H., Matsui, T., Li, S. H., Yoshimoto, M., Kobayasi, K., and Hasegawa, T. (2010). Heat-induced floret sterility of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars under humid and low wind conditions in the field of Jianghan basin China. *Plant Prod. Sci. U.S.A.* 13, 243–251. doi: 10.1626/pps.13.243
- Wan, S., Luo, Y., and Wallace, L. L. (2002). Changes in microclimate induced by experimental warming and clipping in tallgrass prairie. *Glob. Change Biol.* 8, 754–768. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2002.00510.x
- Wang, E., Wang, J., Zhu, X., Hao, W., Wang, L., and Li, Q., et al. (2008). Control of rice grain-filling and yield by a gene with a potential signature of domestication. *Nat. Genet.* 40, 1370–1374. doi: 10.1038/ng.220
- Wang, Z., Zou, Y., Li, X., Zhang, Q., Chen, L., and Wu, H., et al. (2006). Cytoplasmic male sterility of rice with boro ii cytoplasm is caused by a cytotoxic peptide and is restored by two related ppr motif genes via distinct modes of mrna silencing. *Plant Cell.* 18:676. doi: 10.1105/tpc.105. 038240
- Wassmann, R., Jagadish, S. V. K., Sumfleth, K., Pathak, H., Howell, G., and Ismail, A., et al. (2009). Chapter 3: regional vulnerability of climate change impacts

on asian rice production and scope for adaptation. *Adv. Agron.* 102, 91–133. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2113(09)01003-7

- Weerakoon, W. M. W., Maruyama, A., and Ohba, K. (2008). Impact of humidity on temperature-induced grain sterility in rice (*Oryza sativa* L). J. Agron. Crop. Sci. 194, 135–140. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-037X.2008.00293.x
- Wu, L., Shen, Y., Huang, L., Fan, S., Zhu, G., and Li, G., et al. (2016). Physiological mechanisms underlying the high-grain yield and high-nitrogen use efficiency of elite rice varieties under a low rate of nitrogen application in china. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:1024. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01024
- Xie, H. A. (1997). "Shanyou63", The variety with the largest cultivated area in China-? The main agronomic and biological trais. J. Fujian Aca. Agri. Sci. 12, 1–6.
- Yoshida, S., Satake, T., and Mackill, D. (1981). *High Temperature Stress*. IRRI Research Paper Series, No. 67. International Rice Research Institute, 1–15.
- Zhao, B. H., Wang, B., Zhang, H. X., Zhu, Q. S., and Yang, J. C. (2006). Analysis on source-sink and g rain-filling characteristics of two-line hybrid rice Yang liangyou6. *Chin. J. Rice Sci.* 20, 65–72. doi: 10.16819/j.1001-7216.2006.01.012
- Zinn, K. E., Ozdemir, M. T., and Harper, J. F. (2010). Temperature stress and plant sexual reproduction: uncovering the weakest links. J. Exp. Bot. 61, 1959–1968. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq053
- Zou, J., Liu, A. L., Chen, X. B., Zhou, X. Y., Gao, G. F., Zhang, X. W., et al. (2009). Expression analysis of nine rice heat shock protein genes under abiotic stresses and ABA treatment. J. Plant Physiol. 166, 851–861. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2008.11.007

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Yang, Zhang, Zhang, Fahad, Cui, Nie, Peng and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.