



QTLs Analysis and Validation for Fiber Quality Traits Using Maternal Backcross Population in Upland Cotton

Lingling Ma¹, Yanpeng Zhao¹, Yumei Wang², Lianguang Shang¹ and Jinping Hua^{1*}

¹ Laboratory of Cotton Genetics, Genomics and Breeding, College of Agronomy and Biotechnology/Beijing Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement/Key Laboratory of Crop Heterosis and Utilization of Ministry of Education, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China, ² Department of Cotton Breeding, Institute of Cash Crops, Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Wuhan, China

Cotton fiber is renewable natural fiber source for textile. Improving fiber quality is an

essential goal for cotton breeding project. In present study, F_{14} recombinant inbred line (RIL) population was backcrossed by the maternal parent to obtain a backcross (BC) population, derived from one Upland cotton hybrid. Three repetitive field trials were performed by randomized complete block design with two replicates in three locations in 2015, together with the BC population, common male parent and the RIL population. Totally, 26 QTLs in BC population explained 5.00–14.17% of phenotype variation (PV) and 37 quantitative trait loci (QTL) were detected in RIL population explaining 5.13–34.00% of PV. Seven common QTLs detected simultaneously in two populations explained PV from 7.69 to 23.05%. A total of 20 QTLs in present study verified the previous results across three environments in 2012. Particularly, *qFL-Chr5-2* controlling fiber length on chromosome 5 explained 34.00% of PV, while *qFL-Chr5-3* only within a 0.8 cM interval explained 13.93% of PV on average in multiple environments. These stable QTLs explaining great variation offered essential information for marker-assisted selection (MAS) to improve fiber quality traits. Lots of epistasis being detected in both populations acted as one of important genetic compositions of fiber quality traits.

Keywords: fiber quality, stable QTL, backcross population, Upland cotton, epistasis detection

INTRODUCTION

Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is one of the most important fiber crops, which accounts for more than 92% of cultivated cotton worldwide because of its higher yield potential, and adaptation to diverse environments (Zhang T. et al., 2015). But fiber quality of Upland cotton is not as good as that of Sea Island cotton (*G. barbadense* L.). Because of the fiber quality traits are quantitative traits and highly affect by genetic background and growing environment. Therefore, it is an important target to improve fiber quality in cotton breeding programs.

Recombinant inbred line (RIL) population has been considered as a permanent mapping population, in which the number of homozygous alleles could be used to dissect the additive effect of allele(s). The backcross (BC) population deriving from RIL population by test cross or back cross, is suitable to be used to identify QTLs, to detect the dominant effect, and to carry out multiple trials across multi-environments and repeat observations, similarly to some extent to "immortalized" F_2

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Sergio J. Ochatt, UMR 1347 Agroécologie (INRA), France

Reviewed by:

Aladdin Hamwieh, International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas, Egypt Hamid Khazaei, University of Saskatchewan, Canada Jinjin Jiang, Yangzhou University, China

*Correspondence:

Jinping Hua jinping_hua@cau.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Breeding, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 06 August 2017 Accepted: 11 December 2017 Published: 21 December 2017

Citation:

Ma L, Zhao Y, Wang Y, Shang L and Hua J (2017) QTLs Analysis and Validation for Fiber Quality Traits Using Maternal Backcross Population in Upland Cotton. Front. Plant Sci. 8:2168. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.02168

1

population (Hua et al., 2002, 2003; Mei et al., 2005; Shang et al., 2016a). Several studies had been performed in other crops in yield and yield-component traits using BCF_1 segregation populations based on RIL populations (Xiao et al., 1995; Mei et al., 2005; Larièpe et al., 2012).

Some interspecific BC_1F_1 populations were developed from G. hirsutum \times G. barbadense for fiber quality traits QTL mapping in cotton (Said et al., 2015). For example, seven QTLs related to fiber length and fiber strength were detected using BCF1 segregating population deriving from Guazuncho 2 × VH8-4602 (Lacape et al., 2005), 44 QTLs for fiber guality traits were detected using (CCRI-8 \times Pima 90-53) \times CCRI-8 BCF₁ interspecific population, especially including four QTLs locating on chromosome (Chr) 5 (Yang et al., 2015). A meta-analysis including 728 QTLs for fiber quality traits showed that QTL-rich regions on Chr 5, Chr 7, Chr 14, Chr 19, Chr 21, and Chr 26 were related to fiber length, fiber strength and Micronaire, and 11 QTLs for fiber length and fiber strength were distributed on Chr 21, respectively (Said et al., 2013). The majority of QTLs have also been mapped using intra-species RIL populations of Upland cotton (Wang et al., 2006; Shen et al., 2007; Wan et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2012; Ning et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2014; Shang et al., 2015, 2016a,b,c; Tang et al., 2015; Zhang J. et al., 2015; Zhang Z. et al., 2015; Jamshed et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016). Recently, intraspecific BCF₁ populations in Upland cotton were constructed to map QTLs based on backcross of RIL population to the parental lines, respectively (Shang et al., 2016a; Wang et al., 2016). Shang et al. (2016d) detected 62 stable QTLs related to fiber quality traits in F₉ RIL populations and their maternal BCF1 progenies. Wang et al. (2016) used two parental BCF₁ populations and mapped four, one and three stable QTLs in more than one environment(s) or population(s) for fiber length, fiber strength, and Micronaire, respectively.

The genome (2.5 Gb) of allotetraploid Upland cotton harbors 26 chromosomes and displays asymmetric evolution between the A subgenome (A01-A13) and the D subgenome (D01-D13) (Zhang T. et al., 2015). The rapid development of genome sequencing technology facilitated the availability of cotton genomic data of diploid species A2 and D5 (Paterson et al., 2012; Wang K. et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014), and tetraploid genomes (AD)₁ and (AD)₂ (Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2015; Zhang T. et al., 2015), which provided new insights to the development of molecular markers; from microsatellite (SSR) markers to single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers and other valuable resources in breeding projects. Recently, a genetic map with 2618 SNP loci was constructed by genotyping with cotton 63K SNP array (Hulse-kemp et al., 2015). Nine stable QTLs related to fiber quality traits across two environments were detected, and one fiber length hotspot on Chr 5 carrying three QTLs was observed (Li et al., 2016). Four potential candidate genes for fiber length on Chr Dt7 were found using a total of 81,675 SNP markers genotyping by sequencing through genome-wide association studies (GWAS) (Su et al., 2016). The development of genome re-sequencing researches offered new insights to cotton genome (Fang et al., 2017; Wang M. et al., 2017). It is necessary to verify QTLs accurately under maximum environments and more segregation populations, especially stable QTLs across multiple environments. Because of the importance of cotton fiber quality, it is essential to dissect the genetic mechanism of fiber quality on Upland cotton using diverse design populations, including intraspecific BCF₁ segregation population.

In our previous study, segregating populations including F_{2:3}, F_{2:4}, RIL, and BCF₁ population derived from the same hybrid "Xinza 1" had been developed and used to dissect the genetic effects for fiber quality traits (Liang et al., 2013; Shang et al., 2015, 2016d) and yield-related traits (Liang et al., 2015; Shang et al., 2016a,b,c). Markers of MUSS193-Gh388 flanking the common qFL-Chr5-2 could be further validated its role in markers assisted selection (MAS), which controlled fiber length on Chr 5 and explained 20.12 and 14.77% of PV across two locations (Liang et al., 2013). In addition, the region of SWU15194-HAU190 on Chr 9 was detected for Micronaire by two times and explained highly to 25.93% of PV; another region of PGML1917-SWU17715 on Chr 5 increased 0.29 mm fiber length with 21.09% of phenotypic variance explained (PVE); Region of NAU4034-SWU17713 on Chr 5 controlled fiber length, fiber strength, and fiber elongation at the same time, in which, a stable QTL namely qFE-Chr5-1 explained 20.41% of PV (Shang et al., 2016d). In order to resolve genetic components related fiber quality traits and reveal the genetic mechanism more details, we further developed BCF1 progenies population based on RIL population by testcrossing with the maternal parent and attempted to investigate QTLs in fiber quality traits in both RIL and BCF₁ populations across three environments in present study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Population Development

The F_{14} RIL population derived from the Upland cotton hybrid "Xinza 1" (GX 1135 × GX 100-2) was employed, which was developed by single seed descent method (Shang et al., 2016a). Two experimental populations were deduced based on the design: (1) backcross population, comprising 177 BCF₁ progenies, was developed by testcrossing 177 F₁₄ RILs with maternal parent GX1135, respectively. (2) RIL population, including 177 lines of F₁₅ generations.

The control set (GX1135, "Xinza 1" F₁, GX100-2, and a variety used as competition control) was performed in three field trials. The competition control in Yellow River Region (2015E1 and 2015E2, see details below) was a commercial hybrid "Ruiza 816" F₁ by cross of 087 \times 884, another in Yangtze River Region (2015E3, see detail below) was a commercial hybrid "Ezamian 10" F₁ by cross of Tai 96167 \times (GK19 chosen by Tai D-3).

Abbreviations: BC, Backcross population; Chr, Chromosome; CIM, Composite interval mapping; FE, Fiber elongation; FL, Fiber length; FM, Fiber micronaire; FS, Fiber strength; FU, Fiber uniformity; ICIM, Inclusive composite interval mapping; LOD, Likelihood of odd score; QTL, Quantitative trait loci; PV(E), Phenotypic variance (explained); RIL, Recombinant inbred line population.

Field Experiment and Trait Evaluation

Three field trials based on RIL population and maternal BC population were conducted in 2015E1, 2015E2, and 2015E3, E1: Quzhou Experimental Station of China Agricultural University, Handan City, Hebei Province (36°78'N, 114°92'E) in Yellow River valley; E2: Guoxin Seed Company Ltd, Cangzhou City, Hebei Province (38°43'N, 116°09'E) in Yellow River valley; E3: Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Wuhan City, Hubei Province (30°29'N, 114°19'E) in Yangtze valley. In addition, previous study in 2012 performed three same trials in E1 (2012E1) in Handan City, E2 (2012E2) in Cangzhou City, and E4 (2012E4) in Xiangyang City, Hubei Province (30°18'N, 112°15'E) (Shang et al., 2016a,d).

Seedlings were cultured on May 14th and May 15th (E1) and on April 19th, 2015 (E3). The transplanting was on June 12th $(20-37^{\circ}C)$ and June 13th $(20-34^{\circ}C)$, 2015 (E1), and on May 9th $(17-28^{\circ}C)$ and May 10th $(15-29^{\circ}C)$, 2015 (E3), respectively. Seeds were directly sowed on April 25th and 26th $(17-31^{\circ}C)$, 2015 (E2). The climate and weather in 2015 (E1, E2, and E3) was regular during cotton growth season, excepting that one trial encountered hailstone one June 11th, 2015 (E2). Since all the experiment materials were under the identical environment so we strengthened field managements in time to recover the seedling development after hailstone disaster (2015E2) so as to obtain the data sets. The flowering time had been postponed 6 weeks in that environment comparing with normal.

The field trials were planted following a randomized complete block design with two replications each trial, which included 904 plots with two rows per plot (22 individuals each). For one replication, 177 BCF₁ progenies were inter-planted in the middle of 177 RI Lines as their maternal parents and the common testing-male parent GX1135 (original maternal parent), together with two control sets (GX1135, "Xinza 1" F₁, GX100-2, control: "Ruiza816" F₁ at E1 and E2, or "Ezamian 10" F₁ at E3 and E4). Two-row plots were 90 and 50 cm row spacing alternately for the experiment in E1 and E2, and two-row plots in E3 were 100 and 50 cm row spacing alternately. One plot was on the length of 3.0, 3.0, and 2.4 m respectively at 2015E1, 2015E2, and 2015E3, following 0.7 m pavement apart. Field management followed the local conventional standard field practices.

Twenty-five naturally opening bolls in the middle of plants were hand-harvested for each plot at mature stage in three environments, respectively. Fiber samples were ginned and sampled for measurements of fiber quality traits with HVI 900 instrument (USTER_ HVISPECTRUM, SPINLAB, USA) at Cotton Fiber Quality Inspection and Test Center of Ministry of Agriculture (Anyang, China). The fiber quality traits included fiber length (mm), fiber uniformity (%), fiber strength (cN/tex), fiber elongation, and Micronaire. The total sample numbers were 892, 904, and 884 at 2015E1, 2015E2, and 2015E3, respectively.

Genetic Map and Data Analysis

The genetic map based on RIL population published before by screening polymorphic loci between two parents using 48,836 pairs of SSR primer (Shang et al., 2016d). The source of the SSR markers contained types of BNL, NAU, TM, JESPER, CIR, HAU, CM, MUSS, MUSB, MUCS, SWU, PGML, and CAU from *Gossypium*, which can be obtained from Cotton Microsatellite Database (http://www.cottonmarker.org) and CottonGen Database (https://www.cottongen.org) (Liang et al., 2013; Shang et al., 2016d). A total of 623 loci distributed on 31 linkage groups and anchored on 26 chromosomes, covering 3889.9 cM (88.20%) of cotton genome with average interval of 6.2 cM. The genotype for each maternal BCF₁ was deduced on the basis of the RIL genotype used as the parent for testcross (Shang et al., 2016a,b,c).

Basic statistical analysis was implemented by the software SPSS (Version 19.0, SPSS, Chicago). The software QTL Cartographer (Version 2.5) was used to map single-locus QTL and to estimate the genetic effect (Zeng, 1994; Wang S. et al., 2012). The composite interval mapping (CIM) method was chosen for QTL mapping in the confidence interval of 95%. The precision selected 1.0 cM walk speed. The threshold of LOD 3.0 was used to declare a suggestive QTL after performing a 1,000 permutation test, whereas QTLs in another environment or population with at least LOD 2.5 were considered as common QTL (Liang et al., 2013; Wójcik-Jagła et al., 2013; Shang et al., 2015, 2016d). According to the position linked and shared common markers, QTLs detected in different populations were regarded as common QTL (Shao et al., 2014). The QTL IciMapping 4.1 (www.isbreeding.net) was conducted by the two-locus analysis using inclusive composite interval mapping (ICIM) method. A threshold LOD 2.5 and LOD 5 scores were used to declare significant M-QTL and E-QTLs, respectively. Mapping by IciMapping 4.1, the main-effect QTL (M-QTL) and its environmental interaction (QTL \times environment, QE), epistatic QTLs (E-QTLs), and its environmental interactions $(QTLs \times environment, QQE)$ were conducted using RIL and BC datasets under three environments.

RESULTS

Trait Performance of Parents and Two Populations

The performances of "original" maternal parent "GX1135" were superior to those of "original" male parent "GX100-2" for fiber length (FL) in three locations, as well as for fiber uniformity (FU), fiber strength (FS), and fiber elongation (FE) in 2015E2 and 2015E3 (Table 1). On the contrary, performance of GX100-2 was superior to performance of GX1135 for Micronaire (FM) in three environments. Phenotype performance of five fiber quality traits varied in three environments such as that fiber quality in 2015E1 was always inferior to fiber quality in 2015E2 and 2015E3 (Table 1). It attributed to the diverse photo-thermal conditions, fertilizer application and growth regulators over the development stages in diverse environments. "Xinza 1" displayed -0.63% midparent heterosis (MPH, %) on average for five fiber quality traits (Table 1) and MPH (%) in BC population ranged from 0.08 to 2.91% among 177 BCF_{1S} , in accordance with the previous study (Tables S1, S7) (Shang et al., 2016d). The result demonstrated that there was no significant hybrid vigor for fiber quality traits.

In RIL and BC populations, genotype variance and environment variance for five traits showed significant at

Trait	Env. ^a	Env. ^a BC ^b				RIL	Par	ents				
		Mean	Range	CV%℃	Mean	Range	CV%	ę	ਾ	Xinza 1	MPH% ^d	CKe
Fiber length (mm)	2015E1	29.51	27.20-31.80	2.88	29.42	27.10-32.70	3.52	28.38	28.27	27.93	-1.39	29.40
	2015E2	31.01	28.85–33.20	2.37	30.85	28.05–33.45	3.53	30.63	30.00	30.88	1.86	31.18
	2015E3	30.91	29.10-33.20	2.51	30.77	27.85–34.05	3.53	30.30	29.85	29.73	-1.15	30.53
Fiber uniformity	2015E1	84.19	81.45-86.35	1.19	84.02	80.60-86.55	1.19	83.58	85.30	84.43	-0.01	84.93
	2015E2	85.99	83.45-87.85	0.78	85.81	83.55–87.40	0.89	86.30	85.73	86.25	0.27	86.08
	2015E3	85.09	82.30-87.05	0.94	84.95	82.15-87.00	1.08	86.50	85.48	86.00	0.01	85.70
Fiber strength (cN/tex)	2015E1	30.04	26.80–34.30	4.28	30.12	26.15–33.85	5.60	29.68	30.47	28.25	-6.07	30.67
	2015E2	30.43	27.80-34.20	3.32	30.49	27.05–36.20	4.74	30.45	29.57	30.05	0.13	31.93
	2015E3	31.23	28.15–33.80	3.84	31.18	27.10-35.60	5.24	30.20	30.18	29.45	-2.45	29.70
Fiber elongation	2015E1	6.96	6.70–7.20	1.18	6.94	6.65–7.25	1.63	6.80	6.93	6.88	0.22	6.87
	2015E2	6.98	6.80-7.20	0.94	6.96	6.70-7.15	1.21	6.98	6.93	7.00	0.65	6.98
	2015E3	7.02	6.75–7.20	1.21	7.00	6.70–7.30	1.56	6.98	6.95	6.88	-1.22	6.90
Micronaire	2015E1	4.79	3.80–5.50	6.29	4.62	3.40-5.40	8.35	4.90	4.53	4.98	5.62	5.07
	2015E2	4.91	4.10-5.70	5.69	4.85	3.95–5.80	7.31	5.15	4.87	4.78	-4.59	5.28
	2015E3	5.47	4.90-5.90	3.23	5.39	4.50–5.95	5.33	5.58	5.53	5.48	-1.35	5.40

TABLE 1 | Summary statistics on fiber quality trait data of RIL and BC populations in three environments.

^a Environment in 2015, E1, Handan, E2, Cangzhou, E3, Wuhan. ^bRIL, the recombinant inbred line population; BC, the maternal backcross population. ^cCoefficient of variation. ^dMid-parent heterosis (%). ^eCompetition control of "Ruiza 816" in 2015E1 and 2015E2 and "Ezamian 10" in 2015E3. Hereinafter same.

level of 0.01 except FU in BC population (Table 2). Then, coefficient of variation (CV) ranged from 2.37 to 8.35% for FL, FS and FM in both populations. And, lighter phenotypic variation presented with 0.78-1.63% of CV for FU and FE in both populations. For five fiber quality traits, the majority of mean values in BC population were bigger than mean values in RIL population (Table 2). It indicated that phenotype performance decided by the performance of both parents. Heritability decreased from 0.93 in RIL population to 0.81 in BC population for fiber length and similar tendency between both populations was observed for other four traits. The results indicated that environment affected stronger for phenotype in BC population than that in RIL population. Taken together, wider ranges, bigger CV, and higher heritability for five fiber quality traits were observed in RIL population than that in BC population (Tables 1, 2).

Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis on five fiber quality traits were performed using the mean phenotypic values both in RIL and BC populations in 2015E1, 2015E2, and 2015E3, respectively (**Table 3**). For fiber length, the significant and positive correlation existed with fiber strength and fiber elongation, but negatively with Micronaire in three locations in RIL and BC populations. Fiber strength displayed positive correlation with fiber elongation but negative correlation with Micronaire. The correlation showed significant and positive between fiber uniformity and fiber strength in RIL population, as well as between fiber uniformity and fiber elongation. The results showed similar tendency among five fiber quality trait in comparison with previous results (Liang et al., 2013; Shang et al., 2015, 2016d). In BC population, fiber uniformity positively correlated with fiber elongation.

Single Locus QTL Analysis

In three field trials, a total of 55 QTLs were detected in two corresponding populations at 2015E1, 2015E2, and 2015E3, with 5.00–34.00% of phenotypic variance explained (PVE) (**Figure 1**, **Table 4**). In our previous study, 71 QTLs were detected in the same maternal BC and same RIL population for fiber quality traits in 2012E1, 2012E2, and 2012E4 (Shang et al., 2016d). Here, 20 QTLs appearing both in 2015 in this study and in 2012 in previous study were showed in Table S2. These QTLs detected repeatedly in both years, were anchored on 21 chromosomes, respectively.

For fiber length, six and 9 QTLs were identified in the BC and the RIL populations, respectively (Figure 1, Table 4). Of these, six QTLs showed positive additive effects originated from GX1135 alleles and three QTLs increased fiber length through offering alleles by GX100-2 in RIL population. Five QTLs (45.45%) were distributed on Chr 5. Four stable QTLs, qFL-Chr5-1, qFL-Chr5-2, qFL-Chr5-3, and qFL-Chr5-4, were simultaneously identified in the RIL and BC populations across multiple environments. The *qFL-Chr5-2* explained 14.07–34.00% of phenotypic variance (PV, hereinafter same). Nine (82.82%) QTLs, located on Chr 2, Chr 5, Chr 9, and Chr 11, were verified the previous results in 2012 (Table S2). The qFL-Chr5-3 explained highly to 19.63% of PV under multiple environments in both populations, within a 0.8 cM interval flanked by SSR markers Gh388 and SWU17713. In recent study, one fine mapping QTL named qFL-Chr1 was most likely located in a 0.9 cM interval flanked by the SSR

		BC		RIL		
Trait	Source of variation ^a	MS [†]	Н^{2§}	MS	H ²	
Fiber length	G	1.97**	0.81	5.16**	0.93	
	E	239.50**		210.47**		
	G×E	0.84		0.78		
	Error	1.04		0.89		
Fiber uniformity	G	1.51	0.70	2.26**	0.78	
	E	273.51**		261.09**		
	G×E	1.27		1.20		
	Error	1.31		1.41		
Fiber strength	G	4.66**	0.84	10.73**	0.92	
	E	125.46**		95.49**		
	G×E	1.67		1.94		
	Error	2.05		2.10		
Fiber elongation	G	0.87**	0.78	0.87**	0.90	
	E	49.15**		48.80**		
	G×E	0.67		0.20		
	Error	0.63		0.17		
Micronaire	G	11.63**	0.80	30.95**	0.84	
	E	202.39**		245.78**		
	G×E	3.73		4.84		
	Error	3.41		4.74		

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TABLE 2}}\xspace$] ANOVA analysis and heritability for fiber quality traits in BC and RIL populations.

^aG, Genotype; E, environment; G × E, genotype × environment. [†]Mean square. [§]Heritability.

** indicated the significance at 0.01 probability level, respectively.

markers MUSS422 and CIR018 (Xu et al., 2017). For five stable QTLs detected in both populations in present study, the values of genetic effects reduced in the BC population, while homozygotes became heterozygotes in each BCF₁ following backcrossing to GX1135. Ignoring the effect by environment, additive effects of homozygotes contributed more than dominant effects of heterozygotes for fiber length, such as that *qFL-Chr5-2* increased 0.61 mm for fiber length in 2015E1 in RIL population whereas it was 0.28 mm in 2015E2 and 0.29 mm in 2012E1 in BC population (Table S2).

Nine QTLs for fiber uniformity were identified. Of those, three QTLs (*qFU-Chr5-1*, *qFU-Chr5-2*, *qFU-Chr5-3*) were anchored on Chr 5, explained 9.90, 14.53, and 7.62% of PV, respectively. One stable QTL (*qFU-Chr11-1*) was detected at 2015E1 in maternal BC population and at 2015E3 in RIL population.

For fiber strength, a total of 12 QTLs were detected (**Table 4**). Four QTLs were detected at least in two environments and in 2 years (Table S2). One stable QTL (*qFS-Chr5-2*) was observed in two environments and both populations, explaining 5.13, 6.00, and 29.65% of PV, respectively. On Chr 5, the flanking markers of *qFS-Chr5-1* and *qFS-Chr5-2* were same to that of *qFL-Chr5-1* and *qFL-Chr5-3*, respectively, suggesting the pleiotropic effects on improving fiber quality in Upland cotton. One QTL near the two region increased 0.33 mm fiber length and 0.49 cN/tex fiber strength on average. The genetic effects of the QTLs from RI lines were stronger than that from the corresponding BCF_1s , whatever QTLs were detected on Chr 5 or on Chr 21. It indicated that additive QTLs played more important role in improving fiber length and fiber strength.

Eight and four QTLs for fiber elongation were identified in the RIL and BC populations, respectively. Two QTLs (*qFE-Chr5-1*, *qFE-Chr5-2*) were confirmed by previous result in 2012 under multiple environments and populations. Five QTLs explained PV over 10% involving in *qFE-Chr1-1*, *qFE-Chr4-2*, *qFE-Chr5-1*, *qFE-Chr5-2*, and *qFE-Chr17-1*. The phenotypic variation explaining by *qFE-Chr5-1* reached to 14.23% and *qFE-Chr4-2* explained 12.41% of PV (**Table 4**). We also observed a pleiotropic region (NAU4034-SWU17713) on Chr 5. Two stable QTLs (*qFE-Chr5-2*, *qFE-Chr24-1*) from RIL population were verified in BC population.

A total of 12 QTLs underlying Micronaire were located on 9 chromosomes (**Table 4**), in which five QTLs were detected repeatedly in 2012 (Table S2), and seven QTLs distributed on At-subgenome and five on Dt-subgenome, respectively. Two stable QTLs (*qFM-Chr14-1* and *qFM-Chr14-*2) were detected across two environments, explained 11.81 and 10.38% of PV on average, respectively. The *qFM-Chr9-1* flanking with SWU15194-HAU190 was validated a common QTL explaining highly to 25.93% in 2012E4 in the previous result (Table S2).

On summary, 37 QTLs existed in RIL population with on average 9.43% of PVE; and 26 QTLs in BC population with average 7.92% of PVE (Figure 1, Table 4). The number of QTLs in the RIL population was always more than that in the BC population, which showed similar tendency with the previous conclusion in 2012 (Shang et al., 2016d). In present study, a total of 10 stable QTLs were detected across two populations or in at least two environments. Of these, eight QTLs were detected in both populations: qFL-Chr5-1, qFL-Chr5-2, qFL-Chr5-3, qFL-Chr5-4, qFU-Chr11-1, qFS-Chr5-2, qFE-Chr24-1, and qFM-Chr14-1. A co-location between Gh388 (SWU17717, NAU4034) and TMB1296 (SWU17713) contained four QTLs (qFL-Chr5-3, qFS-Chr5-2, qFE-Chr5-1, qFU-Chr5-2) controlling fiber length, fiber strength, fiber elongation, and fiber uniformity together. The pleiotropic region was consistent with the region of qFL-Chr5-4, qFS-Chr5-2, qFE-Chr5-1 in previous study (Shang et al., 2016d).

QTLs by Environment Interactions and Digenic Interactions

Totally, 102 M-QTLs and environmental interactions (QTL \times environment, QE) were identified (**Table 5**, Tables S3–S6). Of these, 62 and 38 M-QTLs and QEs existed in the RIL population and its BC population, explained 0.13–5.95 and 0.12–7.61% of PV (Tables S3, S5), respectively. Environmental effect prevailed in both populations, but it affected M-QTLs in the BC population in a greater extent than that in RIL population. Then, 97 and 67 E-QTLs and their environmental interactions (digenic interactions \times environment, QQE) were respectively identified in RIL and BC populations (Tables S4, S6). The mean number of E-QTL and

Trait	Env.	Fiber	length	Fiber u	niformity	Fiber	strength	Fiber elo	ongation
		RIL	BC	RIL	BC	RIL	BC	RIL	BC
Fiber uniformity	2015E1	0.405**	0.394**						
	2015E2	0.201**	0.222**						
	2015E3	0.236**	0.105						
Fiber strength	2015E1	0.652**	0.622**	0.367**	0.252**				
	2015E2	0.715**	0.699**	0.162*	0.075				
	2015E3	0.754**	0.651**	0.287**	0.146				
Fiber elongation	2015E1	0.605**	0.578**	0.361**	0.258**	0.624**	0.617**		
	2015E2	0.615**	0.476**	0.343**	0.163*	0.517**	0.533**		
	2015E3	0.782**	0.813**	0.323**	0.152*	0.728**	0.736**		
Micronaire	2015E1	-0.211**	-0.403**	0.085	-0.022	-0.216**	-0.372**	0.071	-0.109
	2015E2	-0.378**	-0.334**	0.151*	0.049	-0.492**	-0.390**	-0.164*	0.047
	2015E3	-0.535**	-0.357**	0.010	-0.022	-0.421**	-0.276**	-0.235**	-0.206**

TABLE 3 | Correlation analysis between fiber quality traits in RIL population and its BC population.

*, ** indicated the significance at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

QQE was 19 with 3.02% of mean PV in RIL population while it was 13 with 3.50% of mean PV in BC population (**Table 5**). The total number of QTL in both types (62 M-QTLs and 97 E-QTLs) was larger in RIL population than the number of QTL (38 M-QTLs and 67 E-QTL) in BC population. To our surprise, E-QTLs contributed 3.48 and 3.85% of average PV for four traits except fiber uniformity in RIL and BC populations, respectively; whereas M-QTLs explained average PV of 2.41 and 2.42% in RIL and BC populations, respectively. At the same time, QTLs (M-QTLs and E-QTLs) explained 3.04% of PV for four traits on average in both populations whereas environment explained 0.47% of PV on average (**Table 5**). On the contrary, fiber uniformity displayed vulnerable to environment with 2.89% of average PV.

Here, 18 and seven main-effect QTLs (M-QTLs and QEs) participated in epistatic interactions (E-QTLs and QQE) in RIL and BC populations, respectively (**Table 6**, Tables S3–S6). Three types of epistasis were checked in RIL population: Type I, both loci were M-QTLs; Type II, either locus between two loci was M-QTL; Type III, both loci were no M-QTLs (Shang et al., 2016d). However, no epistatic QTL with Type I, 7 epistatic QTLs with Type II and 60 epistatic QTLs with Type III were observed in BC population (**Table 6**). Apparently, Type III was the most popular type of epistatic QTLs in both populations. These results indicated that the epistasis played vital role in improving fiber quality both in RIL and BC populations of Upland cotton. In previous study, epistatic QTLs with significant additive \times additive effects were identified for fiber quality traits (Wang B. et al., 2017).

DISCUSSION

For fiber quality traits, fiber length (FL), fiber strength (FS), and fiber elongation (FE) displayed higher heritability over 0.90 than 0.78 for fiber uniformity (FU) and 0.84 for Micronaire (FM) in RIL population (**Table 2**). Based on present research

and previous results (Shang et al., 2015, 2016c), the performance of "original" maternal parent "GX1135" was superior to those of "original" male parent "GX100-2" for FL, FS, and FE, which was accordance in the result of present study. Taken together, the experiment design was totally finished in six environments across 2 years and four locations (Table S7). Same competition control hybrid (CK) displayed 29.67 and 31.18 mm in 2012E2 and 2015E2, respectively, while "Xinza 1" showed 26.41 and 30.88 mm in 2012E2 and 2015E2, respectively. In addition, 26.96 and 26.53 mm of mean FL values were observed in BC and RIL populations in 2012E2, respectively, while they were 31.01 and 30.85 mm in 2015E2. The results indicated the data validity in present study because of similar variation in "Xinza 1," the same competition control hybrid and both populations after encountering hailstone disaster in 2015E2.

The identification of stable QTLs across multiple environments and populations plays an essential role in marker-assisted selection (MAS) (Jamshed et al., 2016). In present study, 10 stable QTLs were simultaneously identified in more than one environment or population, of which six stable QTLs focused on Chr 5 (**Table 4**). Eight QTLs verified each other in the RIL population and its BC progenies as we mentioned above. The results suggested that it is reasonable and effective to map QTLs using the RIL population together with its corresponding BC population across multiple environments and multiple years, which trials were executed in a total of six environments (in present study: 2015E1, 2015E2, 2015E3 and in previous study: 2012E1, 2012E2, 2012E4) by the experiment design using RIL population and its BC population (Shang et al., 2016d).

Twenty QTLs (36.36%) even including seven (70.00%) stable QTLs identified in 2015 were validated the previous result in three environments in 2012 (Shang et al., 2016a; see **Table 4**, Table S2). Ten of the 20 QTLs were verified each other in two





FIGURE 1 | Continued







SWU20246

CER0167

SWU20033

SWU20035

DPL0319

SWU20501a

SWU20064 SWU1259

HAU1378 SHIN0654



FIGURE 1 | Continued

61.1 -

71.2 -

81.9 -

110.0

114.6

114.7

133.8 ~





TABLE 4 | QTLs detected by single-locus analysis in RIL and BC populations.

Florfl	Traits	QTL	Env. ^a	Flanking markers			BC		RIL		
Fiber length gfilOm2.1 20152 OPL0217 SMU12025 2.87 0.20 0.00 2.72 0.30 0.28 5.65 gfilOffer.1 20162 SMU2020 POML1671 2.87 0.20 0.00 2.72 0.30 7.47 gfilOffer.1 SU152 MULR204 POML1671 2.87 0.20 0.00 2.72 0.30 7.47 gfilOffer.1 SU152 MULR204 POML1671 2.88 0.24 10.35 4.20 0.30 0.36 0.36 0.40 0.30 10.35 4.20 0.35 10.35 0.36 10.35 0.36 10.35 0.36 10.35 0.36 10.35 0.36 10.35 0.35 10.35 0.36 10.35 0.31 10.35						LOD	Effect value ^b	Var(%) ^c	LOD	Effect value	Var(%)
qFLC/hr.41 20162 SWU1981 NUL2701 2.87 0.20 0.00 qFL-Chr.51 20162 NUL0240 PGML1671 2.83 0.24 10.35 4.83 0.35 10.11 qFL-Chr.52 201681 NUL0240 PGML1671 2.83 0.24 10.35 4.83 0.35 10.11 qFL-Chr.52 201612 SWU17715 4.78 0.28 14.07 4.83 0.35 10.91 qFL-Chr.54 201612 SWU17713 HU1603 5.82 0.25 11.52	Fiber length	qFL-Chr2-1	2015E3	DPL0217	SWU12025				3.00	0.26	5.65
qfL-Chr1 20152 SMU2017 NU0240 SMU1671 2.8 0.24 10.35 7.47 20153 NU0240 FSMU1671 2.8 0.24 10.35 6.33 0.61 3.00 qfL-Chr.5-2 20156 FSMU17715 4.78 0.28 11.07 qfL-Chr.5-2 20156 GABB SMU17715 4.78 0.28 11.07 qfL-Chr.5-2 201562 GABB SMU17715 4.78 0.28 11.52 qfL-Chr.5-4 201562 GABB SMU17713 3.89 0.22 8.99 - qfL-Chr.5-4 201563 MUSS103 POLO22 3.08 0.29 8.39 qfL-Chr.5-4 201563 MUSS103 POLO22 3.08 0.29 7.13 qfL-Chr.5-4 201563 MUSS103 POLO22 3.08 0.10 -0.24 6.58 qfL-Chr.5-4 201563 MUSS103 POLO22 3.06 -2.24 0.25 11.16 Fber unlormi <td< td=""><td></td><td>qFL-Chr4-1</td><td>2015E3</td><td>SWU18881</td><td>NAU2701</td><td>2.87</td><td>0.20</td><td>6.09</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		qFL-Chr4-1	2015E3	SWU18881	NAU2701	2.87	0.20	6.09			
2016E NAUG20 PGML1671 2.83 0.24 10.25 qFL-Chr.52 2016E NAUG20 PGML1671 5.83 0.61 34.00 qFL-Chr.52 2016E PGML1917 SWU17715 4.78 0.28 14.07 qFL-Chr.53 2016E GRIBS SWU17715 3.89 0.22 1.92 0.47 16.33 0.36 10.05 qFL-Chr.54 2016E GRIM350 SWU17715 3.89 0.22 8.99		qFL-Chr5-1 [†]	2015E2	SWU20917	NAU6240				2.72	0.30	7.47
2016B NML820 PSML1671 4.78 0.28 10.11 qR-Chr6-2 2015E2 PGML1917 SWU17715 4.78 0.28 14.07 qR-Chr6-3 2015E1 GR38 SWU17715 4.78 0.28 14.07 QR-Chr6-4 2015E2 SWU17718 3.89 0.22 3.99 - QR-Chr6-5 2015E2 SWU17718 3.89 0.22 8.99 - QR-Chr6-5 2015E3 MUSS193 PGML4350 SWU17718 3.89 0.22 8.99 QR-Chr6-5 2015E3 MUSS193 PGML4350 SWU1791 3.89 0.22 8.99 QR-Chr6-5 2015E3 MUS200 GH1415 3.17 -0.33 10.33 QR-Chr6-5 2015E3 MUS200 PGML671 2.74 0.25 11.16 Rer unlomity QR-Chr6-1 2015E3 MUS20 PGML671 2.74 0.26 5.37 QR-Chr6-3 2015E1 MUS20 PGML975 2.52			2015E2	NAU6240	PGML1671	2.83	0.24	10.35			
qFL-Chr6-2 2015E1 PGML1917 SWU17715 4.78 0.28 14.07 qFL-Chr6-3 2015E2 GR386 SWU17713 1.02 0.47 19.63 2015E2 GR386 SWU17713 3.89 0.22 1.92 0.39 0.36 10.65 2015E2 SWU17713 3.89 0.22 8.39 - - - - - - - 3.89 0.22 8.39 - - - - - 3.89 0.22 8.39 - - - - - 3.89 0.22 8.39 - - - - - 3.89 0.23 17.13 - - - - - - - 3.89 -			2015E3	NAU6240	PGML1671				4.63	0.35	10.11
2015E POM.1917 SWU17715 4.78 0.28 14.07 qFL-Ord-3 2015E2 G1638 SWU17713 5.39 0.38 10.65 2015E2 SU17713 6.62 0.25 11.52 5.39 0.38 10.66 qFL-Ord-5 2015E3 MU17715 4.89 0.22 3.89 4.44 0.32 8.85 qFL-Ord-5 2015E3 MSR084 POM.450 SWU17715 4.89 0.22 8.44 0.32 8.85 qFL-Ord-5 2015E3 MSR084 POM.450 MU1816 3.17 -0.33 8.86 qFL-Ord-1 2015E2 SWU20200 GM141 2.76 0.25 11.16 0.22 6.61 FIber uniformity qFL-Ord-1 2015E2 JESP295 SWU1713 2.74 0.26 9.90 7.23 0.39 1.453 qFL-Ord-1 2015E2 JESP295 SWU1713 2.74 0.25 5.97 7.23 0.39 1.453 qFL-Ord-6-1<		qFL-Chr5-2	2015E1	PGML1917	SWU17715				6.83	0.61	34.00
qFL-Chr6-3 2016E1 Gr888 SWU17713 10.22 0.47 19.83 qFL-Chr6-4 2016E2 Gr888 SWU17713 1401603 5.62 0.25 11.52 qFL-Chr6-4 2016E2 SWU17713 1401603 5.62 0.25 11.52 qFL-Chr6-5 2016E3 SWU208138 PGML4360 SWU202 3.66 0.29 7.13 qFL-Chr6-5 2016E1 SWU2020 Gr144 3.17 -0.33 8.98 qFL-Chr1-2 2016E1 SWU2020 Gr144 3.60 -0.33 10.29 qFL-Chr1-2 2016E3 JENP225 SWU17713 4.025 3.60 -0.33 10.29 qFL-Chr5-2 2016E1 JENP225 SWU17713 4.026 9.90 -0.29 7.23 0.39 14.53 qFL-Chr5-2 2016E1 SWU17713 4.026 9.90 -0.29 7.21 -0.29 9.81 qFL-Chr5-2 2016E2 SWU17713 4.74 0.26 9.90 -			2015E2	PGML1917	SWU17715	4.78	0.28	14.07			
2015E2 GN388 SWU17713 5.39 0.36 10.65 qFL-Onr-5 2015E2 SWU17781 3.89 0.22 8.99 2015E3 MUSS193 PGML4360 SWU17781 3.89 0.22 8.99 qFL-Onr-5 2015E3 BER084 DEO022 3.60 0.29 7.13 qFL-Onr-1 2015E3 GR200 GH144 3.15 -0.05 5.61 qFL-Onr-1 2015E3 GR200 GH14915 3.10 -0.24 6.58 qFL-Onr-1 2015E1 GR300 PGML4342 SWU17780 3.60 -0.23 10.29 qFL-Onr-5 2015E3 MUB240 PGML4342 SWU17780 3.60 -0.24 6.58 qFL-Onr-5 2015E3 MUB240 PGML717 7.23 0.39 14.33 qFL-Onr-5 2015E3 NU13378 SWU17780 2.64 0.16 5.15 qFL-Onr-1 2015E3 NU13785 SWU17780 2.62 -0.23 5.00		qFL-Chr5-3	2015E1	Gh388	SWU17713				10.22	0.47	19.63
2016E2 SWU17778 HAU1003 5.62 0.25 11.52 qFL-Ohr5-1 2016E3 MUS8193 POML4500 SWU17778 3.89 0.22 8.99 2016E3 MUS8193 POML4500 3.66 0.29 7.13 qFL-Ohr5-5 2016E3 NEN0944 DFL0022 3.66 0.29 7.13 qFL-Ohr1-1 2016E3 ORD036 POML1915 3.60 -0.23 10.29 qFL-Ohr1-1 2016E3 SMU20260 Gh144 3.15 -0.25 11.16 Fiber uniformity qFL-Ohr5-1 2016E3 SMU17713 2.74 0.26 9.90 7.23 0.39 14.53 qFL-Ohr5-1 2016E1 SMU1378 SMU17718 3.96 -0.29 7.62 qFL-Ohr5-1 2016E1 NMU8248 GR256 2.62 -0.16 5.15 qFL-Ohr5-1 2016E1 SMU19738 SMU19785 2.62 -0.25 5.97 qFL-Ohr5-1 2016E2 SMU19738 SMU19748			2015E2	Gh388	SWU17713				5.39	0.36	10.65
qR1-Cm-5-4 2015E2 PGML380 SMU17781 3.89 0.22 8.99 qR1-Ohr5-2 2015E3 MUSS193 PGML4950 4.44 0.32 8.55 qR1-Ohr5-2 2015E3 MUSS193 PGML1916 3.17 -0.33 8.98 qR1-Ohr10-1 2015E1 GM202080 Gh144 3.16 -0.25 5.61 qR1-Ohr10-1 2015E3 GM202080 Gh144 3.60 -0.33 10.29 qR1-Ohr10-1 2015E3 MU6240 PGML1911 2.76 0.25 11.16 -0.24 6.58 qR1-Ohr5-1 2015E3 MU6240 PGML1971 2.74 0.26 9.90 7.23 0.39 14.53 qR1-Ohr5-1 2015E3 MU6240 PGML61671 2.74 0.26 5.97 7.23 0.39 14.53 qR1-Ohr6-1 2015E2 MU1738 SWU17718 3.86 -0.29 7.82 qR1-Ohr6-1 2015E2 SWU17305 DC30107 2.76 0.18 5.6			2015E2	SWU17713	HAU1603	5.62	0.25	11.52			
2016E3 MUSS193 PGML 4300 4.44 0.22 8.85 qF1-Chr/5-5 2016E3 NERI0694 DPL0022 3.66 0.29 7.13 qF1-Chr/1-1 2016E3 NERI0694 DPL0022 3.66 0.29 7.13 qF1-Chr/1-1 2016E1 OH320 GH144 3.15 -0.25 5.61 qF1-Chr/1-1 2016E5 NUL1240 SWU14210 2.76 0.25 11.16 -0.24 6.58 qF1-Chr/1-1 2016E5 SWU17135 2.74 0.26 9.90 -0.24 6.58 qF1-Chr/5-1 2016E5 SWU17136 7.23 0.39 14.53 qF1-Chr/5-1 2016E5 SWU17146 3.66 5.15 -0.29 7.62 qF1-Chr/5-1 2016E5 SWU17305 CG20 5.07 2.74 -0.29 9.51 qF1-Chr/5-1 2016E2 SWU17305 CG30107 2.76 0.16 5.15 -0.29 5.57 qF1-Chr/5-1 2016E2 SWU1713		qFL-Chr5-4	2015E2	PGML4350	SWU17781	3.89	0.22	8.99			
qFL-Chr6-5 2015E3 NBR0684 DPL0022 3.66 0.29 7.13 qFL-Chr0-7 2015E3 CFR0056 PGML1915 3.17 -0.33 8.88 qFL-Chr0-7 2015E1 WW22000 HAU0635 3.60 -0.33 10.29 qFL-Chr0-7 2015E1 SWU22000 HAU0635 3.60 -0.33 10.29 qFL-Chr0-7 2015E1 SWU1743 2.74 0.26 9.80 -0.24 6.88 qFL-Chr6-7 2015E1 SWU173378 SWU17713 7.23 0.39 14.33 qFL-Chr6-7 2015E1 SWU173378 SWU17740 3.96 -0.29 7.62 qFL-Chr6-7 2015E1 SWU17305 SWU17910 5.63 -0.29 5.57 qFL-Chr6-1 2015E2 SWU17305 DC30107 2.76 0.16 5.64 -0.29 5.57 qFL-Chr6-1 2015E2 SWU17905 SWU17910 5.63 -0.29 5.67 -0.23 5.67 -0.25 5.67			2015E3	MUSS193	PGML4350				4.44	0.32	8.55
qFL-Chr7-1 2015E3 CEF0036 PGML1916 5.17 -0.33 8.88 qFL-Chr10-1 2015E1 SVU20260 Gh144 3.15 -0.25 6.51 qFL-Chr19-1 2015E2 PGML4342 SWU1431b 2.76 0.25 11.16 Fiber uniformity qFU-Chr4-1 2015E3 JESPR295 SWU1713 2.74 0.28 9.90 7.23 0.39 14.53 qFU-Chr5-3 2015E1 Gh388 SWU1713 3.96 -0.29 7.82 qFU-Chr5-3 2015E1 SWU1378 SWU17915 3.96 -0.29 7.82 qFU-Chr5-1 2015E2 Gh111 Gh256 2.74 -0.29 9.51 qFU-Chr25-1 2015E3 NVU17935 SWU17912 2.62 -0.19 5.63 - qFU-Chr25-1 2015E2 SWU17935 SWU17915 4.74 0.43 8.85 qFU-Chr25-1 2015E2 SWU17950 TM81288 3.19 0.43 8.85 qFS-Chr5-1		qFL-Chr5-5	2015E3	NBR10694	DPL0022				3.66	0.29	7.13
qFL-Chr10-1 2015E1 SWU20260 Gh144 3.15 -0.25 5.61 qFL-Chr10-2 2015E1 GR320 HAU0835 3.60 -0.33 10.29 qFL-Chr19-1 2015E2 PGM.4342 SWU1431b 2.76 0.25 11.16 -0.24 6.58 qFU-Chr5-1 2015E3 NAU6240 PGM.1571 2.74 0.26 9.00 -0.24 6.58 qFU-Chr5-2 2015E1 GR388 SWU17713 7.23 0.39 14.53 qFU-Chr5-1 2015E1 GN18428 GR256 2.62 -0.25 5.97 2.74 -0.29 9.51 qFU-Chr26-1 2015E2 SWU17985 DC30107 2.76 0.16 5.64 - - - - 9.51 qFU-Chr26-1 2015E2 SWU17935 DC30107 2.76 0.16 5.64 - - - 2.52 0.20 5.57 Floer strength qFS-Chr1-1 2015E2 SWU171715 SWU171715 S		qFL-Chr7-1	2015E3	CER0036	PGML1916				3.17	-0.33	8.98
qFL-Ohr10-2 qFL-Ohr19-1 2015E1 GR320 HAU0635 3.60 -0.33 10.29 Fiber unitomily qFU-Ohr4-1 2015E3 JESPF225 SWU14431b 2.76 0.25 11.16 -0.24 6.88 qFU-Ohr5-1 2015E3 JESPF225 SWU16713 7.23 0.39 14.53 qFU-Ohr5-2 2015E1 Gh088 SWU17713 7.23 0.39 14.53 qFU-Ohr5-1 2015E2 Gh111 Gh27 2.54 0.16 5.15 7.23 0.39 14.53 qFU-Ohr9-1 2015E2 Gh111 Gh27 2.54 0.16 5.15 7.74 -0.29 9.51 qFU-Ohr9-1 2015E2 SWU19763 SWU19129 2.62 -0.19 5.63 7.74 -0.29 9.51 qFU-Ohr26-1 2015E2 SWU17915 SWU1919 2.52 -0.23 5.00 7.74 -0.29 2.55 Flow strength qFS-Ohr1-1 2015E2 SWU17715 A.79 0.92 2.965		qFL-Chr10-1	2015E1	SWU20260	Gh144				3.15	-0.25	5.61
qFL-Onr19-1 2015E2 PGML4342 SWU14431b 2.76 0.25 11.16 Fiber uniformity qFU-Ohr4-1 2015E3 JESPR295 SWU15782 3.10 -0.24 5.58 qFU-Ohr5-2 2015E1 SMU8240 PGML1071 2.74 0.26 9.90 7.23 0.39 14.53 qFU-Ohr5-2 2015E1 SWU17346 3.96 -0.29 5.57 2.74 -0.29 9.51 qFU-Ohr2-1 2015E3 SWU17385 CAS6 2.62 -0.25 5.57 2.74 -0.29 9.51 qFU-Ohr2-1 2015E3 SWU17365 CAS107 2.76 0.16 5.64 - - 2.52 0.20 5.57 qFU-Ohr2-1 2015E2 SWU17935 DC30107 2.76 0.16 5.64 - - 2.52 0.20 5.57 Fiber strength qFS-Ohr2-1 2015E2 SWU19954 2.52 -0.23 5.00 - - 2.72 0.33 5.13 <t< td=""><td></td><td>qFL-Chr10-2</td><td>2015E1</td><td>Gh320</td><td>HAU0635</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3.60</td><td>-0.33</td><td>10.29</td></t<>		qFL-Chr10-2	2015E1	Gh320	HAU0635				3.60	-0.33	10.29
Fiber uniformity <i>qFU-Chr4-1</i> 2015E3 JESPF295 SWU16782 3.10 -0.24 6.58 <i>qFU-Chr5-1</i> 2016E1 Gn388 SWU17713 7.23 0.39 14.53 <i>qFU-Chr5-2</i> 2015E1 Gn388 SWU17713 7.23 0.39 14.53 <i>qFU-Chr5-1</i> 2015E2 Gh111 Gh27 2.54 0.16 5.15 7 <i>qFU-Chr5-1</i> 2015E3 SWU19783 SWU19780 2.62 -0.25 5.97 2.74 -0.29 9.51 <i>qFU-Chr2-1</i> 2015E3 SWU19783 SWU19792 2.62 -0.19 5.63 7 2.52 0.20 5.57 <i>qFU-Chr2-1</i> 2015E2 SWU19735 SWU1972 2.62 -0.23 5.00 7.41 3.19 0.43 8.85 <i>qFU-Chr2-1</i> 2015E2 SWU1970 SWU1929 2.52 -0.23 5.00 7.41 3.19 0.43 8.85 <i>qFU-Chr2-1</i> 2015E2 SWU19070 XMU220 3.37 <		qFL-Chr19-1	2015E2	PGML4342	SWU14431b	2.76	0.25	11.16			
qFL-Chr.5-1 2016E3 NAU6240 PGML1671 2.74 0.26 9.90 qFL/Chr.5-2 2016E1 Gn388 SWU17713 7.23 0.39 14.53 qFL/Chr.5-3 2016E1 SWU13778 SWU17748 3.96 -0.29 7.62 qFL/Chr.1-1 2016E1 NAU5428 Gh256 2.62 -0.25 5.97 2.74 -0.29 9.51 qFL/Chr.5-1 2015E3 SWU19735 SWU1970 2.62 -0.19 5.63 2.74 -0.29 5.57 qFL/Chr.26-1 2015E2 SWU19735 SWU1970 2.76 0.16 5.64 - - - 2.52 0.20 6.57 gFL/Chr.26-1 2015E2 SWU19705 SWU1970 2.76 0.16 5.64 - - - 2.52 0.20 6.57 Flber strength qFS-Chr.1-1 2015E2 SWU1900 TMB1268 3.85 0.40 7.41 - - - - 2.52 0.20 <	Fiber uniformity	qFU-Chr4-1	2015E3	JESPR295	SWU16782				3.10	-0.24	6.58
qFU-Chr5-2 2015E1 GN388 SWU17713 7.23 0.39 14.53 qFU-Chr5-3 2015E1 SWU17378 SWU17846 3.96 -0.29 7.62 qFU-Chr5-3 2015E2 Gh111 Gh27 2.64 0.16 5.15 - - - - 9.51 qFU-Chr1-1 2015E3 NAU5428 Gh256 2.62 -0.19 5.63 - - - - - 9.51 qFU-Chr2-1 2015E2 SWU19763 SWU19129 2.62 -0.19 5.63 - - - - 2.52 0.20 5.57 qFU-Chr2-2 2015E2 SWU1715 D2.62 -0.23 5.00 - - 2.52 0.20 5.57 Flber strength qFS-Chr2-1 2015E2 SWU1715 SWU17715 3.36 0.40 7.41 qFS-Chr5-1 2015E2 SWU1715 GH38 SWU17715 3.37 0.46 7.16 qFS-Chr5-3 2015E		qFU-Chr5-1	2015E3	NAU6240	PGML1671	2.74	0.26	9.90			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		qFU-Chr5-2	2015E1	Gh388	SWU17713				7.23	0.39	14.53
qFU-Chr9-1 2015E2 Gh111 Gh27 2.54 0.16 5.15 qFU-Chr11-1 2015E1 NAU5428 Gh256 2.62 -0.25 5.37 qFU-Chr25-1 2015E3 NAU5428 Gh256 2.62 -0.29 9.51 qFU-Chr25-1 2015E3 SWU19763 SWU19129 2.62 -0.16 5.64 qFU-Chr26-2 2015E2 SWU19789 DC30107 2.76 0.16 5.64 qFU-Chr26-2 2015E2 SWU19129 2.62 -0.23 5.00 Fiber strength qFS-Chr1-1 2015E2 SWU10950 TMB1268		qFU-Chr5-3	2015E1	SWU13378	SWU17846				3.96	-0.29	7.62
qFU-Chr11-1 2015E1 NAU5428 Gh256 2.62 -0.25 5.97 2.74 -0.29 9.51 qFU-Chr25-1 2015E3 NAU5428 Gh256 -0.19 5.63 -0.29 9.51 qFU-Chr26-1 2015E2 SWU19763 SWU19729 2.62 -0.19 5.63 -0.25 5.07 2.52 0.20 5.57 Fiber strength qFS-Chr1-1 2015E2 DPL0491 -0.23 5.00 -0.23 5.01 -0.25 5.72 -0.25 5.72 -0.25 5.72 -0.25		qFU-Chr9-1	2015E2	Gh111	Gh27	2.54	0.16	5.15			
2015E3 NAU5428 Gh256 2.74 -0.29 9.51 aFU-Ch25-1 2015E3 SWU19763 SWU19129 2.62 -0.19 5.63 - </td <td></td> <td>qFU-Chr11-1</td> <td>2015E1</td> <td>NAU5428</td> <td>Gh256</td> <td>2.62</td> <td>-0.25</td> <td>5.97</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		qFU-Chr11-1	2015E1	NAU5428	Gh256	2.62	-0.25	5.97			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2015E3	NAU5428	Gh256				2.74	-0.29	9.51
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		qFU-Chr25-1	2015E3	SWU19763	SWU19129	2.62	-0.19	5.63			
qFU-Chr/26-2 2015E2 BNL2495 DPL0491 2.52 0.20 5.57 Fiber strength qFS-Chr/1-1 2015E2 DPL0790 SWU10954 2.52 -0.23 5.00 7.41 qFS-Chr/2-1 2015E2 SWU20917 NAU6240 3.85 0.40 7.41 qFS-Chr/5-2 2015E1 PGML1917 SWU17715 4.79 0.92 29.65 2015E2 GN388 SWU17713 2.72 0.33 5.13 qFS-Chr/5-3 2015E1 PGML457 MUSS193 3.37 0.46 7.16 qFS-Chr/1-1 2015E2 ICR00038 ICR07050 2.74 -0.25 5.72 - - 6.00 - - - 6.00 - - 6.00 - - 6.00 - - 6.00 - - 6.00 - - 6.00 - - 6.00 - - 6.00 - 6.00 - - 6.00 - 6.00		qFU-Chr26-1	2015E2	SWU17395	DC30107	2.76	0.16	5.64			
Fiber strength qFS-Chr1-1 2015E2 DPL0790 SWU10954 2.52 -0.23 5.00 qFS-Chr2-1 2015E2 SWU11950 TMB1288 3.85 0.40 7.41 qFS-Chr5-1 2015E2 SWU20917 NAU6240 3.19 0.43 8.85 qFS-Chr5-2 2015E1 PGML1917 SWU17715 4.79 0.92 29.65 2015E2 GN388 SWU17713 2.72 0.33 5.13 qFS-Chr5-3 2015E1 PGML4457 MUSS193 3.37 0.46 7.16 qFS-Chr10-1 2015E2 ICR00093 ICR07050 2.74 -0.25 5.72 - 0.32 5.99 - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		qFU-Chr26-2	2015E2	BNL2495	DPL0491				2.52	0.20	5.57
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Fiber strength	qFS-Chr1-1	2015E2	DPL0790	SWU10954	2.52	-0.23	5.00			
qFS-Chr5-1 2015E2 SWU20917 NAU6240 3.19 0.43 8.85 qFS-Chr5-2 2015E1 PGML1917 SWU17715 4.79 0.92 29.65 2015E2 SWU17715 Gh388 2.85 0.26 6.00 2.72 0.33 5.13 qFS-Chr5-3 2015E1 PGML4457 MUSS193 3.37 0.46 7.16 qFS-Chr10-1 2015E2 ICR00093 ICR07050 2.74 -0.25 5.72		qFS-Chr2-1	2015E2	SWU11950	TMB1268				3.85	0.40	7.41
qFS-Chr5-2 2015E1 PGML1917 SWU17715 4.79 0.92 29.65 2015E2 SWU17715 Gh388 2.85 0.26 6.00 2.72 0.33 5.13 qFS-Chr5-3 2015E1 PGML4457 MUSS193 3.37 0.46 7.16 qFS-Chr10-1 2015E2 ICR00093 ICR07050 2.74 -0.25 5.72		qFS-Chr5-1	2015E2	SWU20917	NAU6240				3.19	0.43	8.85
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		qFS-Chr5-2	2015E1	PGML1917	SWU17715				4.79	0.92	29.65
2015E2 Gh388 SWU17713 2.72 0.33 5.13 qFS-Chr5-3 2015E1 PGML4457 MUSS193 3.37 0.46 7.16 qFS-Chr10-1 2015E2 ICR00093 ICR07050 2.74 -0.25 5.72 2.80 0.40 5.60 qFS-Chr13-1 2015E1 DPL0894 SWU10800 2.80 0.40 5.60 qFS-Chr14-1 2015E1 SWU20675 SWU20649 3.14 -0.45 7.14 qFS-Chr20-1 2015E2 SWU15915 SWU20649 3.28 -0.37 6.35 qFS-Chr21-1 2015E2 SWU21646 SWU21585 3.17 0.26 6.29			2015E2	SWU17715	Gh388	2.85	0.26	6.00			
qFS-Chr5-3 2015E1 PGML4457 MUSS193 3.37 0.46 7.16 qFS-Chr10-1 2015E2 ICR00093 ICR07050 2.74 -0.25 5.72 2.80 0.40 5.60 qFS-Chr13-1 2015E1 DPL0894 SWU10800 2.80 0.40 5.60 qFS-Chr14-1 2015E1 SWU20675 SWU20649 3.14 -0.45 7.14 qFS-Chr21-1 2015E2 SWU15915 SWU20649 3.28 -0.37 6.35 qFS-Chr21-2 2015E3 BNL3171 CGR5808 4.44 -0.51 9.65 qFS-Chr21-2 2015E2 SWU10912 DPL0090 4.13 0.03 12.51 Fiber elongation qFE-Chr1-1 2015E2 SWU10912 DPL0090 4.13 0.03 12.51 qFE-Chr4-1 2015E3 SWU1881 NAU2701 2.57 0.02 5.68 - - qFE-Chr4-1 2015E1 SWU21617 SWU11855 3.21 -0.03 12.41 - - - - - - - - - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>2015E2</td><td>Gh388</td><td>SWU17713</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2.72</td><td>0.33</td><td>5.13</td></td<>			2015E2	Gh388	SWU17713				2.72	0.33	5.13
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		qFS-Chr5-3	2015E1	PGML4457	MUSS193				3.37	0.46	7.16
qFS-Chr13-1 2015E1 DPL0894 SWU10800 2.80 0.40 5.60 qFS-Chr14-1 2015E1 SWU14224 DPL0565 2.74 -0.32 5.99 3.14 -0.45 7.14 qFS-Chr20-1 2015E3 SWU20675 SWU20649 3.14 -0.45 7.14 qFS-Chr21-1 2015E2 SWU15915 SWU0189 3.28 -0.37 6.35 qFS-Chr21-2 2015E3 BNL3171 CGR5808 4.44 -0.51 9.65 qFS-Chr22-1 2015E2 SWU10912 DPL0090 4.13 0.03 12.51 Fiber elongation qFE-Chr1-1 2015E3 SWU1881 NAU2701 2.57 0.02 5.68		qFS-Chr10-1	2015E2	ICR00093	ICR07050	2.74	-0.25	5.72			
qFS-Chr14-1 2015E1 SWU14224 DPL0565 2.74 -0.32 5.99 qFS-Chr20-1 2015E3 SWU20675 SWU20649 3.14 -0.45 7.14 qFS-Chr21-1 2015E2 SWU15915 SWU0189 3.28 -0.37 6.35 qFS-Chr21-2 2015E3 BNL3171 CGR5808 4.44 -0.51 9.65 qFS-Chr22-1 2015E2 SWU10912 DPL0090 4.13 0.03 12.51 Fiber elongation qFE-Chr1-1 2015E2 SWU1881 NAU2701 2.57 0.02 5.68		qFS-Chr13-1	2015E1	DPL0894	SWU10800				2.80	0.40	5.60
qFS-Chr20-1 2015E3 SWU20675 SWU20649 3.14 -0.45 7.14 qFS-Chr21-1 2015E2 SWU15915 SWU0189 3.28 -0.37 6.35 qFS-Chr21-2 2015E3 BNL3171 CGR5808 4.44 -0.51 9.65 qFS-Chr22-1 2015E2 SWU1912 DPL0090 4.13 0.03 12.51 Fiber elongation qFE-Chr1-1 2015E2 SWU18881 NAU2701 2.57 0.02 5.68 - - - - - 4.13 0.03 12.51 -		qFS-Chr14-1	2015E1	SWU14224	DPL0565	2.74	-0.32	5.99			
qFS-Chr21-1 2015E2 SWU15915 SWU0189 3.28 -0.37 6.35 qFS-Chr21-2 2015E3 BNL3171 CGR5808 4.44 -0.51 9.65 qFS-Chr22-1 2015E2 SWU1912 DPL0090 4.13 0.03 12.51 Fiber elongation qFE-Chr1-1 2015E2 SWU10912 DPL0090 4.13 0.03 12.51 qFE-Chr4-1 2015E1 SWU21617 SWU1855 3.21 -0.03 12.41 - - qFE-Chr5-1 2015E1 Gh388 SWU17713 7.17 0.04 14.23 qFE-Chr5-2 2015E1 PGML4457 MUSS193 5.37 0.03 8.77		qFS-Chr20-1	2015E3	SWU20675	SWU20649				3.14	-0.45	7.14
qFS-Chr21-2 qFS-Chr22-1 2015E3 BNL3171 CGR5808 4.44 -0.51 9.65 Fiber elongation qFE-Chr1-1 2015E2 SWU21646 SWU21585 3.17 0.26 6.29 4.44 -0.51 9.65 Fiber elongation qFE-Chr1-1 2015E2 SWU10912 DPL0090 4.13 0.03 12.51 qFE-Chr4-2 2015E1 SWU21617 SWU11855 3.21 -0.03 12.41 - - 44.4 - 44.4 - 44.4 - 44.4 - 9.65 Fiber elongation qFE-Chr4-1 2015E2 SWU10912 DPL0090 4.13 0.03 12.51 qFE-Chr4-2 2015E1 SWU21617 SWU11855 3.21 -0.03 12.41 -		gFS-Chr21-1	2015E2	SWU15915	SWU0189				3.28	-0.37	6.35
qFS-Chr22-1 2015E2 SWU21646 SWU21585 3.17 0.26 6.29 Fiber elongation qFE-Chr1-1 2015E2 SWU10912 DPL0090 4.13 0.03 12.51 qFE-Chr4-1 2015E3 SWU18881 NAU2701 2.57 0.02 5.68 - - qFE-Chr4-2 2015E1 SWU21617 SWU11855 3.21 -0.03 12.41 - - qFE-Chr5-1 2015E1 Gh388 SWU17713 7.17 0.04 14.23 qFE-Chr5-2 2015E1 PGML4457 MUSS193 - 5.37 0.04 11.43 2015E3 HAU1603 PGML4457 3.72 0.03 8.77		qFS-Chr21-2	2015E3	BNL3171	CGR5808				4.44	-0.51	9.65
Fiber elongation qFE-Chr1-1 2015E2 SWU10912 DPL0090 4.13 0.03 12.51 qFE-Chr4-1 2015E3 SWU18881 NAU2701 2.57 0.02 5.68 12.41 qFE-Chr4-2 2015E1 SWU21617 SWU1855 3.21 -0.03 12.41 qFE-Chr5-1 2015E1 Gh388 SWU17713 7.17 0.04 14.23 qFE-Chr5-2 2015E1 PGML4457 MUSS193 5.37 0.04 11.43 2015E3 HAU1603 PGML4457 SU3183 3.72 0.03 8.77		qFS-Chr22-1	2015E2	SWU21646	SWU21585	3.17	0.26	6.29			
qFE-Chr4-1 2015E3 SWU18881 NAU2701 2.57 0.02 5.68 qFE-Chr4-2 2015E1 SWU21617 SWU11855 3.21 -0.03 12.41 qFE-Chr5-1 2015E1 Gh388 SWU17713 7.17 0.04 14.23 qFE-Chr5-2 2015E1 PGML4457 MUSS193 5.37 0.04 11.43 2015E3 HAU1603 PGML4457 S172 0.03 8.77	Fiber elongation	qFE-Chr1-1	2015E2	SWU10912	DPL0090				4.13	0.03	12.51
qFE-Chr4-2 2015E1 SWU21617 SWU11855 3.21 -0.03 12.41 qFE-Chr5-1 2015E1 Gh388 SWU17713 7.17 0.04 14.23 qFE-Chr5-2 2015E1 PGML4457 MUSS193 5.37 0.04 11.43 2015E3 HAU1603 PGML4457 Same and the same		qFE-Chr4-1	2015E3	SWU18881	NAU2701	2.57	0.02	5.68			
qFE-Chr5-1 2015E1 Gh388 SWU17713 7.17 0.04 14.23 qFE-Chr5-2 2015E1 PGML4457 MUSS193 5.37 0.04 11.43 2015E3 HAU1603 PGML4457 3.72 0.03 8.77		qFE-Chr4-2	2015E1	SWU21617	SWU11855	3.21	-0.03	12.41			
qFE-Chr5-2 2015E1 PGML4457 MUSS193 5.37 0.04 11.43 2015E3 HAU1603 PGML4457 3.72 0.03 8.77		gFE-Chr5-1	2015E1	Gh388	SWU17713				7.17	0.04	14.23
2015E3 HAU1603 PGML4457 3.72 0.03 8.77		qFE-Chr5-2	2015E1	PGML4457	MUSS193				5.37	0.04	11.43
			2015E3	HAU1603	PGML4457				3.72	0.03	8.77

(Continued)

TABLE 4 | Continued

Traits	QTL	Env. ^a	Flanking	g markers		BC			RIL	
					LOD	Effect value ^b	Var(%) ^c	LOD	Effect value	Var(%)
	qFE-Chr7-1	2015E3	CER0036	PGML1916				2.72	-0.03	8.23
	qFE-Chr11-1	2015E2	NAU2152	NAU5428				3.66	-0.02	7.19
	qFE-Chr13-1	2015E1	BNL1495	CGR5390				2.63	0.03	5.98
	qFE-Chr17-1	2015E2	CGR5871	SWU12876	4.10	-0.02	10.40			
	qFE-Chr24-1	2015E2	PGML4657	Gh454	2.58	-0.02	6.17			
		2015E2	Gh454	SWU13268				3.17	-0.02	6.03
	qFE-Chr25-1	2015E2	Gh220	SWU19434				2.94	0.02	6.10
Micronaire	qFM-Chr3-1	2015E1	Gh663	CGR6528				2.74	-0.10	5.92
	qFM-Chr4-1	2015E2	NAU3868	SWU21617				2.76	0.09	5.67
	qFM-Chr6-1	2015E1	CGR5801	SWU19249	4.17	-0.09	9.05			
	qFM-Chr9-1	2015E3	NAU2873	NAU1282				2.77	0.07	5.70
	qFM-Chr11-1	2015E2	NAU3390	NAU2460				3.02	0.09	6.15
	qFM-Chr14-1	2015E1	ICR12037	CGR5675	3.59	0.11	11.81			
		2015E3	ICR12037	CGR5675				2.57	0.09	9.25
	qFM-Chr14-2	2015E2	PGML1368	PGML1568				3.14	0.09	6.67
		2015E3	PGML1568	Gh529				3.20	0.09	10.38
	qFM-Chr18-1	2015E3	SWU22192	DPL0864	2.73	0.04	5.69			
	qFM-Chr18-2	2015E3	SWU22187	DC40150	3.23	0.05	8.96			
	gFM-Chr21-1	2015E1	SWU16649	BNL1552				2.68	0.12	8.72
	gFM-Chr22-1	2015E3	DPL0562	CAU0161	2.99	0.05	6.32			
	qFM-Chr26-1	2015E3	DPL0070	NAU2175	2.64	-0.04	5.94			

^aEnvironment, 2015E1: Handan, 2015E2: Cangzhou, 2015E3: Wuhan.

^b The genetic value of a detected QTL, which is the additive effect estimated from the RILs mean values, the additive and dominance effects from female BC mean values.

^cPhenotypic variation explained.

[†]Bold figures indicated the stable QTL detected in more than one environment or population simultaneously.

populations. The QTL regions were located on 8 chromosomes. Then, qFL-Chr5-1 was detected simultaneously across five environments and qFM-Chr26-1 across four environments including three environments in 2012 in previous study (Shang et al., 2016a). Two QTLs (qFE-Chr5-1, qFM-Chr9-1) explained 12.86 and 13.83% of phenotypic variations on average, respectively. In 2008 and 2009, three QTLs near MUSS193 or Gh388 markers co-locating on Chr 5, were also detected across multiple environments using F_{2:3}, F_{2:4} segregating populations derived from the hybrid "Xinza 1" (Liang et al., 2013). The region was same to one QTL (qFL-Chr5-4) in present study, which closely linked with three other QTLs flanking with HAU1603 and SWU17781. Sun et al. (2012) found one cluster underlying MUSS193a harbored four QTLs in RIL population of Upland cotton. The cluster influenced fiber length, fiber elongation, fiber uniformity, and Micronaire. Markers of NAU6240, PGML1671, PGML1917, SWU17715, Gh388 (SWU17717, NAU4034), and SWU17713 (TMB1296) on Chr 5 across multiple environments, multiple populations, and multiple years will be recommended in MAS to improve fiber quality in Upland cotton. Markers flanking with these stable QTLs detected in multiple populations in present study are valuable for future breeding program and facilitate fine mapping, gene cloning, and favorable gene pyramiding project (Shao et al., 2014).

A total of 251 stable mapped QTLs for fiber quality were detected in the past decade in intraspecific populations derived from Upland cotton (Table S8). Due to the different parents and different genetic maps, few common markers and little common QTL for fiber quality traits in the present BCF₁ population were found comparing with the previous researches in other labs in Table S8. The qFU-Chr26-2 controlling fiber uniformity in present study shared the common marker BNL2495 underlying *qFM12.1* controlling Micronaire in previous study (Zhang et al., 2012). Nine markers flanking QTLs for fiber quality traits in Tang's work were common in our map, but no common QTL was observed in comparison with present study (Tang et al., 2015). The qFS-Chr21-2 explaining 9.06% of PV for fiber strength in present study shared a common SSR marker BNL3171 flanking a stable QTL of *qUHM-21-1* controlling fiber length in BC₃F₂ and BC₃F_{2:4} generation in previous study (Wang B. et al., 2017). Another QTL qFS-Chr1-1 controlling fiber strength in present study also shared SWU10954 which co-segregated with NAU4045 flanking a stable *qUHM-15-1* controlling fiber length in previous study (Wang B. et al., 2017). In addition, some QTLs in present study located on same chromosomes in accordance with previous studies, such as 13 QTLs locating on Chr 5 in present study, which offered clues and new information for further exploitation. Yang et al. (2015) detected two clusters

TABLE 5 | Summary of M-QTLs and E-QTLs by environment interaction controlling fiber quality traits by inclusive composite interval mapping in RIL and maternal BC population.

Trait		RIL		BC				
M-QTLs ^a	nc	P _(Q) (%) ^d	P _(QE) (%)	n	P _(Q) (%)	P _(QE) (%)		
Fiber length	13	2.42	0.35	10	2.44	0.57		
Fiber uniformity	4	1.67	1.83	З	2.65	4.75		
Fiber strength	14	2.86	0.32	9	2.6	0.39		
Fiber elongation	21	2.14	0.46	3	2.37	0.99		
Micronaire	10	2.23	0.36	13	2.26	0.39		
Mean	-	2.26	0.66	-	2.46	1.42		
E-QTLs ^b	n	P _(QQ) (%)	P(QQE) (%)	n	P _(QQ) (%)	P _(QQE) (%)		
Fiber length	39	3.37	0.23	10	3.62	0.46		
Fiber uniformity	1	1.18	2.92	15	2.1	2.07		
Fiber strength	30	3.51	0.28	17	3.46	0.53		
Fiber elongation	14	3.53	0.25	3	5.03	0.14		
Micronaire	13	3.5	0.84	22	3.3	0.9		
Mean	-	3.02	0.90	-	3.50	0.82		

^a The single-locus QTLs under multiple environments.

^bThe QTLs of epistasis under multiple environments.

^c The number of QTLs identified.

 ${}^{d}P_{(Q)}, P_{(QE)}, P_{(QQ)}, P_{(QQE)}$ were the mean proportions of total trait phenotypic variances, explained by M-QTL (Q), interaction between M-QTL and environment (QE), epistasis interaction (QQ), and interaction between epistasis and environment (QQE), respectively.

on Chr 5 and two QTLs on Chr 5 related to fiber uniformity presented in two environments; Zhang et al. (2005) detected one cluster on Chr 5 controlling LP1 for lint percentage, FS2 for fiber strength, and FE1 for fiber elongation. Wang et al. (2016) identified 6 QTLs including two stable QTLs for fiber length distributing on Chr 2, Chr 5, Chr 10, and Chr 19, which were in the shared linkages / chromosomes comparing with present study. Said et al. (2013) summarized 35 QTLs for fiber quality traits (and six QTLs for yield and its components traits) distributed on Chr 5, and 50 QTLs related fiber quality traits distributed on Chr 14. Wang B. et al. (2017) identified the colocation region of BNL2656 and NAU3498 on Chr 5 containing qUHM-5-1 and qUI-5-1, which improved fiber length and fiber uniformity at the same time. In present study, 11 in 12 QTLs on Chr 5 improved fiber length, fiber uniformity, fiber strength, and fiber elongation (Table S2). Further researches need to be performed to interpret the relationship of fiber related genes with stable QTLs in Upland cotton. Recently, the cotton fiber homolog GhCFE5 on Chr A01 and Chr D05 was found by mapping GhCFE5A and GhCFE5D in BC1 interspecific mapping population, as the same chromosomes in present study (Lv et al., 2016).

The genetic effects were explored in RIL population and its maternal BC progenies. Judging from the overall phenotypic level, RI lines with wider range and maximum values were not obviously worse than some BCF_{1S} , whereas a number of lines in RIL population have better phenotypes than that in BC population (**Table 1**, Table S9). At the single-locus level, all of six QTLs detected in BC population increased 0.24 mm fiber length on average (**Table 4**, Table S2). It indicated that the

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TABLE 6}}\xspace$] Types of epistasis detected for fiber quality traits in RIL and BC populations.

	Type of epistasis ^a									
Trait		RIL	BC							
	I	П	III	I	II	III				
Fiber length	0	3	36	0	2	8				
Fiber uniformity	0	1	0	0	1	14				
Fiber strength	0	6	24	0	2	15				
Fiber elongation	2	4	8	0	0	3				
Micronaire	0	2	11	0	2	20				
Total	2	16	79	0	7	60				

^a Type I, both loci were M-QTLs, Type II, either locus among two loci was M-QTL, and Type III, both loci were no M-QTLs.

heterozygotes increased fiber length a little than the respective homozygotes (Xiao et al., 1995). For fiber length, *qFL-Chr5-3* on Chr 5 increased 0.25 mm in BC population and 0.36 mm in RIL population in 2015E2. At the same time, the QTL increased 0.19 mm in BC population and 0.36 mm in RIL population in 2012E1. The result indicated that the genetic value contributing by one common QTL decreased in BC population in comparison with the value in RIL population.

The epistatic effects and environmental interactions existed commonly for fiber quality traits. Fiber quality traits were sensitive to environment effect (Shao et al., 2014). At two-locus level, there were a number of interactions under environments for fiber quality traits in both populations, but epistasis influenced the performance stronger on average in the maternal BC population than that in RIL population (Table 5). Three types of epistasis combinations were observed (Table 6). Totally, 91 and 81% epistatic interaction of Type III accounted in RIL population and in BC1 population, respectively. The result indicated that the Type III was the common epistasis interaction type in both populations. Another interesting result detected that epistasis is another vital genetic effect affecting fiber quality traits following the previous study (Shang et al., 2016d). Similarly to the previous study, Wang et al. (2006) indicated that both epistasis effect and single-locus effect of QTLs played important genetic roles in cotton fiber quality.

There was no evidence that fiber quality traits had heterosis in "Xinza 1" (Table 1). We also found that fiber quality of BCF_{1S} was not apparently superior to its parents in BC population (Table S1). The maximum of fiber length was 34.05 mm in 2015E3 in RIL population but 33.20 mm in BC population, in agreement with that heterozygotes were not always advantageous for performance (Xiao et al., 1995; Hua et al., 2002). For many crops, such as rice and other cereal crops, yield heterosis was obvious so that people have been interested to exploit and interpret the mechanism of hybrid vigor. Differing from these crops, the fiber quality traits of cotton should have different genetic mechanisms in development stage. In nature, plant species needed to produce more seeds for survival in evolution. Obviously, fiber is not necessary for optimal survival. Maybe this is one of the reasons why negative correlation exists between yield and fiber quality (Yang et al., 2015). Further research will be deduced on both heterosis and development of fiber in Upland cotton in order to improve fiber quality and to ultimate heterosis in breeding program.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LM, YZ, and LS performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and prepared the manuscript. YW maintained the experimental platform and attended bench work. JH conceived the experiments, provided experimental platform, and revised the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Xianda Yi (Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hubei Province), Xiaofang Yin, Dongyong Xu, and Huaiyu Lu

REFERENCES

- Fang, L., Wang, Q., Hu, Y., Jia, Y. H., Chen, J. D., Liu, B. L., et al. (2017). Genomic analyses in cotton identify signatures of selection and loci associated with fiber quality and yield traits. *Nat. Genet.* 19, 1089–1098. doi: 10.1038/ ng.3887
- Hua, J., Xing, Y., Wu, W., Xu, C., Sun, X., Yu, S., et al. (2003). Single locus heterotic effects and dominance by dominance interactions can adequately explain the genetic basis of heterosis in an elite rice hybrid. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 2574–2579. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0437907100
- Hua, J. P., Xing, Y. Z., Xu, C. G., Sun, X. L., Yu, S. B., and Zhang, Q. F. (2002). Genetic dissection of an elite rice hybrid revealed that heterozygotes are not always advantageous for performance. *Genetics* 162, 1885–1895. Available online at: http://www.genetics.org/content/162/4/1885.article-info
- Hulse-kemp, A. M., Lemm, J., Plieske, J., Ashrafi, H., Buyyarapu, R., Fang, D. D., et al. (2015). Development of a 63K SNP array for cotton and high-density mapping of intraspecific and interspecific populations of *Gossypium* spp. G3 5:1187. doi: 10.1534/g3.115.018416
- Islam, M. S., Zeng, L. H., Delhom, C. D., Song, X. L., Kim, H. J., Li, P., et al. (2014). Identification of cotton fiber quality quantitative trait loci using intraspecific crosses derived from two near-isogenic lines differing in fiber bundle strength. *Mol. Breeding* 34, 373–384. doi: 10.1007/s11032-014-0040-4
- Jamshed, M., Jia, F., Gong, J., Palanga, K. K., Shi, Y., Li, J., et al. (2016). Identification of stable quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for fiber quality traits across multiple environments in *Gossypium hirsutum* recombinant inbred line population. *BMC Genomics* 17:197. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-2560-2
- Lacape, J. M., Nguyen, T. B., Courtois, B., Belot, J. L., Giband, M., Gourlot, J. P., et al. (2005). QTL analysis of cotton fiber quality using multiple *Gossypium hirsutum* × *Gossypium barbadense* backcross generations. *Crop Sci.* 45, 123–140. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2005.0123a
- Larièpe, A., Mangin, B., Jasson, S., Combes, V., Dumas, F., Jamin, P., et al. (2012). The genetic basis of heterosis: multiparental quantitative trait loci mapping reveals contrasted levels of apparent overdominance among traits of agronomical interest in maize (*Zea mays L.*). *Genetics* 190, 795–811. doi: 10.1534/genetics.111.133447
- Li, C., Dong, Y. T., Zhao, T. L., Li, L., Li, C., Yu, E., et al. (2016). Genome-wide SNP linkage mapping and QTL analysis for fiber quality and yield traits in the Upland cotton recombinant inbred lines population. *Front Plant Sci.* 7:1356. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01356
- Li, F., Fan, G. Y., Lu, C., Xiao, G., Zou, C., Kohel, R. J., et al. (2015). Genome sequence of cultivated Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* TM-1) provides insights into genome evolution. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33, 524–530. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3208
- Li, F., Fan, G., Wang, K., Sun, F., Yuan, Y., Song, G., et al. (2014). Genome sequence of the cultivated cotton *Gossypium arboreum*. Nat. Genet. 46, 567–572. doi: 10.1038/ng.2987

(Guoxin Seed Company Ltd., Cangzhou, Hebei Province) for their contributions on field experiments and data acquisition. Thanks to Xiaocui Wang (China Agricultural University) for the work in SSR marker evaluation, Kunbo Wang and Fang Liu (Institute of Cotton Research, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences) for providing part of SSR markers, Dr. Zhengsheng Zhang (Southwest University) for providing SWU and ICR SSR primers. This research was supported by the National Key R & D Program for Crop Breeding (2016YFD0101407) to JH.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2017. 02168/full#supplementary-material

- Liang, Q. Z., Hu, C., Hua, H., Li, Z. H., and Hua, J. P. (2013). Construction of a linkage map and QTL mapping for fiber quality traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Chinese Sci. Bull.* 58, 3233–3243. doi: 10.1007/s11434-013-5807-1
- Liang, Q., Shang, L., Wang, Y., and Hua, J. (2015). Partial dominance, overdominance and epistasis as the genetic basis of heterosis in Upland Cotton. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0143548. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0143548
- Liu, X., Zhao, B., Zheng, H. J., Hu, Y., Lu, G., Yang, C. Q., et al. (2015). *Gossypium barbadense* genome sequence provides insight into the evolution of extra-long staple fiber and specialized metabolites. *Sci. Rep.* 5:14139. doi: 10.1038/srep14139
- Lv, F., Li, P., Zhang, R., Li, N., and Guo, W. (2016). Functional divergence of GhCFE5, homoeologs revealed in cotton fiber and Arabidopsis, root cell development. *Plant Cell Rep.* 35, 867–881. doi: 10.1007/s00299-015-1928-0
- Mei, H. W., Li, Z. K., Shu, Q. Y., Guo, L. B., Wang, Y. P., Yu, X. Q., et al. (2005). Gene actions of QTLs affecting several agronomic traits resolved in a recombinant inbred rice population and two backcross populations. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 110, 649–659. doi: 10.1007/s00122-004-1890-7
- Ning, Z. Y., Chen, H., Mei, H. X., and Zhang, T. Z. (2014). Molecular tagging of QTLs for fiber quality and yield in the upland cotton cultivar Acala-Prema. *Euphytica* 195, 143–156. doi: 10.1007/s10681-013-0990-3
- Paterson, A. H., Wendel, J. F., Gundlach, H., Guo, H., Jenkins, J., Jin, D., et al. (2012). Repeated polyploidization of Gossypium genomes and the evolution of spinnable cotton fibres. *Nature* 492, 423–427. doi: 10.1038/nature11798
- Said, J. I., Knapka, J. A., Song, M. Z., and Zhang, J. F. (2015). Cotton QTLdb: a cotton QTL database for QTL analysis, visualization, and comparison between *Gossypium hirsutum* and *G. hirsutum* × *G. barbadense* populations. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 290, 1615–1625. doi: 10.1007/s00438-015-1021-y
- Said, J. I., Lin, Z., Zhang, X., Song, M., and Zhang, J. (2013). A comprehensive meta QTL analysis for fiber quality, yield, yield related and morphological traits, drought tolerance, and disease resistance in tetraploid cotton. *BMC Genomics* 14:776. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-776
- Shang, L. G., Liang, Q. Z., Wang, Y. M., Wang, X. C., Wang, K. B., Abduweli, A., et al. (2015). Identification of stable QTLs controlling fiber traits properties in multi-environment using recombinant inbred lines in Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Euphytica* 205, 877–888. doi: 10.1007/s10681-015-1434-z
- Shang, L., Liang, Q., Wang, Y., Zhao, Y., Wang, K., and Hua, J. (2016a). Epistasis together with partial dominance, over-dominance and QTL by environment interactions contribute to yield heterosis in upland cotton. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 129, 1429–1446. doi: 10.1007/s00122-016-2714-2
- Shang, L., Wang, Y., Cai, S., Ma, L., Liu, F., Chen, Z., et al. (2016b). Genetic analysis of Upland cotton dynamic heterosis for boll number per plant at multiple developmental stages. *Sci. Rep.* 6:35515. doi: 10.1038/srep35515
- Shang, L., Wang, Y., Cai, S., Wang, X., Li, Y., Abduweli, A., et al. (2016c). Partial dominance, overdominance, epistasis and QTL by environment interactions

contribute to the heterosis in two Upland cotton hybrids. $G3\,$ 6, 499–507. doi: 10.1534/g3.115.025809

- Shang, L., Wang, Y. M., Wang, X. C., Liu, F., Abduweli, A., Cai, S. H., et al. (2016d). Genetic analysis and stable QTL detection on fiber quality traits using two recombinant inbred line populations and their backcross progeny in Upland cotton. G3 6, 2717–2724. doi: 10.1534/g3.116.031302
- Shao, Q. S., Zhang, F. J., Tang, S. Y., Liu, Y., Fang, X. M., Liu, D. X., et al. (2014). Identifying QTL for fiber quality traits with three upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) populations. *Euphytica* 198, 43–58. doi: 10.1007/s10681-014-1082-8
- Shen, X. L., Guo, W. Z., Lu, Q. G., Zhu, X. F., Yuan, Y. L., and Zhang, T. Z. (2007). Genetic mapping of quantitative trait loci for fiber quality and yield trait by RIL approach in Upland cotton. *Euphytica* 155, 371–380. doi: 10.1007/s10681-006-9338-6
- Su, J., Li, L., Pang, C., Wei, H., Wang, C., Song, M., et al. (2016). Two genomic regions associated with fiber quality traits in Chinese upland cotton under apparent breeding selection. *Sci. Rep.* 6:38496. doi: 10.1038/srep 38496
- Sun, F. D., Zhang, J. H., Wang, S. F., Gong, W. K., Shi, Y. Z., Liu, A. Y., et al. (2012). QTL mapping for fiber quality traits across multiple generations and environments in upland cotton. *Mol. Breeding* 30, 569–582. doi: 10.1007/s11032-011-9645-z
- Tan, Z. Y., Fang, X. M., Tang, S. Y., Zhang, J., Liu, D. J., Teng, Z. H., et al. (2014). Genetic map and QTL controlling fiber quality traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Euphytica* 203, 615–628. doi: 10.1007/s10681-014-1288-9
- Tang, S. Y., Teng, Z. H., Zhai, T. F., Fang, X. M., Liu, F., Liu, D. J., et al. (2015). Construction of genetic map and QTL analysis of fiber quality traits for Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Euphytica* 201, 195–213. doi: 10.1007/s10681-014-1189-y
- Wan, Q., Zhang, Z. S., Hu, M. C., Chen, L., Liu, D. J., Chen, X. C., et al. (2007). T1 locus in cotton is the candidate gene affecting lint percentage, fiber quality and spiny bollworm (*Earias* spp.) resistance. *Euphytica* 158, 241–247. doi: 10.1007/s10681-007-9446-y
- Wang, B., Draye, X., Zhuang, Z., Zhang, Z., Liu, M., Lubbers, E., et al. (2017). QTL analysis of cotton fiber length in advanced backcross populations derived from a cross between *Gossypium hirsutum* and *G. mustelinum*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 130, 1297–1308. doi: 10.1007/s00122-017-2889-1
- Wang, B. H., Guo, W. Z., Zhu, X. F., Wu, Y. T., Huang, N. T., and Zhang, T. Z., et al. (2006). QTL mapping of fiber quality in an elite hybrid derived-RIL population of upland cotton. *Euphytica* 152, 367–378. doi: 10.1007/s10681-006-9224-2
- Wang, H. T., Huang, C., Zhao, W. X., Dai, B. S., Shen, C., Zhang, B. B., et al. (2016). Identification of QTL for fiber quality and yield trait s using two immortalized backcross populations in Upland cotton. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0166970. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0166970
- Wang, K., Wang, Z., Li, F., Ye, W., Wang, J., Song, G., et al. (2012). The draft genome of a diploid cotton *Gossypium raimondii*. Nat. Genet. 44, 1098–1103. doi: 10.1038/ng.2371
- Wang, M., Tu, L., Lin, M., Lin, Z., Wang, P., Yang, Q., et al. (2017). Asymmetric subgenome selection and cis-regulatory divergence during cotton domestication. *Nat. Genet.* 49, 579–587. doi: 10.1038/ng.3807
- Wang, S., Basten, C. J., and Zeng, Z. B. (2012). Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5. Department of Statistics; North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC. Available online at: http://statgen.ncsu.edu/qtlcart/WQTLCart.htm

- Wójcik-Jagła, M., Rapacz, M., Tyrka, M., Kościelniak, J., Crissy, K., and Zmuda, K. (2013). Comparative QTL analysis of early short-time drought tolerance in polish fodder and malting spring barleys. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 126, 3021–3034. doi: 10.1007/s00122-013-2190-x
- Wu, J. X., Gutierrez, O. A., Jenkins, J. N., McCarty, J. C., and Zhu, J. (2009). Quantitative analysis and QTL mapping for agronomic and fiber traits in an RI population of Upland cotton. *Euphytica* 165, 231–245. doi: 10.1007/s10681-008-9748-8
- Xiao, J., Li, J., Yuan, L., and Tanksley, S. (1995). Dominance is the major geneticbasis of heterosis in rice as revealed by QTL analysis using molecular markers. *Genetics* 140, 745–754.
- Xu, P., Gao, J., Cao, Z. B., Chee, P. W., Guo, Q., Xu, Z. Z., et al. (2017). Fine mapping and candidate gene analysis of qFL-chr1, a fiber length QTL in cotton. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 130, 1309–1319. doi: 10.1007/s00122-017-2890-8
- Yang, X. L., Zhou, X. D., Wang, X. F., Li, Z. K., Zhang, Y., Liu, H. G., et al. (2015). Mapping QTL for cotton fiber quality traits using simple sequence repeat markers, conserved intron-scanning primers, and transcript-derived fragments. *Euphytica* 201, 215–230. doi: 10.1007/s10681-014-1194-1
- Yuan, D., Tang, Z., Wang, M., Gao, W., Tu, L., Jin, X., et al. (2015). The genome sequence of Sea-Island cotton (*Gossypium barbadense*) provides insights into the allopolyploidization and development of superior spinnable fibres. *Sci. Rep.* 5:17662. doi: 10.1038/srep17662
- Zeng, Z. B. (1994). Precision mapping of quantitative trait loci. *Genetics* 136, 1457–1468.
- Zhang, J., Yu, J., Pei, W., Li, X., Said, J., Song, M., et al. (2015). Genetic analysis of *Verticillium wilt* resistance in a backcross inbred line population and a metaanalysis of quantitative trait loci for disease resistance in cotton. *BMC Genomics* 16:577. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-1682-2
- Zhang, K., Zhang, J., Ma, J., Tang, S., Liu, D., Teng, Z., et al. (2012). Genetic mapping and quantitative trait locus analysis of fiber quality traits using a threeparent composite population in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Mol. Breed.* 29, 335–348. doi: 10.1007/s11032-011-9549-y
- Zhang, T., Hu, Y., Jiang, W., Fang, L., Guan, X., Chen, J., et al. (2015). Sequencing of allotetraploid cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L. acc. TM-1) provides a resource for fiber improvement. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33, 531–537. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3207
- Zhang, Z. S., Xiao, Y. H., Luo, M., Li, X. B., Luo, X. Y., Hou, L., et al. (2005). Construction of a genetic linkage map and QTL analysis of fiberrelated traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Euphytica* 144, 91–99. doi: 10.1007/s10681-005-4629-x
- Zhang, Z., Li, J. W., Muhammad, J., Cai, J., Jia, F., Shi, Y. Z., et al. (2015). High resolution consensus mapping of quantitative trait loci for fiber strength, length and Micronaire on chromosome 25 of the Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *PLoS ONE* 10:e0135430. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0135430

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Ma, Zhao, Wang, Shang and Hua. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.